Hello James,
You may find the following verse by verse exegetical study on Rev 17 & 18 interesting:


It generally has the same identifications as presented in this post, however the underlying socio-economic aspects of this prophecy as made clear in Rev 18 are exgetically brought out in that study. That is an aspect that is typically, crucially left out of SDA prophetic exposition, but it is one that is also in God’s Sabbath, i.e., the “Fuller” understanding of it, as revealed in Isaiah 58.

God Bless,
NJK Project

April 25, 2012

Hello <a href=http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html?showComment=1327999444456#c3719810979389757789>again</a> James,

As the revelation that you have related here, at the very least, passes a rigorous Biblical testing, I would like to express here my own support of it. I have made reference to it on my blog, on my post on <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/sacrifice-of-christ.html>the Sacrifice of Christ</a>. Indeed the proper appreciation, through a much fuller Biblical understanding of what “transpired” in Gethsemane, of Christ’s ‘Hellish mental anguish’ is most pivotal to the Remnant Believer. Your revelation brought forth once again this lost meaning of the Num 19 Red Heifer sacrifice. I must however add that, at this time, I can’t honestly say that I wholeheartedly agree with all of your points/applications made for that vision, but that probably would change upon a more transparent and/or elaborate substantiation by you of them. (Tip: As you say that you may be adding to your presentation/points here, it may be helpful for a reader/follower of this post, to indicate at the very top of your post, the date of your latest update(s).

I have also been following your (public) discussions on the MSDAOL forum, and I have found most interesting the dream, posted <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=141347#Post141347>here</a>, that you related you had shortly after discovering the Seventh Day
Sabbath in 1995. What I find most interesting about it is that, just as there can be multiple applications to a Bible, and also SOP, prophecy, especially if an initial time for fulfillment came to unevently pass by, due to the various shortcoming(s) of the people to/for whom it was given (cf. Heb 8:6-8ff), I have most detailedly and accurately seen that that dream of yours, (which I, for those corroborated reasons, understand as having indeed been from God), has another, and wider application than merely a personal (=“local”) one. You can see many example of this dual application of inspired, even personal, dreams in, especially, the SOP, such as the one you related above in your post on the “Living Water” (CET 125.1-3). In its initial application, it pointedly spoke to the personal situation that the Whites were going through, namely EGW ‘greatly fearing that James White would become sick with cholera.’ (CET 125.1a) However, as you have rightly done in the exposition of it above, you have applied that personal dream to a wider group of (prospective) True Remnant believers. So in the same way, given the meaning in the various <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/advanced-prophetic-symbology.html>symbols</a> (e.g., <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/01/four-living-creatures-throughout-bible.html>cardinal directional points</a>, etc.), figures (women, “pedestal” (Ezek 8:3-6; which is in the “north”), etc) and the allusions to Bible (John 4:35ff) and SOP passages (EW 262.1-265.2) which were involved in your dream, I concretely see that it does have another, wider, and <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html>“historico-eschatological”</a> application. From reading such referenced posts on <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com>my blog</a>, among others, you can also grasp this secondary application.

(cont’d below)

(cont’d)

There is really quite a lot that I can unpack from your dream in conjunction with those referenced Biblical and revelatory findings. E.g., it is quite significant that when, in your dream, you ‘jump off the train heading <b>South</b>’, you immediately headed <b>West</b>, which is actually not the key “opposite direction” (= <b>North</b>), spoken of in the pertinently associated SOP vision. (EW 263.2). It is also significant that you later, upon “leaving the Circus to the <b>north</b>”, see the sun setting in what I gather from your “my left” indication, (thus with the North just behind you and you facing West), to be the <b>East</b>, which most strikingly, and quite crucially, results in the sun setting in the East!?? A lot more can be said on such (prophetically) meaningful nuances and details.

Succinctly and summarily, but pointedly, said here, I see the whole reason for your dream having a secondary application, which as I said above, is due to ‘a shortcoming from those who it favorably concerned’ (=Jer 18:9-10), stemming from the Biblical issue of properly and fully understanding God’s Sabbath. Not understanding this “Fuller” Truth leads even the most privileged and sincere believer to wholly fall for the master “Angel of Light” deception of Satan depicted in that Train vision in the SOP in EW 262.1-265.2; -which is to believe the <a
Babylon lie that ‘one can transgress God’s Law, especially the Sabbath and yet still live, and that Eternally, (i.e., be saved). And, as discussed here, in these eschatological times, this deception is fully found in believing/accepting that Capitalism is Biblical and God’s Ideal. From what I read in your (public) MSDAOL discussions [I cannot relocate the specific post/discussion, perhaps you more readily can], you also seem to be of the view that ‘God’s ideal socio-economic model is not (Biblical) Socialism, but Capitalism). The Bible certainly does not teach this, (contrary to a follow-up, enjoining non-substantiated comment that was made by “Mountain Man” in that discussion). In our times of great vital, global needs, God would moreoverly never endorse a system which is fueled by, and cannot exist apart from, chiefly, selfishness (=self-seeking and individualism), which is the Chief of Sins (4T 384.3ff), and the pointed reason for this entire Great Controversy (see DA 20.2-21.3ff; cf. this related post on True Righteousness.).

So as long as one refuses to accept this Sabbatical/Gospel Light, they’ll really never begin to understand these Fuller Biblical/Doctrinal/Theological/Prophetic Truths. (cf. 2 Cor 3:6-15 vs. EW 285.2).

Hope all this is enlightening/beneficial/helpful!!
NJK Project

April 30, 2012

Hello James,

Not sure if you have noticed my comment on your Red Heifer Blog Post, so this is an email notification. (If applicable, in your blog settings, you can set it up to sent you automatic email notifications of comments posted on your blogs.).

God Bless,
NJK Project

April 30, 2012 9:25 PM

Praise God and thank you very much. Heavenly Father please bless the comprehension of your Holy word and send us your Holy Spirit to prepare the way for the coming of Jesus in our hearts. Bless you in Jesus’ name amen.

May 1, 2012 1:34 PM
You’re welcome! Any comment (or question) on the second part of my comment below?
[Perhaps you did not notice it]. By the way, (slight correction), I mean below: “looking West” and not “facing West”, per se.

May 4, 2012 11:19 AM
Here is a brief, hopefully “calibrating”, additional comment on your dream here and the ongoing discussion you are having about it. <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=141821#Post141821>here</a> on the MSDAOL Forum:

As I understand it (see my <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/sacrifice-of-christ.html>blog post</a> the “Second Death, as Rev 20:14 states, is the tormenting “lake of fire” experience. Yet that experience in itself is one that the wicked will live through until their decreed suffering is complete. Then they will die. So the Second Death itself is that <b>suffering</b> and the ensuing physical death is nothing tangibly different from the First Death.

So though you were shown that a red heifer was being super imposed on Christ, which indeed showed that Jesus fulfilled the typology of that sacrifice, that actually does not change the fact that it is the suffering aspect of that “Second Death” experience which was then being imposed upon Christ starting in Gethsemane. His ensuing death on the Cross, which actually occurred <i>after</i> His sufferings were lifted (DA 754.4ff) was indeed nothing different than the first death (=the Sacrificial Lamb’s Death) which pays that ‘penalty of death’. Death is Death and God has full power over it. So the Second Death is actually the <i>process of suffering</i> that will occur with the wicked through Fire in the Lake of Fire.

So your dream here was actually showing that the Red Heifer sacrifice was fully fulfilled by Jesus’ Gethsemane anguish suffering. And since Jesus was fully obedient, this fulfillment did not require God to “burn Him to ashes in Hell’s fire” in order to get Jesus to accept to fully bear the guilt of all sinners.

So in summary the so called “Second Death” is not actually a physical death itself, but the guilt inculcating suffering process, and it actually ends in simple Death, which is only paid for by Christ’s Paschal-Lamb like Death (= the “First Death”). In other words, the Second Death kills the (rebellious/sinful) “psyche” (a.k.a. “soul”) of man (cf. John 15:13) in/through “Hell”, while the First Death merely kills the body/life of man. (Cf. Matt 10:28).

May 4, 2012 11:21 AM
In regards to your rightly observed understanding that we must go through a Christ-like Gethsemane experience in order to receive the Latter Rain, succinctly said here, as the SOP amply teaches, prior to even going through this anguishing experience which will take place during the Shaking of the Church we will first have to be sealed with the seal of God (=God’s
Full Sabbath Truth) for that is the only way that we will not be moved from God’s Truth during this ordeal. And as the SOP also states, God’s seal will not be place where there is an idol, and the deified and revered sin of selfishness and self-seeking which is entrenched in Capitalism most definitely is such an impeding idol, in both, collectively, the Church and, individually, amongst the people. Just Bibliically can’t ignore that fact! Such a sin, indeed a chief sin at that, will first have to be wholly repented of, and abandoned, or else: ‘the Devil will have something with which to cause the perdition’ of those whom he will be allowed to assist in attacking in the Gethsemane-like Shaking/Little Time of Trouble, and then Great Time of Trouble events. (John 14:30-31)

May 8, 2012 3:42 PM

In case you may be misunderstanding me here, in pointing out the non-natural nuance of your understanding of seeing in your dream ‘a ‘waning’ “sunlight” in the East instead of the West as it should be’, is not to say that your entire vision was false, but that your understanding of it and its meaning, as far as a secondary/wider (i.e., more than just personal) application of it, is. A recent observation from nature in the recent, May 4/5, 2012 <a href=http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Supermoon>“supermoon”</a> helped me to confirm this previously noticed significant nuance. In short here, what you probably actually was shown was the moon as it is <b>setting</b>, which is observable in the East, and is typically accompanied by having a shimmering golden hue. (See e.g., <a href=http://science.nasa.gov/science-news/science-at-nasa/2011/16mar_supermoon/>here</a> and <a href=http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2012/05/120505-supermoon-closest-earth-tides-disasters-space-science-tonight/>here</a> (I am presently not sure if the golden hue only occurs during supermoons.). So the combination of a ‘setting golden moon’ would be pointing to the ‘setting of a supermoon’. Thus the last remains of what had been a brief supermoon occurrence.

Applying <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/advanced-prophetic-symbology.html>(advanced) Biblical symbology</a> here, as used in Rev 12:1, the “moon” is used to represent a “religious Economy/regulator” (cf. Gen 1:14-18) in that context it was the OT covenant that God had thus far made with Israel. And so, in the wider implication of your dream, this would all be symbolizing the indeed last, great chance that the SDA Church had to finish the work (= the field of ripe wheat = John 4:35) and that under the straightforwardly understood prophetic scenario given to/through Ellen White (e.g., the Great Controversy’s book scenario), thus chiefly here, a Final Conflict revolving merely upon a Sunday Law. However, as variously documented on <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com>my blog</a> the SDA Church has catastrophically failed in being faithful to their mission and thus, as represented in your vision, this “Work-Finishing light” of theirs is now setting just as God’s new Economy/Regulator based on Full Righteousness (cf. Mal 4:2-3) is now rising. (=Rev 7:1-3). And during this extended period of time, however long it will come to be, God’s fuller/fullest prophetic plans, now chiefly involving a Seal of God/Mark

5
of the Beast scenario which also includes God’s Full Socio-Economic Sabbatical mandate vs. the World’s Capitalistic System, will come to pass.

May 8, 2012 (Email)

Additional Comments to Your Blog Post

Hello James,

In case you again may not have noticed, I have posted more comment on your Red Heifer blog. (If applicable, you may want to set up your blog’s automatic email notifications for new comments to your posts).

As seeing the full picture of my understanding on the secondary/wider application and implication of your dream may be helpful here, I have attached a PDF copy of a rough draft of the insights I have observed on it. (My comments are in red and the reference numbers in blue are for my blog posts which further discuss an issue raise. See Blog’s Table of Content at to of blog posts. njkproject.blogspot.com

God Bless,

NJK Project

May 15, 2012 (Email)

Some questions on 9/11 Vision...

Hello James Tierney,

I am currently preparing a blog post on your prophetic claim and prophecies. I am currently on your 9/11 vision. To get right to the point here, (lest I am wasting my time writing here, with you just going to ignore my emails/comments it as manifestly you are choosing to, it would be helpful if you could answer the following questions which I do have (I can’t post on MSDAOL because I was banned from that site in July 2011 (Username NJK Project) -seems, at least to me, that they have become much more tolerant by now, in regards to you!!:

As related here:

http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision

see also this Ministry Initiation Testimony post
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2008/07/youre-voice.html
and the post guiding vision alluded to in Note # in this post


(which is related to my April 25, 2012 commenting on your blog).

http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1335395964399#c3416257760146796256

I also have had visions, dreams, impressions/statements, signs and special life and Biblical studying guidance from God, and, (believing your visions to be genuine in themselves, though your applications do not seem to be either exegetically valid and/or still valid given the actually prolonged time we are in), I understand, can understand what can be involved in them.

Based on what you further related on MSDAOL about your 9/11 vision:


1) What is it exactly that you were seeing outside of your father’s window (= your “as if it was...” which made you think that it was ‘looking into the throne room of God?

2) Could you describe exactly the vision you had in its own depictions rather than merely quote EGW’s vision. If they were exactly the same thing, let me know.

   -indeed it would be most helpful for others and validating to you that rather than just claim “I was shown that...” and make a claim, to state exactly what it is that ‘you were shown’ and let others Biblically evaluate it.

3) I didn’t get any hits other then that lone May 5+, 2012 MSDAOL thread and you Club Adventist post for a ‘9/11 God held the four winds’ post so it would be helpful if you can cite, even if on the MSDAOL, the websites you are claiming have discussed your vision.

And just to warn you, you do realize that EGW vision was given on January 5, 1849, thus 152 before 9/11/01 and that EGW states in 15MR 292.2-4 (1897) that it had already occurred. In fact, as exegetically brought out in this post, that sealing halting occurred twice (in ca. 1850 and ca. 1888), as the two different phases of it in EGW vision revealed.


Thanks in advance for an answer.

It is for your own benefit

Ronald
NJK Project

A similar, extensive, analysis post of mine (=my prior work) on David Gates Ministry and Claims may interest you:


I’ll be honestly forthcoming with you as to what I am seeing your “prophetic experience” is actually about, in the light of the fact that you are refusing to heed the “Complete” (=the SOP’s “Full”) Sabbath meaning” and that is that God is jointly using you, Ernie Knolls and Ron Beaulieu as prophets to the SDA Church, who are also refusing the “full Sabbath Message” along the judging lines of e.g., Isa 42:14-18; Ezek 14:1-5 and Isa 28:7-13. So He is giving you visions and experience which seem to mean what you are claiming, but when properly, i.e., exegetically studied out, they actually mean something quite different and condemning to you and SDA’s. When God’s people rebelliously refuse to heed him, out of such selfish reasons of wishing to be translated alive, then, as the Bible clearly attests, states and warns, He not only leaves them on that wrong path, but sends ‘strong delusions so that they may believe the lie and be condemned’ (2 Thess 2:10-12; cf. Isa 63:10). Only the Scriptures, if/when properly studied out, is our safeguard and the arbitrator of all prophetic claims and experiences.

So again, I see that your vision in themselves are genuine, but you are blindly, through shoddy, conjectural, at best, 19th century “proof-texting” exegesis and a rebellious stance against God’s Full Sabbath, not actually properly understanding them. (=2 Cor 3:7-18). So it is really up to you, and not even God at all, if you are misunderstanding and misapplying these vision, leading others astray.

June 12, 2012 - Email
Completed Blog Post on your 9/11 Vision

As your yahoo email address is bouncing back messages, I am sending this email to you here.

Hello James. I have done a blog post which examines this 9/11 prophecy in detail. I do see that in itself it was from God, but the application/interpretation that you are making do not prove in the light of all the Biblical and prophetic information (now) available, to be the right one See:

http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html

I have also discussed in that that “SDA Prophets” examining blog post’ some pertinent detail this dream of yours on the wall of Israel.

Since you are manifestly choosing to ignore my Biblical critiquing, of pointedly your added interpretations to your views, then you are actually failing to do the Biblical thing and ‘provide a
Biblical defence for your view’ (1 Pet 3:14-15). To me, since, as e.g., seen in my blog post comment answers (or as you can see on my MSDAOL Forum discussion post answer which were not deleted from that forum), I naturally do not shirk away from those who have objections to my views, but instead search out what the Biblical resolution is to that objection, that complete silence of yours logically only means to me that you just do not have <b>any</b> valid answer to those points which are exposing your derived/tacked on views/interpretations/“predictions” to your dream.

My blog post was done to, as also biblical, See e.g., 1 Cor 12:10, Biblically determine what is the accurate understanding of your revelations. And when indeed properly understood, they do not at all conflict with my general revelation, from my “First Vision”*, that God has since at least 1999 been acting to raised up the Church Triumphant from the SDA Church Militant, as per the Church’s Shaking, and because of the catastrophic failure of the SDA Church, time will have to be prolonged for as long as it needs to be until that Church Triumphant may then become fully established. And if it does not manage to do so then.... Mal 4:6b!!

By the way, I also mention, and later will probably deal in more details on the ministry and prophecies of other “SDA Prophets”, namely, mainly, Ron Beaulieu and Ernie Knoll.

Hope this all helps!
Ronald Monestime
NJK Project

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision

June 12, 2012 1:09 PM
Blog Post Comment

Hello James. I have done a blog post which examines this 9/11 prophecy in detail. I do see that in itself it was from God, but the application/interpretation that you are making do not prove in the light of all the Biblical and prophetic information (now) available, to be the right one See:

http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html

Hope it helps!

June 12, 2012 1:11 PM

I have <a href=http://godheldthefourwinds.blogspot.com/2011/12/911-god-held-four-wiinds.html?showCo
also discussed in some pertinent detail this dream of yours on the wall of Israel on my “SDA Prophets” examining blog post. See: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html

June 28, 2012 10:19 PM

You very generous Brother. Thank you and may God bless you.

June 29, 2012 4:00 PM

While praying for response, the one thing that comes to mind Brother, is the fact, this is the gate that Adam was thrown out of. The golden gate between heaven and earth was 'cut off'. How do we get back inside? God through His angels had Adam erect an altar before the gate to heaven, the gate that the angels were protecting. They had him slay the offering with his own hand and imagine it was the Son of God he was killing. Fire directly from God would come down and consume the offering as a visible token. This is what happened to me in remembrance of Gethsemane and the Red Heifer. Perfect symmetry that others had found before yet no one talks about it now.

This is also what Satan desires to counterfeit in the end having the false prophet bring the illusion but not the true strength of the power of life. Since this is where Satan will deceive in the end, this should be an important part of the warning for the believers and unbelievers alike don't you think?

Think on this portion of the vision and you will see greater things.

June 29, 2012 5:26 PM

Before I address your response <a href=http://redheifersbloodysewet.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1335893643479#c9189718541788969510>just above</a>, as it then may not be necessary given the apparent misunderstanding here, the “West” I am speaking of is what you were looking at in another vision of yours which I commented on in detail the comment <a href=http://redheifersbloodysewet.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1335396106835#c4542750100118559344>below</a> and not the East in your Red Heifer’s vision. Is that your understanding also for your comment here?

In regards to your view on the Eastern Gate and how you were shown how it relates to what Happen in Eden, I perfectly see that it is indeed as you are understanding it. However not in a literal way as Jerusalem’s Eastern Gate was not the exact location where Eden’s Eastern Gate was.
And I am also seeing a very significant correlation here based on my exposition, see <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/sacrifice-of-christ.html>here</a>, on the fuller meaning of the Sacrifice of Christ and how He went through Hell for us, indeed starting in Gethsemane and then much more physically on the Cross, that by Adam and Eve not taking full and responsibility for their sin, but instead “blamingly passing the buck” (Gen 3:10-13ff), that may very well be the reason why God could not fully forgive them and restore them, as He most mercifully could have and not kick them out of Eden (significantly, as you were validly shown, through its Eastern Gate). And quite to the contrary, Jesus endured our guilt being placed upon Him and that is why He is restoring entrance through that Eastern Gate which is indeed the Messiah Gate where God will then be able to “Edenicly” restore His People in a reestablished, spiritual New Jerusalem and Temple (Ezek 43:1-5ff).

Let me know what you think and also if you have misundertood here the “West” that you were looking at in your other vision.

June 30, 2012 3:40 PM

[Subsequently, previously deleted by James]

Yes, you are correct Brother.

Gethsemane was east of the eastern gate of Jerusalem. It was in the same approximate location in relation to Adams altar outside of Edens eastern Gate. I have not been shown whether it is truly the same spot (which is possible since the flood rearranged the surface of the earth) but the importance here is the direction Jesus was facing, to the west. It is symbolic of Jesus being on earth cut off from and looking to heaven.

When Daniel was taken away to Babylon during the captivity, when he prayed he faced Jerusalem to the west and this was his custom which he would not forsake even when condemned to do so.

The bridge of the Red Heifer, symbolic of the path to heaven, began on the top of Mt Olives, and the bridge crossed the Abyss of the Kidron, the Valley of Jehoshaphat symbolic of hell. But those traveling to Jerusalem (symbolic of the heavenly city) were traveling to the west.

I believe Jesus went to Gethsemane for this purpose, to give us this image to remember. He stood with us on earth and prayed towards the heavenly city, cut off from the Father, so we could cross the abyss.

Gethsemane was at the foundation of that bridge at the foot of Mt Olives on one of the terraces.
Also when coming to the temple you would bring your sacrifice to the eastern vale of the temple and there the priest would lay your hands on the head to transfer the sins.

The door of temple faced the east so when the High priest entered they were facing west. This is a great learning tool, so much symbolic imagery in the order of the temple and Jesus followed this order in His prayer life like we should.

This is what happens when I try to rush through things of importance. Thank you for your determined focus Brother.

Yes the dream that I first received after becoming Adventist of getting off the train heading to destruction keeps unveiling important elements throughout my walk of faith.

It is amazing how God can so clearly cause us to see things that we cannot comprehend right away but are slowly revealed through time.

The direction of West and North are very significant. In Daniel chapter 11 it says "tidings from the North and East will trouble him" (the king of the North) and he shall go out to destroy many because of these tidings. If tidings come from the east they are heading west, the angel of Rev 7 'ascends from the east' so he is heading west. Gethsemane was east of Jerusalem so the prayers of Jesus while He was facing west were going to heaven to the North, just as those who meet with Him in the Garden facing west point their prayers to heaven also.

I believe the things God has been revealing to us are part of these tidings that will enrage Satan.

To the north on a compass is heaven. The West is the advancement of time but it is also the path to the future, the only way out off of earth.

Gethsemane was east of the eastern gate of Jerusalem. It was in the same approximate location in relation to Adams altar outside of Edens eastern Gate. I have not been shown whether it is truly the same spot (which is possible since the flood rearranged the surface of the earth) but the importance here is the direction Jesus was facing, to the west. It is symbolic of Jesus being on earth cut off from and looking to heaven (North).
When Daniel was taken away to Babylon during the captivity, when he prayed he faced Jerusalem to the west, and this was his custom which he would not forsake even when condemned to do so.

The bridge of the Red Heifer, symbolic of the path to heaven, began on the top of Mt Olives, and the bridge crossed the Abyss of the Kidron, the Valley of Jehoshaphat symbolic of hell. But those traveling to Jerusalem (symbolic of the heavenly city) were traveling to the west.

I believe Jesus went to Gethsemane for this purpose, to give us this image to remember. He stood with us on earth and prayed towards the heavenly city, cut off from the Father, so we could cross the abyss.

Gethsemane was at the foundation of that bridge at the foot of Mt Olives on one of the terraces.

June 30, 2012 04:40 PM

Oh no Brother the orientation is wrong here. I hope I didn't print it that way.

You are correct that when I got off the train I was heading West.

When I left the circus I was heading North and the sun was setting on my left (west). If I misprinted this please forgive me.

Hoping this helps. (I need someone to proofread these writings) Thank you.

June 30, 2012 04:52 P.M.

I see now where you could misunderstand what I wrote.

I meant to say "while leaving the circus (south), I was heading North with the sun setting to my left (West).

These images in orientation are how I knew which way I was heading because of the placement of the sun's light during the course of the Journey. The way it was illustrated in the dream was very significant and I thank you for clarifying the issue to be able to give a clearer picture of how it was shown.

Sorry about the confusion.
No problem. I can understand how the mistake was made particularly given the fact that you used that lone readily recognisable directional indicator to orient yourself for the entire dream. I had a very similar experience in a ‘vision of the night’, (which I make allusion to in this post where it was a thunderstorm and then white cloud that had moved to one side of building we were in and in the vision I was frantically trying to get clueless onlooking SDA to recognize that ‘This was the Sign of the Son of Man’ (=EW 15.2), in a couple of audible shouts which woke me up and ended that revelation), I used that lone directional indicator which I knew from the SOP was to occur in the East, to figure out the rest of the 3 other directions which I had seen myself go to and/or look towards. So since you readily saw that direction in your dream where there was ‘a sliver of sunlight left’ as naturally being the place where the does Sun sets, then I can understand how you would see that this was the West, and also be able to identify the other directions involved in your dream.  (As you have not yet done so, I recommend that you make the correction on that post as other may cavil at the ‘sun setting in the East’ notion straightly understood from how it is expressed there.) Interestingly/Tellingly enough in my vision, the only cardinal point which I did not pointedly engage was what SDA’s were considering as “the North”, but which was “the West” to me (matching the directional sides of OT Israel’s “temporal” prophetic destiny.) [See my discussion of the different “Temporal (=Israel - Kingdom) vs. Spiritual (=SDA - Church) directional viewings in this post, -around the Illustration with the “Four Living Creatures & Camp Location” caption.]. And the side which SDA’s were considering as “the West”, which itself represents the end of all things, was Temporally “the South” to me. (= Kingdom of the South = Judah = SDA Church) to me.

So I now see that my derived “setting (super)moon in the East” interpretation does not then apply here, and that is just as well since, it is rather according to my understanding, that though I see the moon as representative of a Religious Economy, it, in relation to the SDA Church, actually goes beyond their various preferred religious practices, but by actually what God had revealed to the Remnant Church through EGW and what they had managed to discover in the Bible. So the interpretation now would instead, but somewhat similarly be, that, with the sun about to set in the West, and with the Sun representing (Christ’s) Righteousness (Mal 4:2), it is being pointed out in your dream that the daylight time for “harvest” (John 4:35) was about to set. So the harvesting work would have to be quickly done, if it ever was going to be done. But with the striking joining of the “harvest” theme with a coming “setting”, I am seeing this as a ‘setting of the harvest’ thus ‘ending of the harvest season’ and thus a pointed allusion to Jeremiah’s statement in relation to Judah: Jer 8:20: “Harvest is past, summer is ended, And we are not saved.” It is a statement which has reference to the Shaking of the Church. And so, if the Church does not indeed act to produce their expected harvest, their time and window of opportunity will pass, but not
inconsequently so, for this “spoiling of God’s harvest” it will be imputed as sin against them. There are indeed presently ‘not enough workers’ in/from the SDA Church to properly reap that harvest. (=Matt 9:37)

(cont’d)

July 2, 2012 2:22 PM
(cont’d)

As I read that you ‘woke up right after/upon that Matt 9:37 statement, I’ll share what I have come to see/learn from similar points where I suddenly wake up from my dream/vision, (as it was the case in my experience above). As I see the pointed meaning of this, this is God’s way of emphatically saying/showing that ‘this is the present real life point where you are now at’ and by dutiful implication, this is then to be a major focus in whatever work or message we are doing. So I am seeing here that God is expecting you to help raise up more workers for God’s harvest from the SDA Church. As I also have seen, it is only when people begin to engage in this harvest work that they then will truly and fully see how much they need to engage in the candid and honest soul searching experience of Gethsemane, in both pleading for others, even carrying their (sin-derived) burden, as did Christ, but also searching themselves to see how they are aligning with the will of God. As I commonly say based on this observation: “It is more important to seek to (practically) do what is right, rather merely/first seek to (intellectually) be right”, because doing what is right will automatically lead one to be right, while being right may not lead one to also do what is right. That pointedly applies to the Gospel of Christ and the True understanding of His Righteousness. (See <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html>this post</a>)

(By the way, I don’t know if you ever received my emailed (at your msn email) PDF draft of jotted interpretive commenting on this ‘Speeding Train’ dream of yours, wherewith I am also seeing a secondary application to it. If not, you can download a copy <a href=http://www.njkproject.info/wbse/documents/Tierney4CardinalPoints.pdf>here</a>. It now includes a correcting update for the ‘Sun setting in West’ issue discussed here.) There are some other issue which you may want to further discuss and/or clarify. You can contact me by email if you prefer, (see my email in my <a href=http://www.blogspot.com/profile/06869482197277660277>blog’s profile</a>, or publicly discuss them here (or another place of your choice). That would be helpful as I will likely to a formal blog posting of that dream in your section in my <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>Testing blog post</a>. I have been doing the same beneficial correction and/or discussion clarifying thing with Eugene Shubert. (See <a href=http://www.everythingimportant.org/SDA/viewtopic.php?p=6995&sid=e6ffae0263cbe7890472a770a83d87d7#p6995>here</a>)
Hello James. You have touched on several issues in your response which involved quite in depth exegetical studies of each topic. I’ll address them as succinctly as possible and as I have dealt with some issues on my blog I’ll at times merely make reference to what is contextually spoken of there.

Indeed, an actual true sign of a revelation of God is that it is multifaceted and can speak to different corresponding situations, even in different times/eras, (i.e., (Primary) Local/Literal vs. (Secondary) Global/Spiritual; and also Historical vs. Eschatological) as repeatedly and consistently seen in Biblical prophecy. (That has been my constant discovery in studying Bible and SOP prophecies, as well as contemporary revelations given to various SDA. See e.g., my presently ongoing discussion, started <a href=http://www.everythingimportant.org/SDA/viewtopic.php?p=6994&sid=e6ffae0263cbe7890472a770a83d87d7#p6994>here</a>, with Eugene Shubert). ...

[As the rest of my comment here is long, and of a general nature in regards to contemporary SDA Claims of prophecies, I have opted to post the rest of it on my “Testing the Spirits” blog post. See it pointedly <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney_com2>here</a>. You can however make any follow up comment here in your own blog post.]

[The following highlighted comments by me were not submitted]

As you may have seen in my <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>“Testing the Spirits” blog post</a>, I have seen that God has jointly addressed two major and pivotal issues of our day. The first revolves around the Shaking of the SDA Church, and it involves the thorough and candid testing of pointedly SDA’s to ‘see what is really/genuine in their hearts’ (=Gen 22:12; Deut 8:2; 13:1-3; [b]Jer 17:9-10[/b]; 2 Chr 32:31) in comparison with their various grandiose professions (e.g., in regards to the Second Coming, the Remnant Message, etc). However, and in regards to the second issue here, just as God followed up His Jer 17:1-18 revelation on the ‘deceitfulness of one’s heart’ (and follow a pointed “Sabbath Reform” exhortation (Jer 17:19-27); God then explicitly reveals how His promises/prophecies are all based on conditions of obedience (Jer 18:1-10). And because Judah had proven in their “heart testing” to stubbornly, actually be ‘evil, God then had to annul any favoring promises/prophesies and instead ‘fashion calamity’ (Jer 18:11-12ff). And, this is where I am seeing that my calling wholly is. I.e., I am seeing, and more and more clearly as I am further “testing the manifested (genuine) Spirits in the SDA Church”, that God is indeed dually addressing both of these possible scenarios at once. If the Church and its Members proves faithful/genuine and/or repent and obey the claims of the word of God on them, both collectively and individually, then only the favoring aspects of the
secondary scenario will be fulfilled, all under the blessings in the Latter Rain. However if they prove unfaithful, then the also included adverse consequences, resembling the Ezek 9 destruction will have to be executed as part of that Shaking. There is no getting around that issue that the SDA will be strongly shaken, and I am seeing your revelations and certain of your derived messages, when they prove to be exegetically tenable, are acting to thoroughly test the SDA Church.

So based on that, though I am substantively seeing that, as shown in the Bible and SOP on the Shaking, that the end results in regards to those who will be faithful will be comparatively dismal (perhaps ca. “1 in 20” as the SOP seriously says), there are still that small remnant to gather from the SDA Church (=12,000 from the tribe of Judah in Rev 7:4a). So, I see that it is only if the SDA Church as a majority whole would heed the various Shaking-surviving messages presented in the Bible and SOP, as well as from other despised contemporary sources which I am seeing have indeed been raised by God (such as those cited on my <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>“Testing” post</a> (a true prophet is never ascertain by how popular and well-liked/accepted he is by the main body (see Matt 23:29-36)), will it be able to complete as prophesied the Final Event Scenario stipulated through EGW. If they do not, then God will have to continue to hold the winds, as you saw in <a href=http://godheldthefourwinds.blogspot.com/2011/12/911-god-held-four-wiinds.html>your vision</a>, but for a much longer time then (as He has the <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/book-preview-god-all-mighty.html>All Mighty Power to do so), so that His Gospel work can be properly done (=Rev 7:3). In other words, God will justly act to do what is best for the redemption of this world and if SDA prove to not be able to accomplish the plans set out through EGW then God will implement a greater and more glorious work with a new group/generation of people/believers combined with the SDA Shaking victorious ones. (=LDE 59-62; 15MR 292.3-4) And so I have long seen, as initially <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision> shown to me by God</a>, that God has ( quasi-preemptively) raised me to tangibly prepare that secondary/alternative course, while he then has been engaging in testing the SDA Church as per the Shaking, mainly according to all of the light they have had and could and should have become well acquainted with.

So while I am, with such Biblical guidance, instruction/mandate and confirming lights, not seeing/interpreting that your revelations as you are, e.g., to the “end” that you are expecting, indeed because I do not see that there will be a significant enough, if any, survivors of the SDA Church Shaking for God to be able to Finish the Work through them as it needs to be, (which then results in us having completely opposite understanding of the Dan 11 prophecy. (See my view <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2009/11/daniel-11-text.html>here</a>), it indeed is not because, as stated on my “Testing” post, because I think you are making false prophecies, but merely because the spiritual “circumstances on the ground” with SDA’s and in the SDA Church will prevent such a rapid and literal EGW completing scenario. As I have seen it, God’s grandeur “alternative” has long been engaged and it will indeed be much more successful, particularly
given the demands of the Gospel in regards to it needing to be accepted by Faith to be effective.

This may help you to understand the link that I had made with your understanding of Jerusalem East Gate with (and which identically is) the one which is spoken of in Ezek 43:1-5; cf. 47:1-12ff (As I see from my new comment notification emails for your blog that you have deleted the two comments you had made in regards to my view on this posted above.) In the alternative scenario, if/when the SDA Church fails, the then temporal (Kingdom) eschatological fulfilment vs. the spiritual (Church) one, will come to more literally and tangibly involve Ezekiel’s eschatological prophecies. Indeed, as discussed <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html>here</a>, Ezekiel prophecies are the foundational part of the “Scroll that is (yet) to be unrolled” as EGW tracely understood (6T 17.1).

To the latter point on this possible, and now most likely, alternative “end”, I am repeatedly surprised by the deliberate genius of the Heavenly intelligence to imperceptively incorporate elements for both possible scenarios, (with now the secondary one steadily, increasingly being much more likely than the first), within the same prophecy. The first instance of this which I have now long seen is in the prophecy of Dan 11. I.e., I could have had a scenario with involves the King of the North being the Papacy and thus being Evil, but it just as well, from the ambiguity in the text’s syntax, vocabulary, and depicted action, has the possibility of being an entity which is acting for God to restore God’s Israel by restoring and working with the 10 North tribes. (See my <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2009/11/daniel-11-text.html>Dan 11 post</a>). I am also, most fascinatingly, repeatedly seeing this as I am studying the various revelations of contemporary/modern SDA Prophets (i.e., people who have had genuine prophetic dreams and visions as cited in my <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>“Testing” post</a>). A perfect example of this is the ‘I am Coming [soon]’ statement made in some of Ernie Knoll’s dreams which I have discussed here. In all of this it is not that God is being deceitful, not at all, but it actually is that, given the actual Lukewarm state of Laodicea here, versus the mostly cold state of OT Israel, God then has to correspondingly apply a “lukewarm” version of Ezek 14:1-8 where the raise up prophet then will not be pointedly made to prophesy evil things, but, and testingly so, prophesy of the righteous things that the Church half/also believes but is not actually acting on these things as they should.

So, in summary here the end result of how things will actually work out here will all depend on how the Church responds, particularly to what it professes to believe, and by claiming to firmly believe that EGW was a prophet of God, that comes to include many things, things which the Church is not wholly or properly doing, such as doing all that they can to help those in need (WM 23-62ff = Matt 25:31-46; Isa 58; DA 825.4).

http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#ernieknoll_notsoon

So while we may have different understandings of how this prophecy will transpire and end and
Thus how you are seeing here how your Red Heifer vision relates to it, I actually still see that they various principles in your vision, such as here with the Red Heifer, contains principles

(By the way, I don’t know if you ever received my emailed (at your msn email) PDF draft commenting on this ‘Speeding Train’ dream of yours but I am seeing a secondary application to it. If not, then I can send you another copy. Just email me at my blog’s profile email address.)

First of all, as a general comment, I have discussed the North vs. South battle in Dan 11 [href=http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2009/11/daniel-11-text.html>here</a> (it includes a more precise translation based on the NASB) and have seen that it is actually currently speaking upon a Civil War in the SDA Church, which is involves the long-warned of Shaking Conflict of the Church. (EW 269-273). So before it can even have an application on the wider Christian Church/World, I see it as having a corresponding application in the SDA Church with the Church being tested on the same issues, and stringently more so, to what will next similarly test the whole world.

That said, in order to save time here I’ll be as succinct and summarily as possible. If you need further details or documentary references, let me know. As I present on my blog on Dan 11, the North = the 10 Northern (Lost) tribes of Israel and the South = the 2 Souther tribes in the kingdom of Judah. As such, in a Shaking and Civil war setting which serves to set up the 144,000, starting with the sealing in Judah, the king of the North does not necessarily have to be evil. In fact I am seeing that it is the king of the South who is so. (You’ll notice a deliberate ambiguity throughout Dan 11 so that, over things on the ground turn out to be (i.e., e.g., Good South Evil North or Evil South and Good North), God’s word will still have a most pointed application. And so Dan 11:44 the News from the North and East can indeed be evil news and the Good King of the North would be warring to keep that evil in check which is threatening to over run its newly established kingdom.

Addressing most specifically your points. The North does not actually mean the Heavens. I see that Isa 14:13-14 is used to claim this, but this is at the very least, speaking of a northern region in Heaven itself. In other words, the Devil, in his envisaged coup, would have had already ‘ascended into heaven’ and then while there he would establish his throne in the North. However I am more inclined to see that this passage is dual saying how Satan planned to established his throne in both Heaven and Earth. So after having done so in Heaven (Isa 14:13a), he then would return to Earth, (where God also aims to establish His Throne), and establish his throne in the northern regions. I have seen that understanding such cardinal points in regards to the land of Israel as a whole is best. And so here Satan would plan to establish his earthly throne in Israel itself. In the Northern regions, which is probably even beyond the furthest conquered borders of Israel.

The East can be understood as where Babylon was in relation to Israel. I can see your city of Jerusalem vs. Gethsemane understanding, but I am seeing this a merely a microcosm of the large
battle here. So it may be the scope of what is involved in the Church’s Shaking Battle which only
takes place in Judah, but when the whole land of Israel next becomes involved (=the Global
Christian Church/World) then the North and East also have a corresponding wider scope. As I
said above, the news from the North and East can be either good or bad in itself. It all depends
more on who the King of the North is representing at that time. And it is not unlike God as seen
in the Bible to justly use destructive force as the last possible resort to put down those who insist
on killing His righteous people.

I “eschatologically” understand that by the Dan 11:44 establishments by the King of the North,
that God’s Israel would once again be fully, globally established as He had always envisioned.
And so I see that the Rev 7 Sealing (see <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/06/coming-of-sealing-angel.html>this post</a>)
sequence would by then have been long completed. Therefore than contraring news from the
North and East would respectively be Military (Coalition) threat (cf. Jer 6:22; 50:41) as well as a
religious one from Babylon, where God’s people had by then come out of. (Both together = Jer
50:9ff). So this would be the last gasp Gog and/from Magog invasion attempt, which follows the
priorly deliberately incomplete (i.e., the enemies of Israel were not killed then) Battle of
Armageddon, which God will most formidably war against.

So I am seeing that your understanding is playing a spiritual role in a microcosm of the wider
North vs. South Battle, starting first within Judah itself. The same saving spiritual principle of
how to obtain victory then also applies to the wider, and recursive North vs. South Battle, but the
battle then is for much more than the city of Jerusalem, but the whole promise land itself.

July 7, 2012 6:44 PM

Hello James, I just noticed your comment <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=143864#Post143864>here on the MSDAOL Forum</a> in regards to your hailstone post here which says:

“<b>I was also shown</b> the droplets of the plagues falling as a warning that we ARE in the
last days...”

You made no claim in this post, unlike in some of your other blog posts, to this post involving
any “direct divine inspiration/revelation”. So how or why is it that you are (now?) claiming this
about seeing large hailstone falling??....And you do realize that EGW’s statement in CET 187.3
is now at least 113 years old. (=5T 212.4 (1882-1889)). So that “Already...” statement is not
defaulty a reference to our day.

Just as a “collegial” advice, which EGW herself knew and understood (cf. E.g., ISM 24-26; cf.
George Knight, <a
href=http://books.google.com/books?id=1dgxOy0sWhYC&printsec=frontcover&dq=george+knight+reading+ellen+white&hl=en&ei=60tcTbyrKMX6lwfA_f3mCQ&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved=0CDUQ6AEwAA#v=onepage&q&f=false>Reading Ellen White</a> pp. 104-112 (Ch. 17).), it does you no, at least lasting, service to your claim of having the prophetic to believe/claim or imply that every and anything you state is God’s own statement. Doing so, which is completely unbiblical, and even Paul knew that not everything he said was “out of commission”/“the word/revelation of God” (1 Cor 7:6, 25, 40; 2 Cor 8:10), you are tragically setting both yourself and anyone who would believe that extent of claim for a bitter disappointment. (Relatedly, as I have pertinent stated <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney>elsewhere</a> on that post, (unless you can Testimonially or Biblically conclusive show otherwise), I entirely see your <a href=http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html>“Eighth King”</a> claim is a prime example of your views and opinions, or even “studies”, being “pontifically” [and I pointedly have used that term] passed off as God’s prophetic plan.

So if this view here has come from “direct divine revelation” then do owe it to at least those who believe you have been given certain such revelations, to objectively and transparently state such details and experiences in support of such claims.

**July 7, 2012 7:30 PM**

Just a few factual issues/questions with your statement and claim in <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=143890#Post143890>this MSDAOL posting</a>.

On what date did you share your dream here with Doug Batchelor, at least the year?

That “Days of Destiny” series was not “just released”. It was preached and released in the first half of 2006. (See in <a href=http://www.amazingfacts.org/television/everlasting-gospel/tid/3.aspx>this page</a>). With, as the url filenaming scheme shows, within Week 15-24 of 2006 (”26XX”) part of the filename. (And I’ll have to watch parts of it again to make sure, but I recall having already viewed it a long time ago.)

**July 7, 2012 7:32 PM**

Also, related to a similar claim of yours, mentioned by me <a href=http://redheifersbloodyssweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1341703819767#c769715251163077741>here</a>, your claim <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=142>
of ‘several people citing/referencing your vision and view on ‘the holding of the 4 Winds’ on their website has not yet been verifiably documented/substantiated. It would be better/helpful to explicitly list the web links of those sites here with this post.

July 8, 2012 11:02 AM

James, I listened to that <a href="http://www.amazingfacts.org/media-library/media/e/1531/t/days-of-destiny--pt.2-gethsemane.aspx" title="Days of Destiny Part 2 - Gethsemane" target="_blank">Days of Destiny Part 2 - Gethsemane</a> by Doug Batchelor and right from his opening ‘Amazing Fact’ story/info on G-Forces, I could readily tell that I had listened to it before, a while ago. So as they indicated it was an early 2006 sermon. (You can also tell this by the fact that the video is filmed in Standard Definition (Amazing facts has been filming in HD for a while now), and also by the non-grayness of Doug’s hair and mustache!).

What do you mean when you had said that ‘Batchelor was the one who responded most positive to your sharing (I am assuming via email) of this dream’? Did you share the content with him via email or did you send him a link to this blog post, for in that latter case, since you posted it on this blog, as indicated by you, on “December 6, 2011”, then he would have been making reference/allusion to it for that 2006 sermon/series.

Listening to the sermon itself, there is very little that I am seeing which actually/necessarily would/could be from your dream. In fact, it that was the case, I think Batchelor would have made the linking to the Red Heifer implication since he likes those typological parallels. The only statement I see he made which resembled yours was his indeed analogical claim at [10:45-13:43] that ‘the Kidron (=‘mirky and dark’) flowed with blood from the many sacrifices (250,000+) in the Temple (=Josephus), and that when Jesus crossed it, that was like an antitype of the crossing the “Red Sea” [as if the Red Sea was actually “red” in color, -It was actually literally called the Sea of“Reeds/Weeds” (cf. the use of that Hebrew word [#05488] in Exod 2:3, 5; Isa 19:6; Jon 2:5)]. That is all <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#fluff" target="_blank">quite typical</a> of Batchelor’s ‘Caveman theology/exegesis’ involving shallow and surface word associations and word plays as well as the whimsically concocted typological claims. This all has been a prominently, very shoddy/bad preaching “example” in the SDA Church. Such claims of his may quipply/surfacely ‘sound great’, but, like “cotton candy” they really have not lasting value nor “nutritious” content. So such an incorrect example of Bible study is not one to follow/emulate. (Frankly and sincerely here, as I had said on <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney" target="_blank">my blog post</a> in certain parts, or in the entirety in a couple of cases (i.e., the <a href="http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html" target="_blank">‘Eight h King’</a>) and <a href="http://lastplaguedrops.blogspot.com/2012/01/revelation-1621-from-sky-huge.html" target="_blank">‘last
plagues droplets falling’</a>) of your appended expositions on your actual prophetic revelations, I am seeing that you are doing a similar shallow thing.)

So I am seeing here that you made a wrong assumption in thinking and claiming that Doug Batchelor had been making allusions to your dream in his 2006 sermon. Perhaps you can factually demonstrate/prove otherwise?

July 8, 2012 9:44 PM

Days of Destiny is a 2008 sermon not 2006.

I had never heard that sermon before. Several points he brought up were exactly what God had showed me in conjunction with the vision.

Jesus left the Upper room and exited the eastern Gate, the subject I was meditating on when the vision occurred. He crossed the Kidron and began His three days and nights there in Gethsemane.

Go back and listen the very end after the music.

Pastor Doug was very positive in all the things I shared with him about my vision. Since I had emailed him he probably assumed I had got a lot of the information I wrote about from his sermons but those things came to me from God in support of the vision.

July 8, 2012 10:51 PM

What is your hard evidence of that?? From their consistent dating/filenaming scheme the eg2616 filename means a Week 16 Year 2006 sermon. You can contact the SacCentral Office via email to check if you don’t believe me. I already have on a similar sermon date ascertaining matter. (Mind you, the secretary then was surprised that I had figured that out. She was going by her past weekly records to confirm my suspected date. So maybe the webmasters may concretely confirm this, but the secretary should be able to find the date of that sermon.

The question still is when exactly did you email Doug Batchelor?

I listened to his comment at the very end, after the music and nothing which must be “from your vision’ (if that was even the factual case). At best, since Doug was humbly prayerfully asking for wisdom for that sermon, as he shares, then in a similar way (e.g, James 1:5) that God inspired you with the pivotal importance of that mental agony of Christ, He (partially) did the same for Doug, of course not with a vision as with you.

And “just released” sermons appear at the top of the Everlasting Gospel’s listing of “Latest
Programs”. I don’t see this being the case with that series. And even a 2008 vs. 2006 release, is not something that was “just released”.

What are you meaning that “Pastor Doug was very positive...” Did he email you to share his supposed “positive thoughts/feedback” or are you just (subjectively/assumingly) reading this into his attitude during the sermon.

Do you realize that you last claim is contradictory of all you have claimed here and/or you are just changing your story when faced with facts!? I.e.: If ‘Doug (now) must have thought that your statement in you vision sharing email were taken from his sermon’ then how is it that he would be the one who crafted his sermon from your email communication??!

As I said and pointed out, it seems clear to me that Doug got his “common info” straightly from his (albeit) surface/wordplay “exegesis”.

And if he was “positive in all” then why no clear mention of the Red Heifer typology, since that is clearly Biblical.

I actually have no problem/issue with the substance of you vision here, just the factual veracity/actuality of your Doug Batchelor proclamatory endorsement. (Just to be transparent that I am here merely trying to help you, I sometimes make the same assumptions about someone using/referring to something I posted on my blog in their sermons, but at times, I later see that that could not at all be the factual case since my posting was done after the original date of that sermon.)

July 9, 2012 5:35 PM

His sermon was in 2008 and my vision occurred in 2009 as it says on my blog. I did not know the date this sermon was presented when I originally wrote this response. (His website said 2008 on the sermon yesterday but now I cannot find that.) I was very excited by the fact that this might have been in response to what I sent him. I have not spoken to him since that last email two years ago so I was more or less hoping this was in response to my vision.

I am just grateful to be a part of this, to be permitted to witness these things for God. Since pastor Doug had these things in his heart before I contacted him, it makes more sense why he seemed so open to hear the vision God gave me.

I love the fact that he and I had been drawn to the same conclusions without comparing notes. I had never heard him say those things before, so it is slightly deflating to know that he did say these things before I had contacted him, but still exciting because he came to a similar conclusion.
I am still trying to figure all of this out myself.

To be clear, I emailed pastor Doug in 2009, the same time I emailed 30 other evangelists. Pastor Doug responded very encouragingly by saying that what I had shared made perfect sense and he would save the material for future use. I guess this is why I assumed this sermon was after our correspondence. I hope this makes it all clear because it hurts to think I would be seen as a liar in this wonderful message.

July 10, 2012 11:01 PM

Okay James, now I understand your honest misunderstanding here. As I said... if been there at times. Thanks for the clarification. As you rightly observe not being factual/truthful can greatly impede one’s ministry. E.g., Ernie Knoll past waywardness (cf. in <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#ernieknoll>here</a>), and, as I discuss and document in much detail <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/ministry-of-david-gates.html>here</a> David Gates and his factual, and also “exegetical” guilefulness, and an inceptive major reason why he was not well, widely accepted/support from the start.

That said and clarified, I think you owe it to yourself, since I see you have not yet done so as of the time of my posting here of course, make the correction/clarification on both of your MSDAOL postings: <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&amp;Number=143890#Post143890>here</a> and also <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&amp;Number=143889#Post143889>here</a>.

It would also behoove you to provide the concrete substantiation, I.e., web links, for your claim on ‘Four Winds Vision online usage/citing’ I remarked <a href=http://godheldthefourwinds.blogspot.com/2011/12/911-god-held-four-wiinds.html?showComment=1341703925721#c897577848122801338>here</a>.

An issue that is still left to resolve here is the actual date of Doug Batchelor’s sermon, I have been doing some searching on the internet and I see where we have a different understanding here. (For my pressed time’s sake I won’t be included all of the links to the available/found web info, but you can ask me if you cannot find or need to see the web site of a specific detail. By the way the keyword I used for my Search to find the main info here was the sermon series title “Days of Destiny”).

From what I see you probably got the 2008 date from the <a href=http://www.amazingfacts.org/television/amazing-facts-presents/tid/3.aspx>Amazing Facts Presents</a> (AFP) program series presentation for that sermon. It was aired on March 2, 2008. However as they clearly say at the beginning of that broadcast: ‘it is an excerpt version of the
Everlasting Gospel (EG) sermon’. The single sermon AFP broadcast is actually only 30 minutes long and thus half the time of the original EG sermon. And March 2, 2008 is a Sunday, which is the date when that broadcast aired. So, as I stated before, Amazing Facts uses a consistent and specific filenaming scheme and the eg2616 filename indicates an “Everlasting Gospel, Week 16 of 2006” sermon. (The AFP filename of the 2008 edited rebroadcast is merely an non-definite: ape02. The 02 seems to be for their “second ever” as other numbering show that they do not broadcast the sermons for that series in a calendar date order.)

From my podcast records, it is clear that Amazing Fact dates its sermon for EG and Central Sabbath School according to the Sabbath when they were initially presented. So their dates is what is the original preached date vs. AFP rebroadcasting date. So the eg2616 naming scheme points to a sermon preached on <a href=http://www.clndr.org/2006/April>April 22, 2006</a>. If you go through the <a href=http://www.amazingfacts.org/television/everlasting-gospel.aspx>AF EG broadcast archive</a> you’ll find what sermon was actually preached on <b>Saturday</b> March 1, 2008 (if it was Doug Batchelor who preached that Sabbath.

July 10, 2012 11:03 PM

In response to you effort to fully figure out your vision: you may find my exposition on the Sacrifice <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/sacrifice-of-christ.html>here</a> contextualizingly/“couchingly” helpful as it speaks of the similar mental anguish that the righteous will go through in the Shaking (EW 269.1, 270.4) and the Great Time of Trouble (EW 254.1; GC 619.1). Also see the wider contexts of those SOP passages.

July 10, 2012 11:14 PM

I forgot to add as further documented evidence the AF file naming date scheme, if you look at lastest posted sermon as of today on the <a href=http://www.amazingfacts.org/television/everlasting-gospel.aspx>AF EG broadcast archive</a>, the Sermon entitled “Can Christians be Perfect” its (mp4 video) filename is <b>eg21220.mp4</b> and its date is shown in my Itunes download titles record for that podcast as “May 19, 2012”, which as seen <a href=http://www.clndr.org/2012/May>here</a> was indeed the 20th Sabbath/week of 2012.

July 11, 2012 1:11 AM

Thank you Brother. I did as requested and posted one response on Maritime so far. I will follow through with more. When praying about the subject I was very much encouraged by the Father that what happened was to show my sincerity, that I am not above reproach and counsel, but mainly so I could see what He showed me was of no private interpretation.
Pastor Doug again and again passes the Spiritual test through the Holy Spirit for me, but this time he was also used to verify the message by receiving the same message to a degree. All of the major supporting evidence is there in those two sermons, that's why I was so excitedly rushing to this conclusion. It was not a revelation though so it led to a test. Now I see afterwards. Perfect. I love how He loves me.

Isn't it wonderful that Pastor Doug was the only evangelist to respond so positively and instead of saying, "yeah I know, I said that in a sermon before" he was supporting to me and encouraging. What a man.

July 11, 2012 1:16 AM

...of God.


<b>I had received a posting notification of my post and had read it on your blog, somehow it got deleted. But since you say you did not intentionally delete it, here it is again:</b>

No Problem James. Glad to hear! Truth, factual and Biblical, is harmoniously, inclusively, paramount.

I can see/understand you approval of Doug Batchelor, particular in regards to this issue, but I am actually seeing something serious in this development. As you may notice throughout my blog, I am factually and documentedly not seeing that Batchelor, though he has done a lot and more than most other SDA Pastors is, Biblically speaking, making use of all of his “talents” (i.e., resources and “investing/developing/advancing” opportunities, particularly in regards to properly presenting the Truth. Therefore I am Spiritually finding it quite significant that He was quite manifestly, at least to me, bypassed for that Red Heifer Vision revelation which he could have also had in response to his prayer for wisdom on this topic. Just looking at the way in which your message is not being widely/readily received, e.g., your 1 in 30 favorable responses from those emailed SDA preachers, it can easily be seen that that “key/pivotal” vision and Latter Rain outpouring message could have been more readily presented to the SDA Church if it was Doug Batchelor who had been given that direct revelation. Indeed the message is so straightforwardly Biblical (i.e., the meaning of the Red Heifer), that it would most likely have been widely received, and even if Doug Batchelor had chosen to present it merely as a normal sermon, but especially if he clearly stated that it had been from a vision of God.

I think much can be seen from the fact that he, despite have had some inspired light on that subject, and then later contacted by you, is not, and has not actively and widely promoted, at the very least, your vision.
All this to say that, as stated in 5T 82.2, God has a knowing reason why he bypasses, e.g., prominent, popular, charismatic preachers. It seems to me all things considered on this matter that Doug is more concerned about his “life” and reputation than presenting Full Biblical Truth, and God was probably seeing that he would snub such a revelation or slightly present it. That is all like what had happen with Hazen Foss in the 1840's and why God then had to choose the “weakest of the weak” in EGW.

So, when Biblically “testing” someone, try to put any personal or emotional sentiments aside (e.g., a desire to be accepted) and do not be afraid or “Pastor-struck”, as I was shown in a visionary <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/03/fuller-understanding-of-mark-of-beast.html>“Fuller Mark of the Beast”</a> related revelation on November 6, 2000 (see more on it <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/primer-on-plagues-rev-8-15.html#heb412>here</a> and its endnote) deliberately involving, specifically, and representatively, Doug Batchelor, to “wield God’s sharp two-edged sword” (Heb 4:9-13; cf. Jer 1:7-8).

July 16, 2012 7:08 PM

I do not always post every aspect of the information God gives or how He gives the information, I post what is relevant to the subject. I just know when I receive information from Him because of how He shares it with me, having His signature attached. It never contradicts what has already been written and supports what has yet to be fulfilled.

Here Mrs White from CET was writing about her time, but also that these events would escalate till the end. She was showing us to look for the droplets as a sign of the end times. Most people are not fully convinced that we really are in the end times, or they would be living a whole lot different than they are now. I am sent to warn that Jesus is at the door knocking.

If you are interested I will share how God told me these things. Sometimes the story is very intensive, long. But I am willing since your attention has been arrested, to share.

July 17, 2012 7:34 PM

I can readily understand not given all but pertinent details of a revelation at a time, but what I don’t see as applicable is that unlike your other blog posts, in this one you did not at all say that ‘God himself had shown you the significance of that weather occurrence’, but only made such a claim much later in your MSDAOL discussion. Was it not “relevant” here to clearly and explicitly state that, as you claim, God had showed you that droplets of the 7 last Plagues had begun.??

I’ll pass (at least for now) on commenting on your “plagues escalation” claim, I have a problem with the sporadic intermittency of it (e.g., 113 years, now +7 months), and instead see it more as
an attempted resuming by God [It seems to me that once the plagues actually do start for good they will occur quickly and unrelentingly and are only halted when God entirely halts a possible Second Coming attempt], but I remind you that, as EGW states, all of God’s promises/prophecies are upon condition of obedience. (=Jer 18:1-10) So if the Church does not respond to your prophetic ministry and its message as they should (= Laodicea’s (final) visitation -Rev 3:20), then don’t be surprise if God acts to prolong time. (See LDE 59.1-61.1) From what has been shared with me, I see that he already has, and all of these present prophetic commissions, by you and other SDA, is merely from him to concretely ascertain what is in the hearts of his professed believers. This is the SDA Church’s Judging/Shaking time and only those who pass that test will be able to join God’s subsequent Church Triumphant (LDE 61.2-62.2)

I am indeed interested to here how you came to believe that God showed you these things. As Paul says in 1 Thess 5:19-22 we are to “test” all claims of prophecy and not just “believe” every claim, and this Biblical testing can only be done if all of the contributive facts are in, for as seen in <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#ernieknoll>Ernie Knoll’s experience</a>, the Devil may purposely be allowed to try to counterfeit God’s prophetic gift (not saying that this is your case) but that can only be objectively ascertained from considering all related facts. Indeed God’s true prophetic manifestation does not merely involve a message, though that is primary, but also validating signs.

July 16, 2012 7:21 PM

Several people have quoted my vision of 9/11 for the past 10 years or used specific pieces of it to draw their own conclusions. I have prayed about this many times, and since they have many things on their interpretations that are contrary to what God showed me, I have been instructed not to give reference to them to avoid confusion.

Here is the only evidence that I will give. The title of my blog "9/11, the day God held the four winds", is exactly how God told me to write the paper 10 years ago and every use of this expression has been 'borrowed' from this inspiration.


James, you had said in <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=143890#Post143890>that prior 05-05-12 MSDAOL claim</a> to look up your blog’s title in Google (and Google does all the possible search combinations when no quotes are used) and people ‘get an idea of how many people have accepted this vision that God gave you’. Now, (as is the reason
for my questioning here, those Google searches turned up absolutely nothing other than your own
started forum discussion), and if they had, as you claimed ‘they readily would’, then how is that
different than you providing the direct links here. It seems to me that you providing those links
would actually help to avoid more confusion here, which you are trying to avoid.

All things considered, it seems that you have done a similar assuming thing here as with your
claim in regards to Doug Batchelor <a href=http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=13417
03819767#c769715251163077741>here</a>. As seen <a href=http://www.weaffirmsda.com/jeff-pippenger/part-3-an-analysis-of-jeff-pippengers-principle
s-of-prophetic-interpretation>here</a> from the 9th result provided by Google on the non-quotes
search with your recommended search terms, Jeff Pippenger has also been teaching that the Four
Winds were held on 9/11. [Relatedly, <a href=http://www.thelatterrainisnow.com/latterrain.html>this website</a> teaches, among other
things, that the latter rain has been falling since the 9/11 events] So it seems that you similarly
are, at the very least, assuming that either Jeff Pippenger and/or others who believe and (re-)teach
his prophetic view are basing it on your visions. As I asked with the Doug Batchelor issue, you’ll
need to provide concrete proof of that. (E.g., contact those webmasters and ask them).

(By the way, you can email me those sites weblinks if you want at my blog’s email address. If
you do not want to email them, you can submit them to my blog as a comment (on any page) and
I’ll receive them with them being made public.)

And just for the record, when were you “instructed” not to publicly post those website links? I.e.,
was it before or after you May 5, 2012 MSDAOL Forum statement and how giving someone the
way to see those websites, as you claim not go against that instruction since you are saying that
the whole purpose of that “instruction” was to avoid reading those websites. It would
evaluatively also be helpful to know the details of how this “instruction” was given to you.

So please do provide the answer to these questions here.

July 17, 2012 7:38 PM

I noticed that you deleted my comment on Doug Batchelor. Well what I said in regards to him
was actually factually demonstrable. So I am seeing that you are making the same mistake as the
Prophet Nathan had made about the “sure worthiness of David” only by looking at the external
and not fully spiritual elements also involved, and God revealed otherwise in a vision. In fact,
prior to receiving that ‘representative vision’, which greatly shocked me, ala. Ezek 9:8; 11:13, I
was, and that is the reason why it so shocked me, of a somewhat similar view about Doug
Batchelor as you are, though I increasingly, exegetically knew that he could be doing much
better. In fact, while I was studying Theology at Andrews, I used to openly defend Doug
Batchelor against the snides of some of my professors in regards to some of the claims Doug
would make in his sermons. My main defence/argument was: “at least he is doing the best he can with what he has...” But I then learned and saw that only the obtainable perfect will be of lasting endurance, particularly in order to make it through the Shaking.

So as I said, as it was shown to me, do paramountly make the word of God the final arbitrator in such “man deeming” matters. (Heb 4:12-13).

July 17, 2012 8:08 PM

I has been a week now, and I have seen that you have made several comments on the MSDAOL forum but have not (yet?) corrected your Doug Batchelor claim <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&amp;Number=143890#Post143890>here</a> and also <a href=http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&amp;Number=143889#Post143889>here</a>. Is there a (new) reason why you have not “followed through” with those corrections?!

Also what is the link to the MSDAOL correction you said <a href=http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1341983480352#c3849604119580115071>here</a> that you had made?

July 20, 2012 10:34 PM

I have been away from my computer for three days, do you think you can cut me a little slack? I have two jobs, a wife and a house to take care of, and other things. I did post three responses already just not in those exact spots. I'm sure no one but you are waiting with baited breath for me to correct those responses. No one seems to care. I have begged the conferences to listen but no one will test the spirit on the record. Then even people who do seem to support the visions hound me like I am supposed to be perfect right now.

Plus I have never intentionally deleted anything you wrote.

July 21, 2012 2:26 AM

*Ah...I didn’t think/realize that it was a matter of ‘not having time to do so’. Given that you said you had posted one response, and from what I could see in the link to your other two comments where you had claimed this, they were identical posts, I just thought it was a matter of copying and pasting that similar response there also. And since I had supplied those links, you would not have to search through your posts to relocate them. So that is why I couldn’t understand why it would take you more than 7+ days per corrective posting. Also I would have thought that you could also simply provide a link to the comments section in this blog of yours where you make the clarifications.
I think you understand since we basically have to take your word for your visions, that your transparency and truthfulness is paramount here. So the delay in the above posting would naturally make me think that since you are having some trouble convincing most people on that forum about the inspiration of your revelations, then you were deliberately choosing to continue to let them believe that Doug Batchelor had based a sermon, even sermon series on your vision, as you had priorly claimed. Such “knowing deception” (= guile, even lying) is quite a deal breaker, particularly, unlike e.g., Abraham’s lie, when it directly involves a claimed prophetic revelation from God. So again, I was expecting that correcting these would be quite simple, and that you would have done those corrections already. To tell you the truth though, since you have made several postings on MSDAOL since, then I do not see how ‘not having time’ is the actual issue here as you did have/take time to make those many other, original, postings!?!

As I said before, guilefulness was a major issue I had with <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/ministry-of-david-gates.html>David Gates</a>, and have seen that this is a reason why his ministry efforts were not better supported, so though I do not actually expect you, or anyone to be “perfect” per se, I do not understand especially an act that would be guileful when trying to proclaim truth. Apparently God also does not tolerate that pointed sin for His elect (Rev 14:5) And that apparently is because, when one resorts to guilefulness/lying it is out of a distrust in God, His promises, His Faithfulness and/or His Power, particularly in the face of some opposition (=Zeph 3:13). And sinning in this area which, in terms of guilefulness (=half truths) some may actually consider to be harmless can (also) completely block off His Spirit’s ability to aid a person. Not surprising that many Biblical people went off course in the area of guilefulness. So, at the very least, I am trying to help you avoid this quite ensnaringly common pitfall. (Just (also) ask e.g., <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#ernieknoll>Ernie Knoll</a>)

(cont’d)

July 21, 2012 2:38 AM
(cont’d)

I take it that those other people just did not catch on to the possibility of a misunderstanding here. And, only a person who either appreciates Truth, truly understands the pivotal peril the Church is in and/or has had an experience in present day prophetic revelations, will fully appreciate your revelations and also will want to, by knowing what to look for/expect, find out any other involved details. So take my “hounding” (as you deem it) interest as both genuine and constructive, correctively if necessary, in its intent. If arriving at the concrete truth is also you intention here then there should be no problem.

As you can see from <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/02/theological-views-blogs-new-light-pro.html>this blog post of mine</a> on New Light, the SDA Church professes to have a good hierchal system

32
for ascertaining new light, but as you are experiencing, the system is dysfunctional at best, being marred by the traits of fallen men. So, many times, for reasons of “who-do-you-think-you-are” claims of new light and/or prophetic revelation are obliviously ignored or indifferently dismissed. As shown in Ezek 8:5-6, the ‘idol of jealousy’ (which also involves individualism and selfishness) reigns paramountly supreme in the SDA Church, all to the detriment of God’s advancing Truth, at least for the members who are under those irresponsible leaders. Also, don’t be surprised if, like stubborn Pharaoh, God is acting to harden the hearts of those who do not want to be diligent towards ascertaining truth such as following the 1 Thess 5:19-22 counsel as well as the SOP New Light Counsel cited in <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/02/theological-views-blogs-new-light-pro.html>that blog post</a>. When, in my experience (see <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision>here</a>) God was revealing to me certain pointed things about the SDA Church and people in it, I actually balked at the notion, thinking that God was exaggerating. Ezekiel went through the same thing (during the Shaking then - Ezek 8-9, 11). But through my own subsequent interacting experience with them, I then saw for myself that it was just as God had indicated. So considered the opposition you are experiencing as God helping you to see for yourself the True State of the Church. Only by this could you become self-convinced. Not everyone who profess Christ and claims to believe in a soon Second Coming, is actually doing God’s actual will (e.g., EW 36.2 = Ezek 34; cf. EW 54-56; 4T 384-387)

**July 21, 2012**

I had received a posting notification of my post and had read it on your blog, somehow it got deleted. But since you say you did not intentionally delete it, here it is again:

**July 20, 2012 10:52 PM**

Here is where I posted one of the three corrections. You would have found it if you clicked on my profile and followed my posts.

http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Main=7508&Number=144176#Post144176

How did you create a link button on this blog site?

**July 21, 2012 3:16 AM**

A) I don’t actually see it as reasonable that you would expect me to go through all of your MSDAOL postings since our discussion here to find wherever it is you had made that correction??! Furthermore I couldn’t understand why you hadn’t started with the two posts I had linked to here.
B) I just clicked on that link and see that it is one for a private MSDAOL forum. I am no longer a member of MSDAOL, being, as it is posted next to my username in my prior posts there, a “Banned member” [Seriously: Thank God!!], So I moreover also cannot get access to the listing of your posts.

So if you do not mind, and whenever you have a chance, to paste the link to any public forum posting where you have made that correction.

To create a link in the comments section in Blogger, you need to use the html format:

```html
<a href=[website url]>[here]<%/a>
```

(Of course remove the “%” and replace the text in the [square brackets] with your actual link and info). I think only the “underline” code is not allowed in Blogger comments.

**July 20, 2012 11:14 PM**

What are the two subjects in my quote here in question?

9/11 and the four winds of Revelation correct?

look for these topics in the search. When I first posted this vision 10 years ago on a now defunct Alaskan SDA website I had over 10,000 hits in a couple of months. I had previously done a search to see if anyone else had come to the same conclusion online and did not get one hit. Now there are several. This was not the same situation as my post about Pastor Bachelor. Not even close.

**July 20, 2012 11:20 PM**

Here is one of the more obvious sites following the 9/11 vision I received. This one is the closest to the truth so it is not hard to see the similarities.

http://www.thelatterrainisnow.com/latterrain.html


Well I have now done a search with those terms and nothing that I see a relevant comes up. I.e., nothing that I myself would say ‘is copying or basing its content on your revelation.’ I also don’t
have the time to detailedly scrutinize all of the links that I think could apply here. Again, you can email me the top 5 links, or since I see that you actually provided one below (and isn’t that contrary to what God had told you not to do), you may opt to instead post those top 5 links here. Since you better know you content here, I think you can more readily see where you are thinking that others are copying on you.

Do provide the basic url for that defunct Alaska SDA Church website. (Cf. <a href=http://mcdonaldroad.org/churches/nwusa.html#np>here</a>. Perhaps there is a mirror/archived copy of it out there on the web.

Like I said, in regards to being most accurate/truthful here, I think that you should first contact the people who operate those websites to verify if they had been influenced in any way by what you had posted instead of making this assuming claim. As with Doug Batchelor, the facts may not be what you are assuming them to be, and there really is just one way of being “truthful” here: verified facts not assumptions. Having a “fanciful” disposition is not very helpful when one is also claiming to have the prophetic gifts. Some may think that you are also merely “fancifully assuming” various things in regards to your revelation claims and even merely adamantly believing this to be the actual truth, despite, or oblivious to any actual facts. In my own ministry and Biblical studies, I am personally more impressed by the “preponderance of facts” than mere “adamant belief”. If that had not been my case, I surely would not be involved in my ministry today and would simply be going along with whatever other people ‘really, cheerfully, adamantly and/or “honestly” believe to be the Truth.” So I recommend that you do the “fact finding/ascertaining homework” on this issue first before making an (actually undocumented) claim.

By the way, I had mentioned that “Latter Rain Is Now” above in a comment that has since also “somehow” been deleted. Strange.... Perhaps the issue is with Blogger and its staggered commenting feature. If you have posting email notifications activated for you with your blogs, you’ll get the email copy of those successful but later deleted postings.

Just for the record, I have reposted that July 17, 2012 4:33 PM posting below.

July 21, 2012 12:52 AM

But let the reader beware... 9/11 did NOT, I repeat, NOT begin the falling of the Later rain as they state on their website.

The later rain begins to fall in force when the man of sin is revealed and the whole world wanders after the beast. This event is the trigger for the 5 wise virgins to wake up and come together in the upper room, which is where we come to one accord, then go to Gethsemane, then the cross. The song of the Lamb.
The Later Rain prepares us for what is about to happen on the world and empowers us to present the message in strength to those who have not heard, and finally preparing us to stand before the glory of the second coming.

The confessed Sabbath keeping church will have been already weighed in the balance at the time of the later rain, the remnant who are left, call the vast multitude to the shelter of rest. The deciding factors for how each have been judged when the rain comes, is if they reject the Sabbath, or reject the Spirit of Prophecy. If they have not heard, and truly love the Lord, when the rain comes, they hear the voice of God and not the thunder through the 144,000, and they are led to repent.

Since 9/11 the call has been for our church to get ready, because judgment for the living has begun at His sanctuary. The men who have have received the true message, and those who claim the name of the Lord but deny the power, are being sealed for life or death. The dividing of the sheep and the goats has begun.

It is not only the Harlot and the daughters who are sealed for death. Those who are in the SDA churches without the power, will run away at first sign of trouble when the Man of Sin is revealed, and eventually turn against those who are faithful.

Zechariah 13:8 And it shall come to pass, that in all the land, saith the Lord, two parts therein shall be cut off and die; but the third shall be left therein.

The later rain comes after the sealing of the church. Since 9/11, the confessed living church of God is being judged, so we are not yet in the time of the later rain, but it is the time of receiving the breath that Jesus breathed on the disciples before He ascended, awaiting the rain.

The man of sin must be revealed. At first we will scatter afraid. Then we will come together as a church as it should be.

We are the ones who know the state of the dead, prepared to take this delusion on, which we should be doing now.

God sends His Spirit to enunciate the message He has already given us with power. If we do not have the message, the Spirit of Prophecy, fighting against it, we do not receive the Later Rain.

Just like early rain, we will receive insights that had not yet been revealed, but always there. The completion of the puzzle, seen in practical terms will complete the picture, and like on the road to Emaus, or the servant of Elisha, our eyes will be fully opened and THEN the Holy Spirit comes in strength bringing us PERFECTLY into harmony.
Just a notice James. Since my comments keep getting subsequently deleted (apparently by a bug in the Blogger staggered commenting system, I just post them at the end and not right under your comment, but I’ll post the link to your comment which I am responding to. Hopefully my comments won’t be deleted then.

James, the comments I am posting keep getting subsequently deleted by Blogger for some strange defective reason. It is quite frustrating. I have had about 7 comments subsequently deleted and that after they were shown to have been successfully posted, with an email copy sent to me. So it would be better for me to continue this conversation by email. So if you want to do so, since I do not see you have an email contact in your profile, you can email me at my own blog’s email (see in my profile).

Email Discussion (specific Times not included)

You’re right for some reason your posts keep getting deleted and I promise it’s not me.

Strange things have been happening lately.

All of the sudden my new cell phone has been plagued with spam texts and I have given the number mainly to work related people, my home phone is ringing off the hook with prank calls from very rude men, and my email accounts are being spammed like I’ve never seen before with very bad emails.

The phone issue is so bad I have a restraining order about to go to one particular private number who keeps saying I called him asking about gold coins when I have no interest, and when I ask him to stop calling he starts saying very evil things. But he doesn’t know that I have been calling *57 after he calls so even though he is calling as a private number the phone company is making the number he calls from on record so I can sick the police after him.

So how is life for you? Hehehe.

Things are about to get very bad brother. The Holy Spirit woke me up last night while there was storm overhead with a warning of soon events. I don’t know if I’m ready but I
sure am willing. I can’t wait till this is all over on this hateful planet.

So here is my email. jamesonofthunder@msn.com Send me things direct if you like. Happy Sabbath and peace in the name of Christ.

Brother James.

July 21, 2012

Hey Brother James, Thanks for accepting the email alternative. I couldn’t put up with the uncertainty of whether or not my comment would remain on the site. Combined with my lately internet connection trouble, which I get the company to fix next week, it was really time consuming.

In regards to the various troubles you’ve ben having, don’t be surprise if it is indeed a deliberate targeting. People are just that “sick”. Then again, spammers are just as unscrupulous and they can get all your contact info from just your name or email. Not sure if it is efficient but you may also want to put your phone on a “Do not Call” List (for phone telemarketers/spammers).

It is interesting that you should (albeit rhetorically) ask: ‘how is life for me’ because it has been quite “torturous” to say the least since I started on this ministry course of mine in 1999. Literally, all Hell has broken loose. I cannot comment on it in detail as it is a pending (U.S.) Complex Federal (Classed-Defendants) Lawsuit, but just to give you an idea, as I could not afford the lawyer fees which would literally be in the millions, I undertook to prosecute it myself, and it took me 2 full years just to do the case initiating work (I.e. Complaint Declaration Document, Damages Documenting, Briefs, Testimonial Sworn Declaration for only 1200+ “Sample Incidents”, Video Evidence Gathering, etc), and I still have some things to fully complete.

Anyway, Had God not given me in a dream a heads up for this massive and concerted torturously harassing opposition (which I long have seen/understood is related to the eschatological application of Dan 11:7-8), these tortfeasors would have had the better/best of me a long time ago, which is what they are unrelentingly gunning for. The various levels to which they have gone to oppose me is all an indicator to me of how they are feeling threatened by the ministry work I am doing. The Devil is indeed terrified by the advance of God’s (temporal) Church Triumphant, as prophetically, defaultly possible, for he would much rather have that Church Triumphant only be established in Heaven, as this would have involved a much lesser convicting Gospel testimony on Earth as Matt 24:14 speaks of.

So in short, that is how my (ministering) life has been, but just reading what e.g., Jeremiah had to go through in his ministry (Jer 1:5-10), I cannot complain. God has indeed well provided me all that is necessary to deal with all of those obstacles and oppositions.

All right... now to my comments/questions at hand. I’ll first start off by copy and pasting verbatim what I had attempted to post on your blog. Those first, copied messages would be edited here so you don’t have to address what we have already/since covered in the subsequent
communications here. To keep better track of things, I’ll send a separate email for each distinct commenting thread. So see my next emails:

Blessed Sabbath also,
(my real name):
Ronald Monestime
NJK Project

July 21, 2012 4:58 PM (Emailed)

In reading your view on the Latter Rain here and your delineation of Final Events, it is quite glaring to me that you are making a most critical mistake, and that is not involving Christ’s Full Gospel message which includes ‘doing all that can be done to presently help those who are in various vital/physical need and not only those in spiritual need. That is the True/Full Gospel Message that separates the Sheep from the Goats (Matt 25:31-46), averts the Wrath of the Lamb (DA 825.4) is fulfills God’s Full Sabbath Truth (Isa 58), cf. EW 36.2=Ezek 34=Matt 25:31-46. It is only when Christ Full, Everlasting Gospel is foundationally fitted in the equation here, (and that indeed is the basis of our Final/Investigative Judgment Rev 14:7), that we can truly and fully understand the Second, Third and “Fourth” (=Rev 18 Latter Rain Angel) message and avoid the Mark of the Beast. You can see <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com>my blog</a> for much more on all of those topics. The view you are presenting here is, at best now, merely the “half view” on these topics (=1 Cor 2:5-14).

July 21, 2012

I fully agree that the statement I made was the ‘beginning’ of the end time message, but tell me… how effective were the apostles before the outpouring of the later rain? They had some success, like us, the Adventist church now, but when the fulfillment of the completion of prophecy comes this will strengthen our faith and embolden us to be more effective. When the apostles had come into one accord in doctrine they began to truly love one another, THEN the Holy Spirit came in force.

Mrs White said “the sealing (which is what we are talking about here) is a settling into the TRUTH both intellectually and spiritually so we cannot be moved”.

I have been a part of this church for 17 years, I have seen miracles and had visions and dreams that have been proven to me to be by God over and over. How much value has this had to date? I have seen several people baptized from outside of the church, but the people inside the church hate me for the most part. They sit in their section of the pew talking trash about someone else hating others inside the church, what value does this have? They get their sermon and go home until next week.

How many people who have harsh feelings against me have attempted to reconcile? NONE!
Is this the true church? Yes. But the power has not been manifested since before 1888, in fact since the great disappointment.

If we as a church were manifesting the Spirit of Christ, thousands would be converted in a day. No one would be enemies in our church; the wayward would be brought back etc.

Our Father is VERY upset at our church, Laodicea, and will vomit us out of His mouth if we do not get ready in spirit and truth.

The very thing you are talking about is why I have become a servant to God through helping others. I take care of people with disabilities and love to love them. This is my joy in this world of hate.

July 21, 2012

Well James, on one hand I am glad to hear that you do see the importance of doing Christ’s full Gospel will. The only difference I see with your understanding and mine is that, as these works are capable of being done under the present Early Rain blessing of the Church, i.e., what the SOP has helped us to quasi-miraculously accomplish in terms of denominational resources (to the point where the SDA Church is second only to Catholics in this regards yet if SDA’s had 1.25 billion members they would completely blow away Catholics), then those Gospel works do not depend on anything miraculous from the Latter Rain do be done. As discussed in this post:


they could now easily be done if the Church’s resources were being used for the founding benevolent purposes they were intended for and not: “to operate as a business and make money”. EGW was unequivocally clear about how benevolent works would be pivotal to the SDA Church (see e.g., Welfare Ministry 23-62; LDE 218.3-219.1). And my studies have shown that the Sealing of God Sabbath as stated in Isa 58 is intrinsically tied and involved in this. This “Gospel Truth” is also, and paramountly so, one which we must be settled in if we are going to be sealed. As Jesus said, the true obedience to His commandments hang on how we love God and our fellow man. So just knowing that the Sabbath is on Saturday, but indifferently letting people die of preventable, curable and/or intentional causes, results in a person not being capable of being sealed with God’s Sabbatical Truth. God’s Sabbath is indeed much more than ‘observing the correct day and in the correct way’.

See also this post: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html

And it is most important to stress that the Christlike Gospel work is not limited to merely being loving to our fellow brethren or even helping people with disabilities. That is all quite important and should be done, but, if we are actually going to give an incontrovertible testimony to this world, we should be concerning ourselves with those who are in urgent, vital needs. I.e., the tens
of thousands who unnecessarily die daily from preventable, curable and/or intentional causes. And that most pressingly starts with the infants who are being aborted. When we engage in doing such works, it is actually then, if we then need it, that God will complement our efforts with Latter Rain anointing to give us miraculous aid and solutions where we need it. E.g., God may supernaturally reveal to a member of that Full Gospel Working community, the cure for cancer which will instantly help them generate much funds in order to help those people in other vital needs.

So pursuing those Full Gospel works, and that primarily so, will in no way hinder the development of final events. And that is indeed what the basis of Christ’s final decisions will be based on. As I said, you’ll need to read my blog posts to see precisely how Final Events actually revolve around those full Gospel Work efforts. Hint: Staunch Capitalists today will surely vehemently oppose any such major socialistic effort which aim to directly help those in need, even vital need. (See e.g., the U.S. Republican opposition to a National Health Care Initiative).

July 21, 2012

Just some of many significant quotes from WM 23-62 as to what is the “Gospel Truth” that we should also be preaching, presenting and performing today:

**The Twofold Reform of Isaiah 58.**--The work specified in these words [Isaiah 58] is the work God requires His people to do. It is a work of God's own appointment. With the work of advocating the commandments of God and repairing the breach that has been made in the law of God, we are to mingle compassion for suffering humanity. We are to show supreme love to God; we are to exalt His memorial, which has been trodden down by unholy feet; and with this we are to manifest mercy, benevolence, and the tenderest pity for the fallen race. "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself." As a people we must take hold of this work. Love revealed for suffering humanity gives significance and power to the truth.--Special Testimonies, series A, no. 10, pp. 3, 4.  {WM 32.1}

**A True Interpretation of the Gospel.**--It is only by an unselfish interest in those in need of help that we can give a practical demonstration of the truths of the gospel. "If a brother or sister be naked, and destitute of daily food, and one of you say unto them, Depart in peace, be ye warmed and filled; notwithstanding ye give them not those things which are needful to the body; what doth it profit? Even so faith, if it hath not works, is dead, being alone." "And now abideth faith, hope, charity, these three; but the greatest of these is charity."  {WM 32.2}

Much more than mere sermonizing is included in preaching the gospel. The ignorant are to be enlightened; the discouraged are to be uplifted; the sick are to be healed. The human voice is to act its part in God's work. Words of tenderness, sympathy, and love are to witness to the truth. Earnest, heartfelt prayers are to bring the angels near. . . .  {WM 32.3}

The Lord will give you success in this work; . . .

it is interwoven with the practical life, when it is lived and practiced. The union of Christlike
work for the body and Christlike work for the soul is the true interpretation of the
gospel.--Review and Herald, March 4, 1902.  {WM 32.4}

Christ's Interpretation of the Gospel.--The divine commission needs no reform. Christ's way
of presenting truth cannot be improved upon. The Saviour gave the disciples practical lessons,
teaching them how to work in such a way as to make souls glad in the truth. He sympathized with
the weary, the heavy laden, the oppressed. He fed the hungry and healed the sick. Constantly He
went about doing good. By the good He accomplished, by His loving words and kindly deeds, He
interpreted the gospel to men.  {WM 56.1}

July 22, 2012

Here’s a quote from what you just sent me…

“And it is most important to stress that the Christlike Gospel work is not limited to merely
being loving to our fellow brethren or even helping people with disabilities. That is all quite
important and should be done, but, if we are actually going to give an incontrovertible
testimony to this world, we should be concerning ourselves with those who are in urgent, vital
needs…”

Before making distinctions on where I am lacking in my efforts to do work for the Lord
don’t you think you should ask and get to know me first?

On top of currently serving through helping the disabled, there are many things GOD has
inspired me to do for Him that you have no idea about, so hold your judgments please. I
have been blessed to help build churches and have been blessed to be effective. It is just
frustrating that more is not coming from the efforts.

I have been to countries where they have no resources, and yet they blow the American
SDA Church away in conversions and gospel organization. I was blessed to be used to build
a church in Indonesia with very little money, with no help from anyone else in the local
conference who thought it unworthy of their resources. Just a few years later there are over
a hundred SDA members in that church on the Catholic island of Flores now. I just built
the building and another layman did the evangelism.

God also used me to build the Zimmerman SDA church. This is where I was so blessed to
help, but the pastor had sons who got away with terrible things in the church so I left after
literally BUILDING a church with my hands. When we signed the building over to
conference (after they had absolutely refused to help us build it) the pastor started doing
evil things like letting his unmarried sexually active son and his girlfriend move into his
house. So I moved on. Again.

In the last church I was a member of, we had a budget of $40,000 for evangelism that was
not touched for three years, then someone got the bright idea, “hey we need a new parking
lot and digital sign”. Total cost $80,000. So where did they get the money? They took it from the evangelistic fund and the rest on credit. So they not only took away any possibility to have evangelistic meetings now, but for years to come. But hey, I was there for their idea of an evangelistic meeting when they hired 3 ABN and John Lomicang to come for three weeks to do a live broadcast here in Andover MN. You know how many came the first night? Other than current members, only 20 showed, by the end of the series 3 were left at a budget of $30,000. None of them were baptized. $10,000 went into mailers and only 20 showed! Ouch!

The pastor cried and they never re-aired the series on 3 ABN after the live broadcast. This is America right now. Especially Lutheran Minnesota.

During that debacle, in which I volunteered my sound engineering expertise for every one of those nights, I was praying and asking God how I could work for Him and He gave me a vision. I saw a smoothie shop called “Pulse” (Dan 1:12) within a year I had built it and for three years evangelized this city. It was closed on Sabbath and served the best real fruit smoothies in the state. The use of the quote from Daniel had many people cracking their bibles to read what it meant. (Pulse: grown from seed). I was used to testify to thousands of my neighbors and everyone in my local town about the Sabbath blessing and the health reform message and brought dozens of people to Christ (seven baptized into the SDA church), all for less than the budget for the local church to evangelize. When the economy bottomed out in 2008 the Holy Spirit told me to sell and I am totally out of debt with my house paid for. There are several SDA’s in this town that credit my shop for bringing them to the Lord, but still the church will not listen to even test what God shares with me.

This church is totally asleep. We have the lowest numbers of SDA’s in the country.

July 22, 2012

I appreciate this background detailing James, but you have actually substantiated the specific point that I was making in my statement. First of all, from reading most of the new posting on MSDAOL, I was a little aware/generally of some of the things you cited here, such as your difference with that pastor and his son. And while I have learned much new about you in the works you have cited, I actually am seeing here the very same perspective of the SDA Church in many parts. I.e., Maranatha Volunteers does engage in building Church and doing healthy food businesses is also common with SDA as seen by e.g., Dave Fielder’s “Medical Missionary” efforts: www.adventistcitymissions.org

My point however was, and I was getting my observed deduction from the main theme in your various online forum postings and blogs on mainly prophetic Final Events, that, as actually common with SDA, you are not presenting a message of helping those in, especially vital need. The “medical missionary missions ministry” has been so waterdown that it is presently though to me building a new church or planting trees for free for the government on the side of a road
(SDA’s “Mission Caleb” - http://news.adventist.org/en/archive/articles/2012/03/16/in-peru-20000-youth-outreach-event-delivers-community-service-evangelism-su ). Building a Church will not prevent the least of the world from dying of preventable, curable or intentional causes. In fact, given the vital urgency with those people in need, I would recommend the use of money to build a Church to be instead used to come to the rescue of those people. Hold a Church meeting in the open air, under a tent or under a bridge, but first save the life of people. In the end, that life saving approach will likely produce a larger membership than having built a Church, from not only the grateful ones who are saved, but also from other people in the community who are impressed by the self-sacrificing act, where one’s religion is most readily seen as being practically truthful.

So do prayerfully and carefully read those Welfare Ministry 23-62 SOP passages I had referred to. EGW saw the clear light on passages like Isa 58 and Matt 25:31-46 and as stated in LDE 218.3-219.1, in the final reckoning Jesus will actually only be looking at what we did for those in need...and those in vital need are the most important. For the same rejected claims of Matt 25:41-46 I don’t see God approving the use of money to build a Church, or even holding a prophecy seminar, particularly at the bloated Western (whimsical/subjective) prices (which a perfectly functional Church could significantly lower for themselves), instead of helping save someone life as commendable or righteous. Jesus Gospel modelling repeatedly indicated this fact. So our focus should actually be as Christ’s was and we will actually not lose any of our influence by doing so, in fact quite to the contrary... I certainly have not lost anything in my planned ministry, which when there will be enough people to effectuate as planned, will provide the world with that crucial “Living, Full Gospel, Testimony”.

Praying you’ll get this Fuller Gospel perspective,
Ronald
NJK Project

July 22, 2012

Brother Ronald, do you know what the Holy Spirit says about organized sports?

I am not sure why you are asking that, both contextually and realistically, “out of the blue” question to me, but I highly suspect that you are thinking/believing from having cursorily skimmed through my bio-post that I presently have a passion for organized sports. Well if you read my bio post more carefully ( http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html ) you would see that, that was my passion 16+ years ago, before me embarking on my present ministry course. As I detailed stated/exemplify there, following my sudden and complete loss for that prior passion, a person could not pay me to once again have it. So the Holy Spirit revealed to me a long time ago how sports was having too much importance in my life and particularly when God gave me a new passion in ministry, I then completely lost it.

So for me, you asking me that question given the actual facts of my present life, would be like
me asking you a question in a present day context about your prior interest and life as a touring, (secular) rock musician. From what I have read, you also cannot be paid to return to that life!!

I have an additional question for you. I would appreciate you feedback on my interpretative analysis on your ‘conversion, train and Cardinal point dream, which you had posted on MSDAOL. See the attached PDF for my analysis. As I state therein the Post #?? are my blog’s posts which may help you understand my perspective. I would particularly like to hear your understanding of why it was that for at least a fleeting tacit moment you allowed the person who had just brought down hellish fire from heaven to “help you” in trying to knock that woman off her pedestal. Also it is key to keep in mind here that I am seeing a spiritual, secondary and thus wider-reaching application to that dream than merely the personal understanding that you have. So e.g., a “woman” would be representative of a Church and a Man = a “Church Ministry”. See my advanced Biblical symbolism here:


Hope this is all helpful,
Awaiting your response/feedback,
Ronald
NJK Project

July 23, 2012

Bible & SOP on Isa 58-Gethsemane Baptism-Latter Rain

Hello James. The following sermon by Weimar’s/AFCOE’s Don Mackintosh is most pertinent to our discussion as it deals with the SDA Church’s general and specific lack of the proper carrying out of God’s Full Sabbath expectation in Isa 58. Though I similarly do not agree with the tacit limitation he makes to “medical missionary” work by not including people in vital needs who are dying of curable, preventable and/or intentional causes, and there is much much more that is said on these topics in the SOP, I do see him as being on the right track in regards to the pivotal necessity of such actions in the light of the Three Angels message.

The audio version (preached as GYC West 2012 on June 30, 2012) is him later re-preaching the video version (preached at Weimar on February 18, 2012), but it is slightly different and expanded to more fully and detailedly discuss the Biblical implications. I’ll be posting it on my blog, but I have particularly recommended it to you because in the audio sermon, Mackintosh follows EGW’s, probably directly inspired, application in her commenting in 2T 24.1-37.2 of all this with the Real Falling of the Latter Rain. And she links it all directly to the Gethsemane “Baptism” of Jesus Christ (2T 32.1).

Audio: “Isaiah 58 and the Latter Rain” -
The following two videos of historical sermons by Dave Fielder are also interesting. They also deal with the mentioned ‘John Harvey Kellogg challenge’ part of this issue:

“Injured Reserves” http://vimeo.com/40096187
“Proven Cures” http://vimeo.com/40096190

In my blog post on the “Sacrifice of Christ” - http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/sacrifice-of-christ.html, I had long made the link from Bible and SOP study that the mental anguishing, Gethsemane-like experience (cf. EW 151.2) that, firstly those who survive the Shaking of the (Capitalism-sympathizing) SDA Church (cf. EW 36.2) will have gone through (EW 270.4) and then later when faced with the opposition of now, also the rest of the (pre-dominantly, Capitalism-staunchly minded) world. (EW 254.1; 283.1) I had even later applied what I saw as the full application of your Red Heifer vision to this Fuller Understanding, shortly after I first read your online posting.

See in the blog post linked below (Note #7) for more SDA’s who are more than less rightly, explicitly preaching this “greater benevolent works message”, however they all stop short of exhorting people to reach out to those in the most vital and urgent needs. Doing that extent of work is what will require the ‘anguish, self-denial most loving, self-sacrificial’ mind of Christ during his Gethsemane passion.


SDA’s indeed have a long way to go in this key Full Gospel and True Righteousness issue, indeed as it also has to involved the needlessly and senselessly dying of the world, and, as distinguished in LDE 189.3, it will also take a major re-organization (=reformation) beyond a “revival” on this issue, to accomplish this Full and Saving Gospel Mandate of Christ. (Matt 25:31-46)

God Bless,
Ronald
NJK Project

July 23, 2012

The question was based off a Google search, seeing a few recent blogs. What I asked about sports was just to know if you knew, not to judge you. I’m still tempted all the time about music. In fact I have celebrity friends who are still trying to get me back into the scene and I’ve been out of it for almost a decade. The money I have turned down would make even my wonderful Adventist wife think about letting me go back so I don’t even tell her.
In the dream he didn’t ‘help’ me knock her down. I was attempting to win her heart. She was taunting me as of trying to incite me to violence. I did not want to become violent and would not react as she was trying to incite me. (she did terrible things to me) I threw the ball hard, meaning I did respond, with words, but not with violence. Then he came to her after me and knocked her off her pedestal, meaning she was won by him aggressively. I walked away sad because she satisfied my lust for beauty. These are all very real developments in my last unsanctified relationship. I have had two of them in exact fulfillment to the dream, one at the beginning of my backsliding and one at the end. And I’m not talking generalized timing either. I met Josie the day I first broke the Sabbath and Deb the day I retired permanently.

There is no one else who could interpret this because I lived it. God interpreted it for me. But brother, the cool part was the end. Oh how I love the end of that dream. The peace and comfort, Jesus was the light on my face. This part I know without any doubt was the message I received about the Red Heifer. This message will change the way the people commune with God. We have been missing this important element to our faith. Where does the propitiation occur? It was essential in the sacrificial system to place the hand on the head to confess, can you reach to Jesus’ head while He’s on the cross? No, we have to go to Him where He received our sins then follow Him to the cross. That is why Gethsemane was so important. Outside the eastern gate.

I like this type of correspondence better than on the blog. Less constraining.

July 23, 2012

I think I see James where you would have made your I.D.ing mistake from a Google Search of my name. There is another “Ronald Monestime” out there on the web who is a Sportswriter in the New York City Area. I was surprised when I Googled my name and his sites/writings prominently came up, especially as they did not in my prior self-googling searches. I thought my full name was unique. So for the official record, that is not me. This is actually my Facebook page: [http://www.facebook.com/ronald.monestime.7](http://www.facebook.com/ronald.monestime.7) (Given all of the various research type work I have had, I haven’t had much time to “socialize”). I actually find that other Ronald Monestime to be quite interesting since I see it as, most likely, “there go I” if God had not called me to Gospel Ministry. In fact I am leery that my earlier friends and acquaintances who knew me then (16+ years ago) as that avid sports fan/follower and player would readily assume that those sportswriter’s online hits and listings are of me.

Given the financial incentive in your situation I can understand the pull, so I do commend you for not given in. Some people would have rationalized it as “just making money for (also) the Gospel”, or “mingling with former friends to try to win them” or even “having an influential platform and audience to preach the Gospel to” but you rightly see that all this would most likely be much more harm than any good, indeed if any.
If you read my bio-post, you’ll see that I made a related decision in regards to pursuing a relationship when I saw that the most trying ministry course that I could see was ahead would not be easy if my prospective, even God-ratified decision, spouse was not 100% in agreement with it. However, since God had in three clear signs (all related in that bio-post), indeed ratified my prospective decision in that marital case, He would more than likely have acted to give that girl some sort of revelation showing her, for herself the importance of my ministry. Oh well... that is now, as she is married, the unchangeable present.

Correct me if I am reading your initial statement and your further expanding on it here, but when you said that that guy in the dream had said “let me try” and he knocked her off her pedestal”, he used force by, specifically, playing that “woman’s” game and throwing the football hard back at her as just as she had wanted to. I can however no see how you would not be considering that man to have actually helped you. But, secondarily, interpretively speaking, I am seeing that, from your (present) perspective, that was probably all for the better for you.

I am seeing that you are making a common mistake that I have seen with various other people who have had similar impressive prophetic dream (see my “Testing the Spirits” post) to think that it actually only has a personal application to you. As I had said on your blog (and I have just noticed that that comment made on your blog on April 25 has also been auto-deleted!! (Most strange - Clearly there is a bug in Bloggers staggered comment code, ), -so I have re-posted it at the end of this email for you), a genuine revelation from God typically has multiple application with the primary one being personal, and a secondary+ one(s) having a wider application. So while you indeed can most accurately see what the primary/personal application is, I believe that my secondary/wider/spiritual application is both valid and correctly understood. You can see my interpretation in the PDF I had sent to you.

I had addressed the (secondary) meaning of the wheat field and golden setting sun light’ in your blog. You did not respond to it. See the two July 2, 2012 comments:

http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1341253315431#c2776382995454980825
http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1341253349890#c8564788004726118380

I would like to hear your response in this email (or on the blog, though I suspect answering it there, right under my comments, will cause more auto-deleting. It is actually normal that you would have a different understanding of these symbols in the primary application of it, but a different one (which is actually for the better) in the secondary application. In summary to my secondary understanding, while the primary understanding help you to take comfort in how your situation turn out, in its secondary application, it is trying to show you that a chance for a timely harvest is all but gone. This all harmonizes with my “prolonged time” revelations by God due to the SDA Church failing to act in the prophetic window that God had testingly granted her. As
Ernie Knoll dreams do state, (e.g., the Hourglass), the SDA Church’s probation is about to close soon. Then will its Shaking Fully transpire.

You did not mention ‘light shining on your face’ in that initial posting of that dream, so you’ll need to clarify that discrepancy and explicitly confirm that this had occurred in the dream and is not merely your later belief of what that all meant. However I can see/understand how looking at a setting sun can produce this “light on one’s face effect’, and as the Sun is, ultimately, actually a symbol for Christ’s righteousness (Mal 4:2), interpretively seeing it, in an ultimate sense, in relation to Jesus is passable.

In regards to your claim that this was about the Red Heifer vision, you’ll have to provide objective details in the dream which would be explicitly and/or spiritually making that link. I am not seeing it. Particularly as I am Biblically not seeing that you have the “full” application light in regards to that meaning of the Gethsemane experience of Christ as depicted in your Red Heifer vision. In fact, with that sunlight being “golden” which the SOP in speaking on Rev 3:18 says symbolize “faith and love, with love having the upper hand” (see in this post: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/11/shaking-in-it-biblical-context.html#laodiceans ), with it being linked to the wheat harvest, it is indicating to me that God was showing that only “timely” acting in the “light” of Christ True/Full Righteousness, with True Righteousness (see this post: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html) being indeed defined in the SOP as: “doing the right thing and at the right time” (WM 28.2| 2T 35.2), will ever produce that wheat harvest before its season/time is passed (cf. Jer 8:20) and time is indeed prolonged by God for the cultivation of a new, and grandeur, harvest (=15MR 292.2-4). And I do see that that prolonged time officially began, as stated in 15MR 292.2-4 when God most transparently held the four winds, as you were shown in vision on 9/11. (See my full view here on my “Testing the Spirits” post.

Your Red Heifer message will only be Full/complete when it fully, paramountly and explicitly includes the self-sacrrificial and self-denying anguish of Christ towards those in various physical need (cf. DA 825.4), because sin of omission (James 4:17; Matt 25:40-46) are also sins that one must have victory over.

I also prefer the email communication here, but as I see that your message ministry has a much wider application, it would be ideal if these discussions were publicly done. Unfortunately the blogger bug makes it not a worthwhile option and also I have been banned from now 8-9 SDA Forums, namely, (and certainly no promotion intended):

RevivalSermons
ClubAdventist (current 60 day ban)
MSDAOL
AdventistOnline
Adventtalk
RemnantOnline
Adventist of tomorrow
The Narrow Way (registration knowingly rejected as owner was also on MSDAOL)
The Confessing SDA (impliedly threatened probably soon -

so that is also not an option. E.g., we could now discuss the Red Heifer and your other visions in
your thread on Club Adventist, yet the ditsiness of most of the members in that forum is quite
nauseating to me, not to mention the many non-SDAs even atheists who freely and unhinderedly
chime in as if they have a perfect right to oppose anything spiritual or Truthful. (A real mess and
detriment to God’s Cause and Truth if you ask me which those site owners and moderators will
have to seriously answer for). The most serious of all of those forums I participated in was
MSDAOL but there, as common in other forums, and the reasons why I got banned out of all of
those forums: (1) people cannot stand to hear the truth about themselves, in the light of the Bible
and SOP and knee-jerkedly denounce it as “insults”, and (2) when such people also can no longer
defend their opposition to me and my message from the Bible, their next resort is that of force to
silence me and my message. All typical of the unlawful measures that the Second Beast of Rev
13 will resort to. It is all to there loss and also my gain since I do not have to waste my time
trying to help them see what the Bible is clearly teaching. Anyway, that is my present position
now, yet God’s “Full” Truth is still advancing to its unstoppable end goal!!

Ronald M. (‘surely not that sportswriter’!!)
NJK Project

Blog Comment reposting (April 25, 2012)
Hello <a href=http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html?showComment=1327999444456#c3719810979389757789>again</a> James,

As the revelation that you have related here, at the very least, passes a rigorous Biblical testing, I
would like to express here my own support of it. I have made reference to it on my blog, on my
post on <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/sacrifice-of-christ.html>the Sacrifice of
Christ</a>. Indeed the proper appreciation, through a much fuller Biblical understanding of what
“transpired” in Gethsemane, of Christ’s ‘Hellish mental anguish’ is most pivotal to the Remnant
Believer. Your revelation brought forth once again this lost meaning of the Num 19 Red Heifer
sacrifice. I must however add that, at this time, I can’t honestly say that I wholeheartedly agree
with all of your points/applications made for that vision, but that probably would change upon a
more transparent and/or elaborate substantiation by you of them. (Tip: As you say that you may
be adding to your presentation/points here, it may be helpful for a reader/follower of this post, to
indicate at the very top of your post, the date of your latest update(s).

I have also been following your (public) discussions on the MSDAOL forum, and I have found
most interesting the dream, posted <a
that you related you had shortly after discovering the Seventh Day Sabbath in 1995. What I find most interesting about it is that, just as there can be multiple applications to a Bible, and also SOP, prophecy, especially if an initial time for fulfilment came to unevently pass by, due to the various shortcoming(s) of the people to/for whom it was given (cf. Heb 8:6-8ff), I have most detailedly and accurately seen that that dream of yours, (which I, for those corroborated reasons, understand as having indeed been from God), has another, and wider application than merely a personal (=“local”) one. You can see many example of this dual application of inspired, even personal, dreams in, especially, the SOP, such as the one you related above in your post on the “Living Water” (CET 125.1-3). In its initial application, it pointedly spoke to the personal situation that the Whites were going through, namely EGW ‘greatly fearing that James White would become sick with cholera.’ (CET 125.1a) However, as you have rightly done in the exposition of it above, you have applied that personal dream to a wider group of (prospective) True Remnant believers. So in the same way, given the meaning in the various <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/advanced-prophetic-symbology.html>symbols</a> (e.g., <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/01/four-living-creatures-throughout-bible.html>cardinal directional points</a>, etc.), figures (women, “pedestal” (Ezek 8:3-6; which is in the “north”), etc) and the allusions to Bible (John 4:35ff) and SOP passages (EW 262.1-265.2) which were involved in your dream, I concretely see that it does have another, wider, and <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html>“historico-eschatological”</a> application. From reading such referenced posts on <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com>my blog</a>, among others, you can also grasp this secondary application.

(cont’d below)

July 24, 2012

Oh yes, I see what you mean about ‘helping me’ now. But that was not his intent. In fact he was exalting in the fact that he was hurting me by winning her over, when I walked away they were laughing at me in satanic revelry. It was very painful at the time, but yes it was a needed sacrifice in my life. I just couldn’t see it at the time. I am much better off without her, them. And when the remembrance came to me in fulfillment, Wow was I ashamed.

When I was heading to the north, after the circus, when there was just a sliver of golden sunlight still visible over the horizon, the distinct impression made was that this is just before the end of probation, and the light on my face, reflected from the sun was as the shining of the glory of the Lord from my face when I heard the heavenly voice say “the harvest is plentiful, but the workers are few”.

Like I said before there are so many details in the dreams, it is hard to convey all of the
information in a short response.

Thanks for clarifying as to your identity.

And it doesn’t matter to me that you were banned from the blogs. Peace.

July 24, 2012

Thanks for the dream’s clarifications and added details James. It would be beneficial if you could write out that dream in all of its visionary details, for I continually see the importance in every detail which God includes in a vision. You can publicly state those added details in the MSDAOL’s thread posting of that dream where I can copy it:


As I said before, from your perspective in that dream, I can see how you would be “naturally” wrongly viewing that woman there. It is also significant that you would be seeing that her and that man had joined to form a “union” in opposition to you, however “passionless” (i.e., true/lasting love) their union likely was. In the secondary application of that dream, I am seeing this all related to a “wrath of God” transpiring here in regards to God entering into judgement with the SDA Church then for having failed to timely reap the harvest. That ending point of your dream is most important and pivotal. So just like many people find God “unrecognizable” when he is speaking and acting in justified “wrath” (e.g., Ezek 7:9; 8:18; 11:13; Isa 42:13-16; Matt 23; Isa 6:8-13|Matt 13:10-17; Rev 14:9-11), to the point where I have heard an SDA preacher claim that God will actually not “torment” people in hell, but just be burning their already completely dead corpses (See in my responding comments in my “Sacrifice of Christ” post), with that woman (=Church) seeming to be “walking with” with that man (which I symbolically see is the Rev 13:13ff second beast manifestation), I see that that woman’s “offer” to win here, not necessarily “by force” but also possibly by a show of “strong/determined resoluteness” were unrecognized/misunderstood. That is all quite a tricky development, but so is the case when God’s wrath is being manifested to the point where He does deliberately act to be misunderstood by His professed people (e.g., Isa 6:8-13|Matt 13:10-17 - and I’ve heard that same preacher, actually in his sermon last week (7/14/2012 - http://www.grandadvent.org/media.php?pageID=6) State that ‘this does not sound like God to him’ and so he tried to reword what God/Jesus was saying in those statements).

As a direct example in relation to how your dream played out, in the OT destruction of Jerusalem, God had told his people through Jeremiah not to fight against the invading Babylonian power. Had they listened, they would not have been ransacked. But they did not, thinking that this could not possibly be God. Similarly, in the 70 A.D. destruction, it is rightly called the Jewish Revolt because they then thought it was God’s will to revolt against the Roman Empire, not thinking that it was God’s punishing will for them to still be subject to that heathen

52
power.

So I recommend you to study out that applicable secondary view for it is most important to getting through God’s Shaking of the Church and is also now transpiring.

Like I said in regards to the sunlight on your face, which I am glad to hear you were impressed that it had to do with a closing of probation (which is to first occur with/in the SDA Church), you have indeed been given some further Gospel Light in regards to the Red Heifer vision, and you need to fully study out this light to gets its full Gospel mandate, and, as I am Biblically understanding it, those who will act in reaping that final harvest, the next time it comes around, will be acting in that Full Gospel Light.

I mainly mentioned my several bannings for you to gain strength in the similar oppositions that you are getting many some of these same forums. From what I am reading there, we have made similar denunciations of some of these same people who also opposed me and called for my banning, namely, e.g., “Mountain Man”, Gordonb1. So take courage!! I like to think, given the fact that I was treated with a much shorter fuse, that my banning has made those on MSDAOL more patient with you. Who knows?! They however had a problem with the fact that I was emphasizing that, as EGW also states in LDE 59-61, that the SDA Church, can, and if necessary, will be replaced and, succinctly said here (with much more detailed on my blog), I see no Biblical reason for God not to reject a Church that engages in Elective Abortion and which variously makes making money its primary objective over saving souls and helping people in vital need. The SDA Church technically cannot be Babylon, but as OT Israel, it, particularly as it is choosing to act like the world countries it is established in, especially the U.S. and West, can be ‘the Church in Babylon’. So the Loud Cry Call to get out of Babylon also then applies to her.

Again, if you have the chance, do state the added details to your conversion dream.
Thanks,

Ronald
NJK Project

July 24, 2012

Also On That ‘Attractive Woman’

Spiritually notice James, in regards to the woman in your dream which you said was ‘satisfying your lust for beauty’, Inspiration (i.e., the SOP) actually speaks acceptingly of a description of God’s Ultimate Church/People Zion, the Church Triumphant, given by Solomon in Songs of Solomon 6 where he mainly focuses on his ‘satisfied lust of beauty’ in that woman. However that attractive woman also had many inner/hidden spiritual qualities which made her desirable. These are listed in Songs 6:10, and EGW makes several references to them when talking about the Church Triumphant, the Church that victoriously makes it on the other side of the SDA Church
And I am seeing that Song 6:10 has direct relation to the imagery and symbolism in your dream, in the secondary application of it. This is namely that when God’s Church Triumphant will arise in the new day, i.e., the evening (according to Biblical reckoning) when the prior day’s sun would have set on the SDA Church and world and that prior, neglected/squandered, and then/now passed opportunity for a Final Harvest, it will at, what I see Songs 6:10a referring to as its “dawn”, then be a woman (=Church) which is ‘beautiful like the Full Moon’ (=have the beautiful, Full Gospel/Religious of God), be “pure as the Sun” (=have the pure righteousness of Christ, thus always ‘doing the right thing and at the right time, particularly in socio-economic, ministry matters) and also be “awesome/terrible like an army with many banners’ (which is indicative of, like OT Israel when it was faithful, the unstoppable, even temporal power that it will fearingly have during the (initially planned) ‘temporal Jubilee period’ before Christ’s glorious appearance (cf. EW 52.1 & EW 286.1)).

So I am seeing that, particularly in the secondary application of your dream, these are the genuine Spiritual/Godly traits that you would have found that ‘attractive woman’ (=Church) to actually, inwardly have, if you had not misunderstood her “offer” for you to determinedly/resolutely get to know her. You then would have factually seen that her “Beautiful and Full Gospel/Religion” (=full moon); her righteous socio-economic dealings (“pure Sun”) and even her “awesome/terrible, temporal militancy (‘army with many banners’) were all from God, and as involved in real life, the true object of her attractiveness.

Ronald
NJK Project

July 25, 2012

Dear Brother Ronald,

When these dreams or visions happen, I am so in awe of the power of the creative mind of God and how He can give insights into things that have not happened yet, perfectly.

I cannot claim to know all the import and significance to all of the symbols, but I do know how God reminded me of the dream when the fulfillment was presented. The Spirit of Remembrance causes me to witness the fulfillment when it should be revealed. That does not mean there might not be more to gather from it, but the main point is divinely illustrated in the perfect light, from outside of me. I can see the path that I was brought through and all of the particulars of the dream in an instant when He reveals the fulfillment.

I know the significance of having an interpreter, but because no one has been brought to
me, in the perfect Spirit of Christ who is willing to truly enter into prayer, for that is what is missing in every discourse on the subject, and test the Spirit, He has seen fit and I have been blessed with both the dreams AND interpretations in context to my life, from the perspective God wants me to see it from, His. He strengthens my faith this way when no one will even listen.

The point I just shared with you must be explored. Why have I never met a man willing to instantly pray to the Father for discernment on the subjects? Are men afraid of God that they will not treat Him as the divine all knowing God and ask Him to explore the thing? He knows every part of those dreams, but when I am prompted to share them, no one prays. Why?

I once had a brother who said “if you can tell me the details of the insignificant dream I had last night, I will believe you are speaking from God” and immediately the Spirit of Remembrance inspired me to tell him “a wicked and adulterous generation looks for a sign”. This is the sign of Jonah and very few people will listen, or listen without prejudice or preconceived notions.

I believe what God shows me is in the exact symmetry of Mrs. Whites visions. They have the same signature attached. She did not have an interpreter either, but was given clues for the end time people, who would accept what God showed through her and scriptures prophets, and be brought beyond what was revealed through them by using the clues He gave, empowered by the Spirit of truth. I am on the receiving end of this, interpretive prophetic inspiration. If I remain faithful, this message inside of my heart will change the way people see God and bring them closer than anyone has ever been, part of His own Son. Standing before the Father literally in the body of Christ, dressed in the priestly garments, holding the Golden censor. I have been shown the staircase (bridge) to heaven, which spans the gulf of sin so we can enter into the Garden and eat the tree of life. Jesus was the Red Heifer in Gethsemane; we need the ashes to be cleansed to be built into the temple. So many different images (Some might call it mixing metaphors) are tied into this.

What I believe I am supposed to do is give your perspective the benefit of the doubt, because I do not know the perfect will of the Father, but only through His word (Biblically and extra-biblically). That being said, I am not being encouraged to openly accept what your interpretation is either. Selah.

One last point, in the beginning of the dream, on the train heading to destruction… I used to believe in Ghosts as a Catholic. I know without a shadow of doubt that this part of the dream was a foreshadowing of future dreams and insight, perfectly connected through the testimony He led me through. My point is, the journey is part of the testimony and part of the interpretation, and they cannot be separated. It is the song God has taught me, signed with His blood, His signature.
I had this dream just after being led by God to the Sabbath and baptism. The SDA church would not baptize me yet so I went elsewhere (re-baptized later). I had not even read one thing from Mrs. White yet. THEN I shared this dream with a new brother from our denomination and he said “wow, that dream is just like this dream from Mrs. White” and he showed me the quote about the train heading to Perdition with the speed of lightning. Later that same dream became essential to other visions and dreams God gave me. I know I am being led by the same Spirit from the God who loved Mrs. White, the patriarchs, and Jesus.

If you want to really know the interpretation of these dreams, which I can tell you do, you should fast for a day and get on your knees facing the eastern gate of the heavenly garden and pray as Daniel and Jesus did. Then after God accepts your humility He will answer you, and then if you want, ask me what ever you like and I promise I will prayerfully ask and share. Peace.

July 25, 2012

James, I greatly appreciate the fact that in this last email you have been forthcoming on many key points which I did have questions on, and also that you have been explicitly and pointedly responsive to the points I had made in my email. When a conversation is thus responsive it then can be constructive, for both parties. I will also be more open in this email, and that actually because, from your various visions, I see that you are, of all of the SDA Prophets which I have been testing thus far, the closest to the ministry mandating vision that God gave me. Others, (i.e., those listed on my “Testing the Spirits” post, I have seen are being given a more intrinsic message for SDA than your overall one, at least as seen in your published visions. I see that they have been called to deal more directly with the specific waywardness of SDA’s, whereas I see that your dreams and vision point more to major, pivotal and ultimate SDA prophetic developments (i.e., Holding of Four Winds; the Gethsemane/Red Heifer experience; false (worldly) “Peace and Safety”; (Plagues falling (as per your claim of direct revelation here, though you have not yet given those details)).

So I’ll be sharing with you here in more transparent detailing why I have that secondary understanding to your dreams and visions. (From my detailed web log, I am not seeing that you are visiting/reading my Biblical Studies on my “Theological Views” blog (i.e., hits/visits/pageviews from the Minnesota area), and that would be helpful as I cannot now take the time to give all of the details of the various points that I will be making. Even inserting the specific web links is a little time consuming, so if you need the blog link to anything that I say below, let me know and I’ll then send it to you.)

To start with, like I have been saying, I am not saying that you view of your conversion dream is not valid, I am just Biblically seeing, i.e., allowing the Bible and SOP interpret the imagery involved, that there is another application of it. To be specific, just like Christ’s Olivet Discourse had a First Century A.D. application, with a quite possible Second Coming, as the Apostolic
Church was rightly taught, within that time (e.g., around 70 A.D.), when events on the ground did not permit that end of all things then, pointedly as seen in Paul’s letters and Revelation’s Letters to the Seven Churches, time had to then be prolonged and there came to be a new application of Christ’s Olivet Prophecies in Church History. That is all why the scene of Rev 5 linearly occurs after the 7 Churches of Rev 2 & 3 because when that First Century A.D. fulfillment failed, there came to be that crisis in Heaven in regards to a needed sacrifice in behalf of the failing/not ready Apostolic Church (Rev 5:6) to mercifully extend time. Jesus there also plead His Blood and time was prolonged.

So I see that in the primary application of your revelations, as well as those of other raised up SDA Prophets, seeing that God subdivided his full prophetic revelation amongst us all, it is/was applying to the waning opportunity that the SDA Church had in order to timely reap the harvest, and all according to a literalistic fulfillment of the Final Event delineation and Scenario given to EGW. But the SDA Church has quite atrociously failed that test, indeed “on the borders of Canaan”, (and their general rejection of your Biblical message is a prominent demonstration of this), and they will thus pay the similar wilderness judgement penalty (15MR 292.2-4). So this is the point that I see those secondary applications of your revelations coming into effect. I’ll later share with you the Gospel key to also seeing this. If you are not willing to heed Christ Full expectation here, then these understanding will “naturally” remained “sealed” to you. (Dan 12:3, 10; 1 Cor 2:6-16; Isa 29:11-12). God actually cannot force anyone to do His will, nor, as many examples in Scripture show, will He give someone a supernatural revelation about what to do about something or obey something which is already clearly stated in the Bible or SOP. For when His Law (=Matt 22:34-40) is not (fully) obeyed, visions/dreams are correspondingly not (fully) given. (Lam 2:9; cf. Prov 29:18; Ezek 7:26). To actually succinctly state it here, the Full Law/Gospel which must be keyly heeded here is Christ’s Full Sabbatical Gospel which calls on the follower to do all that is feasible to help those in need, especially those in vital need, ‘to the very least of these’. (Matt 25:31-46).

From my observations of those who have had prophetic Revelation, I see that in the first one God sets the general mandate for the person he is calling to this office, and in my first vision, God clearly showed me that my primary concern was not to point out the Ezek 8 sins of the SDA Church to it, (I know see that he had raised others to do that e.g., Ron Beaulieu), but to instead work in the setting up of the alternative, prolonged time course, should the SDA Church fail in its pointed, Shaking Testing, as it was then most likely. And so, as my first Vision (of the night) involved, I was to work on “things to come” (Rev 4:1), which, as now fully presented on my blog, involved an eschatological wave of application for apocalyptic prophecies (e.g., Revelation, Dan 11, OT Zion prophecies) and similarly in regards to EGW’s prophecies. And to be most honest with you, I keep surprising myself on how these prophecies are thus exegetically and hermeneutically precisely applicable, with indeed the concrete/specific substantiation from applying Biblical symbology versus the generalizing, selective and quasi-arbitrary claims involved in a primary view, where not every symbol is interpreted, or when it is, a claim is made that does not let the Bible interpret that symbol. If you have noted from all of my presented secondary interpretations of your revelations, as well as those of other SDA prophets on my blog
post, I indeed do let the Bible’s symbology interpret those revelations, and the view that results is always harmonious with the “prolonged time and superceding Church Triumphant movement” view that my prophetic calling and ministry involved from the very start. As I relate in my first vision post, I was then upset at God that He was semi-barring me from engaging in trying to reform the SDA Church by presenting its Ezek 8 waywardness, but now I see the great wisdom in this, because (a) God had and would be raising others to do that task, and there was indeed much work in my mandate to do.

I regards to your call for me to fast, I’ll tell you that for a long time now, I have been daily living the ideal fast of God in Isa 58:6-7 vs. the Isa 58:5. I used to do the Isa 58:5 fast at my days at Andrews University up to 1999 and a little while after in the first part of 2000. I had also been physically prostrating myself completely, face down, before God during those season. But since then I have been living, as God desires more than form and exercise, that Isa 58:6-7 Fast in working towards those plans and projects which will come to the aid of those in various vital needs, as well as supercedingly doing what is spiritually involved in a face down prostrating, by daily living a Gethesemane-mirroring “not my will/understandings but yours God” devotion. That is indeed the fasting and praying that God accepts in during that time God had greatly blessed Spiritually, including, as I cite on my blog post, with now over 60 Visions (of the Night); 150 Dreams, and countless, surely 1000's of Strong Impressions, indicative and/or fulfilled Signs, and His unmistakeably audible, guiding Voice. All this to say that God’s Secondary/Eschatological application of his prophecies is well-confirmed in my life, experience and ministry, to the point that I also do see what the actual/Biblical, passing/passed primary view is, as variously shown in my blog where I comparatively present both views. So I surely cannot go back to having the primary view as this would be a major step back for me. God’s Full Gospel Light has forever propelled me forward to His Greater and Glorious plans, and as I state in my blog, echoing the expression of a potential Church Triumphant member, presently a non-SDA Christian: “there is nothing in the world that can change my mind”. God’s Word and the SOP is way too perfectly and fully fulfilled in this Secondary View for me to stepped off this higher platform.

I’ll add this, not as a criticism, but as a friendly and well-meaning admonishment, but God has created us to be so well in tune with His will that we would not need any special revelation from him to know what to do in a situation. One cannot go wrong by ‘doing the right thing and at the right time’ for that is indeed how perfect Righteousness is experienced. God wants us to be spiritually mature where he does not have to, as He loathing says in Isa 28:5-13, tell us our every move. (Cf. Heb 5:11-6:8). In fact my theology reveals that God having to always speak something special to his creation involves Him having to expend various costly energy, which is overall detrimental to this Created Universe. And that is the pointed reason why He cannot allow the rebelliously spiritually immature to live forever, for they will literal be a depletingly constant drain to Him. In all ways, God expects His creation to take care of itself, Spiritually, Physically and Environmentally, and that is why His creation in inherently renewable and also socially serving. (DA 21-23’s “Law of Life”). So while God can at first guide a person by direct revelation, He expects us to grow in this guidance, particularly in learning how to get the right
answers for ourselves, (e.g., from the Bible/SOP), and not expect Him to always have to tell us what that answer should be. Case in point, in 1847, he started of the Pioneer SDA’s into understanding how to interpret the prophecies of revelation by, after they had already accepted the Sabbath from the Bible, as then confirmed by EGW in 1846, showing then how the Sabbath was related to the Third Angel’s message in Rev 14:9-12 (LS 95-96). After that, what was discovered in Bible prophecy was done through proper Bible study, and only subsequently confirmed in relatable revelations to EGW.

So like I said, I do see that your dreams and visions had a role to play in the final testing of the SDA Church, but it failed its test. Yet God’s revelations to you did not fail, but now will have their secondary application be fulfilled (Isa 55:8-11). But like I have said, it is only when one fully obeys the Law and Gospel of Christ, by truly loving our fellow, and especially needlessly dying man/woman, will these understanding be unsealed. The Bible is clear that no matter what level of sinlessness and spiritually we think we have arrived to, if we are being indifferent to those in need, especially those who are needlessly dying, and not, even sacrificially, working to help them or religion is in vain and we will not be acceptable to God. Indeed sins of “omissions” will weighed down that person’s guilty ledger of God’s Sanctuary Balances. Today, there is no excuse for this “murderous” neglect and it is only the spurious and religious/worshipful belief that money is king/god and not the Creator God, which is causing even the SDA Church to not fully obey Christ’s Gospel.

So don’t be surprise if you are not getting a direct revelation from God to heed his full Gospel mandate because, as I said and have already pointed out in the Bible and SOP, He has already clearly spoken on this matter.

That all said, I would like to here those other/added details of your dreams and visions, as per my posted and emailed requests, and also how you are viewing these things. I think that these addition can be beneficial to both of us. There is much more certainty in a collaborative study of Scripture, which includes the study of God’s genuine revelations.

Awaiting your response,
God Bless,
Ronald
NJK Project

July 25, 2012

The Bridge’s Destination

Hello James,

I have been reviewing your statements in regards to the bridge that you were shown in your dream which spanned the Kidron Valley and Garden of Gethsemane to the Eastern Gate of
Jerusalem (towards the Holy City), which you also were show is symbolic of the Eastern Gate of the Garden of Eden (towards the Tree of Life). I’ll add that in Bible and EGW’s visions it was shown that the Tree of Life is indeed in the New Jerusalem (Rev 22:2; EW 17.1) and one of the very first things that the redeemed are shown upon entering the gate of the Holy City, which I can see is its Eastern Gate, is the Tree of Life (EW 16.2-17.1a).

Now a major issue of difference that you may have with my “secondary view”, and which I can perfectly understand given your present understanding of things, is if you are saw that the Red Heifer experience ends in the Heavenly Holy/(Restored) Garden of Eden, then how can I be saying now, as I did in a posted comment on your blog:
http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/2011/12/red-heifer.html?showComment=1341005208389#c4563305631689227359

that this could also be related (i.e., in my God-given secondary view of things) to the temporal Jerusalem Gate, the Messiah Gate of Ezek 43:1-5ff. The reason for this is all related to whether or not the SDA Church pass its Shaking Judgement test and revive and reform itself in time to be able to timely gather its harvest and usher in Final Event as they were “fast trackingly” delineated (i.e., for a Jubilee Fulfillement in Heaven (contra the temporal Jubilee in EW 286.1) to EGW. If the SDA Church had passed its test, things would have developed just as God had revealed things to you in that vision with them straightly entering the Heavenly Holy City and Garden of Eden which is in Heaven. But, I see all prophetic indicators that they have most atrociously failed their test, and just as God had shown to EGW in her 1908 Loma Linda Train Station vision, an utter “whirlwind” judgement (=Ezek 13) will ensue to ‘sweep away all of the refuge of “plastered up” and whitewashed, false Peace, lies’ and “covenant with death” (which includes the thinking that letting people needlessly die of fixable causes is within God’s will for a soon Second Coming) of SDA’s (Ezek 13 & Isa 28:14-21) where during it “there was not a Seventh-day Adventist to be seen”.

I see in your conversion vision, in its secondary application, that you have been shown, as I have said, as you also seem to understand, that a end of probation was being depicted there with the waning sunlight on the field of wheat. And it is important to understand the natural symbolism involved here where if a farmer puts of reaping his wheat harvest in time, or even if he reaps its too early, he will ruin his harvest. Wheat that is harvest too fast won’t provide a good food supplied and wheat that is not reaped in time will just spoil in the field. So I see that the SDA’s chance to obtain a timely harvest was between 1996 and 2001. Had they then done the proper harvest preparation work, God would not have had to Hold Back the Winds on September 11, 2001, which, as detailed on my blog post, I see that God had variously been working to hold back all during the preparations time for the 9/11 plot, and then also directly acted or it was then a most natural consequence that the Second Plane strike which confirmed and unprecedented, coordinated, high profile attack on the U.S. where even nuclear war was feared, did not produce the SOP’s “angry horse” (20MR 217.1) scenario with the U.S. retaliatorily striking back with nuclear weapons and plunging the world into a most destructive World War III scenario. (In fact, in my available spare time, I am working on a book/screenplay which covertly presents all of
those attenuating interventions of God during the planning days of 9/11, which indeed formally
was over the 1996-2001 time era), all to avert a WWIII-initiating response by the U.S.

And, as seen in your 9/11 vision, God may have mercifully granted SDA an extension from 2001
to ca. April 2012, but have also failed in that extended time.

So in the visions given to you, I see that God was trying to give SDA’s their possible objective if
they proved to be faithful, but they have failed to do so, as indeed seen by their predominant
rejection of visions. And of all genuine SDA prophetic ministries today, yours should have been
the one they would most readily and whole-hearted accept and support, as, unlike the others,
including mine, I see that yours corpus of revelations is the only one which do not have
pointed/explicit condemnatory elements in them against SDA’s. So if they really believed what
they were professing in regards to a “soon Second Coming”, they should have accepted and
heeded the visions given to you, but they have not, and I see are hardening their position against
you, i.e., by not rejecting them now ‘against perceived light’. There is not atoning provision for
such a course of “rebellion” (Heb 10:26).

So, to state in succinctly here, I see that, just as the literal OT prophecies for Israel/Zion had to be
mainly spiritually applied to the NT Israel when the Ethnic Israel failed, and therefore those
prophecies could not be literalistically fulfilled as planned, in the same way, given the failure of
the SDA Church, your revelations, as well as those of EGW will for now have a more spiritual
fulfilment in their Secondary wave of application, which none the less had strong exegetical and
hermeneutic roots and God will also, during this prolong time era, be acting to temporally fulfill
the many Zion (=Church Triumphant), prophecies of the OT. So that is how I am seeing that
Ezek 43 is the applicable secondary, temporal fulfillment of your Eastern Gate view and this all
dove tails with the NJK Project revelations that I have been given in preparation for this
alternative, SDA-completely-failing, scenario.

Again, this does not state that you are a false prophet, for in that case all OT prophets should be
considered as false prophets since many of their prophecies have not, and will never be,
literalistically fulfilled, (i.e., with mainly Ethnic Jews in the literal/Old Jerusalem and Land of
Israel, and with literal foe nations of Israel (e.g., Babylon, Egypt, Assyria, etc). All of this is
because of the principle of conditionality of Jer 18:1-10. It also goes with a proper understanding
of ‘God and the Future’, where, as pointedly stated in Isa 46:9-11, God actually plans the future
and when the time comes He variously acts to precisely carry out exactly what He had planned.
So what we may think as “God knowing our future”, is simply God having guided our lives,
including with one’s aware submission and obedience according to the planned prophetic
roadmap that He had. If you realistically, logically and Spiritually (i.e. in terms of the Great
Controversy and Free Will), think about it, the future cannot actually exist unless we have
already lived it out.

Much more can be said on this. Let me know of any questions you may have.
“So while God can at first guide a person by direct revelation, He expects us to grow in this
guidance, particularly in learning how to get the right answers for ourselves, (e.g., from the
Bible/SOP), and not expect Him to always have to tell us what that answer should be.”

Amen, this is fully correct.

He gives us insight to know where to find the truth. He leads us to the spring of healing
waters so we may not thirst and to impart to others. When we are shown perfect truth, it
has the effect of refining our perspective so every other thought can be brought in harmony
with this.

Sorry about not reading all of your blogs yet. I have read some, but I am more simple
minded than you and find what you write hard to follow for long periods of time.

If you want send me the visions and dreams in a simplified condensed version so I will be
able to pray about it this would be most beneficial.

P.S. I understand about living in the fast etc, but to not eat for a whole day and eschew the
sons of men does have a spiritual benefit for answers to prayer like Jesus said “But this
kind goeth not out save by prayer and fasting. Matthew 17:21 Acts 13:3 Then, when they
had fasted and prayed and laid their hands on them, they sent them away. These men were
living the righteous fast, but they also went full into not eating and prayer when they really
wanted a message from God or an answer to prayer. As you have read, I have been very
blessed by Fasting and praying while staying clear of anything connected to other people,
in the wilderness, communing with God reading His word.

---

July 27, 2012

Daniel 9:3
American Standard Version (ASV)
3 And I set my face unto the Lord God, to seek by prayer and supplications, with fasting
and sackcloth and ashes.

I can just imagine Daniel in Babylon setting his face towards the eastern gate of Jerusalem,
covering himself in ashes like the Red Heifer cleansing which took place outside the eastern
gate at that Miphkad altar. That pit that the bones and ashes of the sacrifices were thrown
is the valley of the shadow of death. Daniel’s heart would enter through the gate to approach the throne of God.

This fasting IS the physical kind, beyond the reasonable service of the living fast.

Hello James,

I am glad that you understand and agree with the principle of growing in spiritual maturity, however we may not be specifically seeing it the same way here. I am pointedly addressing the issue of you apparently wanted to ‘have a direct revelation from God’ as to whether or not embarking on a ministry course to do all that is feasible to help all those in need is God’s will. From my experience, as God/Jesus have in many ways in both the OT, NT and SOP made this mandate quite clear, and has variously clearly spoken of this venture as the highest just, spiritual and righteous level that a follower of his can attain, indeed this being His most foundational, eternal, “Law of Life” (DA 20-23), I see/know and understand that He will not be directly speaking upon this matter again. Rather, as in my experience, it is when a person genuinely decides to walk in this path of Christ that He will then engage in variously validating, illuminating and giving added instruction to perfectly complete this course. So your ‘prayer over my revelations’ would effectively, actually inherently be along the lines of: “is it your will God to do what is said in (e.g., Isa 58 and Matt 25:31-46), and as the obvious answer there is “yes”, I know that God would not provide anyone with a special revelation to restate the same thing. That would amount to people asking Jesus for a sign instead of seeing and believe that his various good benevolent works were indeed fully God’s will. Similarly, I see most SDA to some degree thinking that it is against God’s will to fully use all His various given resources to help those in need as something that is not in His will and even something that would hamper the “soon Second Coming”. But it is the opposite that it the Gospel Truth: the Second Coming will not occur until this work, this “living testimony” work, is fully done, nor will those who neglect it have characters that are fit for life in Heaven and the New Earth.

So in regards to getting a copy of my visions and dreams (which currently fill over 140 manuscript pages), if you carefully read my first vision, which I posted on my blog, [link](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision), you’ll see that I actually have an, albeit discretionary, order not to get into details about such those experiences. And the main object of all of those visions is to guide me in my Full Gospel ministry path (namely my Four Major Projects, e.g., the NJK Project, WBSC, and an Innovation’s Industrial Company). I would only share them with others who genuinely want to fully pursue this course. Frankly, if a person cannot see the vital importance of doing this from just the Bible and SOP, and even from a purely human perspective, I don’t see that they should read those additional/guiding instructions. (cf. Matt 9:16-17) Indeed, it would here amount to “leaking company secrets”. So I do understand why you have asked for them, I have similarly asked for more details on your dreams from you, but for those important reasons, I cannot/should not do so. Nonetheless you can get much info from my posted first vision on my blog and the
commentary that I make on it then.

In regards to my writing style on my blog, that too is purposeful (=Isa 6:8-13|Matt 13:10-17), I had made an explanation of it in the Advent Talk forum (before I got banned from it) (see here: http://www.adventtalk.com/forums/index.php/topic,2348.0.html) as someone seemed to be somewhat genuinely hampered by it, but as I also greatly suspected, that person was actually just not willing to invest any effort in reading my blog posts, as they were just satisfied with their understanding of, pointedly in that case, the 70 Week/2300 day prophecy. I have however noticed on my web log that some people get through reading my posts by reading it in chunks, a little every day.

In regards to fasting, the object of fasting is not merely to abstain from food and drink. That is simply the most ready thing that someone can do, and as it involves a type of life sacrificing, it thus symbolically constitutes offering God a “living sacrifice”. God can then see a person’s devotion then and freely share “secret” things with them. However it is the Isa 58 fasting which is more advanced as it involved literally/tangibly sacrificing your living in order to help others in need. (Isa 58:6) It is a beneficially outreaching fast (Isa 58:7), and thus the one which God values the most. Fasting to cast out a demon, or any other such spiritual work would only need a symbolic abstain from food, whereas the Isa 58 ‘ministering fast’ has such spiritual effect (see also Isa 58:9), as well as also having tangible ones in those who are being aided, and not to mention also producing an incontrovertible testimony to the world (Isa 58:8) pointedly in matters that can indeed be done without the need of And, in the similar understand of Jesus in Matt 9:14-15, when one has discovered this ‘Full Gospel Light and Feast’ (=Rev 19:7-9; which is related to Matt 22:1-14), it no longer makes tangible nor spiritual, sense to fast of food and drink, particularly as by then, the needed ‘extra oil for light’ has already been obtained (Matt 25:1-13). And me now fasting of food and drink would be most detrimental in accomplish my needed, daily work to complete this project, as the lack of calorific intake would adversely impact my mental and physical ability to work on my daily task...and with each additional day that this project is not advanced and delayed, that means that tens of thousands of more people will (have to) senselessly die of curable, preventable and intentional causes. Therefore I spiritually and physically see it as much better to “fast” in working to tangibly help these people as they vitally and urgently need to.

In regards to your comment on Dan 9:3, in your subsequent email, Daniel did not then have those vital needs to deal with, and even if he did, working to properly have God’s Jerusalem establish from where such benevolent works would most easily be done, would be the most pressing need. And so physically fasting would be the most basic thing that he could do in this regard. And for the reasons that I cited above, particularly in Isa 58, as God clearly says there, the “ministering living fast” is the fast that God considers to be of the highest, and quite literal “service”. Indeed it is much more demanding of oneself, on top of being also fully productive during that time, than food and drink fasting. As Jesus said, the greatest thing that one can do is and the greatest manifestation/evidence love, which is God’s full being, (1 John 4:7-8ff) is ‘give his “psyche” (=life) for his friend’ (John 15:13), also when on sacrifices for a stranger, even enemy, as Jesus also
taught in the parable of the Good Samaritan. And that all fully fulfills the self-sacrificing and self-denying Gethsemane Experience of Christ, -the Red Heifer’s tangible and antitypical example and meaning. Similarly God is now calling us to get to the level where we make such tangible and effective acts and not merely rotely/religiously going through the (Old Covenant) symbolizing/typological ones. And that is all done by fully heeding and obeying what Jesus taught in His Fulfilling and Full Gospel.

Indeed, in all of this the unlocking key is simple, it is to, out of genuine, disinterested love, work to help those in such vital needs. I can only pray that you will submit to God’s clear will in this matter, there is really nothing to lose by doing this and much, much to gain.

Ronald
NJK Project

July 28, 2012

Hello James, just a quick comment on a statement you made on MSDAOL here:


JSOT: (Most Americans don't know that Muslims do not shake hands, especially with infidels who wipe their butts with the same hand they eat with)

Though I generally agree with the gross, typical American lack of knowledge about Muslims, see this “Islamophobia” blog post section:


(and as a Canadian, I firsthandedly see a similar disdain ignorant attitude from American in regards to Canada), I’ll contextualizingly say in regards to this ‘differentiating hand’ issue that “Westerners” here, unlike many people in Muslim countries, readily have: toilet paper, disinfecting soaps, running, even hot, water, and also eating utensils and so do not actually/literally wipe their backs, nor also eat, “with their hands”, as those people in countries who do not have such things do. So this is all actually a non-issue for Westerners.

(By the way, just to be accurate, the former Alaska Governors’ name is spelt “Sarah Palin”)

Ronald

July 30, 2012

I thank you for your support, Peace bro
Disappointingly not the “engaging” and Biblical studying response that I was expecting from you James, but since I see that you are fulfilling, to the letter, the secondary application of your conversion dream, then, I can see that God expected this reaction from you, and I have a pretty good impression as to why...

It will be better for you to understand that Jesus’ judgement will, as He and God the Father have clearly stated, solely deal with how we have done to help all those in various need. So the sooner you understand that incontrovertible Gospel mandate, the better it will be for you. Everything “religiously” else, i.e., fasting, praying, etc, is all to serve to get us to that most godly level. And that is why there is no temple in, actually the New Jerusalem, because by, i.e., after the Millennium, all those who will have been saved then, which will include many people who had not formal religion, per se but had devoted their life to helping those in need (LDE 218.3-219.3) which all yields God’s End Time Sabbatical Seal (LDE 219.4ff).

The following sermon by Michigan Conference Pastor Kameron DeVasher [incidently, he is married to the daughter of GC President Ted Wilson*] on what it truly means to “have the Character of Christ) (COL 69.1) may be helpful to you:

Website: http://fremont22.adventistchurchconnect.org/article/7/sermon-archive
MP3: http://dl.dropbox.com/u/14689394/Muskegon%20Sermons/06%202012-10-11_%20The%20Charac ter%20of%20Christ.mp3

See also his more extensive GYC 2011 Series on that topic (Thursday and Saturday Evening Devotionals:

http://gycweb.org/presenters/kameron-devasher/

Youtube:
Thurs: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GGlNdLHeE9w
Sat: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T17iXZHxXZ4

As I have been similarly preaching since 1999, Jesus is actually also waiting for His Church to be perfectly involved (COL 67.3) because then, their capital sins of omission (i.e., the murderous neglect of those in religious or humanitarian/vital need) can be atone for. Otherwise it will remain on their ledger and cause them to fail their Final Judgement.

In all of this I see that you are making the same mistake as all those who have had genuine dreams and visions from God, they just cannot see beyond the primary application, and that is all due to the fact that they are not actually/fully, and most importantly, obedient to Jesus Christ’ Gospel. Therefore these things will just remained sealed to them and you. (=1 Cor 2:6-16). But the Truth is not limited to what you may or may not want to accept, and hopefully you will not be making the mistake of William Miller, who, though he was greatly used by God to start the
Remnant Church movement, because he didn’t think that God could work beyond the light he was given, he rejected all of the other foundational pillars of the Truth, e.g., the Heavenly Sanctuary Message, the 7th Day Sabbath, the Spirit of Prophecy, etc).

And as I typically say to people who “voidly” which me/for “peace” while not having aligned themselves with the conditions and requirement of God’s “Covenant of Peace” (Isa 54:10; Ezek 34:25; 37:26; LDE 271.2):

‘There is only “peace” in actual and full Biblical Truth and not in a “refuge of plastered up and whitewashed lies.” (Ezek 13)...and variously paying homage to Capitalism and limiting what we do in regards to Christ’s Gospel because of what the manufactured god is dictating, is the biggest “Mark of the Beast” god of them all!!

Ronald
NJK Project

July 30, 2012

I’m at peace. You should worry about yourself.

All that you have presented in support of your view James is a half view of Christ’s Gospel. And I am not surprised if you ‘are at peace’ because God will not force you to do His will when He has already clearly spoken on an issue. Just ask King David who was at perfect peace as seen by him not being at all personally disturbed when Nathan told him of the allegory on his sin. But like I said, God’s revelational Truth, even the ones conveyed through you, in your dreams and visions, are not limited by what the receiver him/herself wants to merely believe about them. I actually see that you are on course to also fulfill your ‘False Peace and Safety’ vision, because all those who have a false or half understanding of Christ’s Gospel’s will come out on the wrong side of that Gospel issue. Seriously stated, you readily call others “Sons of Disobedience”, but I don’t see you doing better in this aspect!!

By the way, why did you include the Kameron DeVasher’s Thursday Evening Devotional Youtube link in your reply here? Did you even listen to it??

Ronald
NJK Project

July 30, 2012

1 John 3:7 Little children, make sure no one deceives you; the one who practices righteousness is righteous, just as He is righteous;

James, you’ll need to Biblically substantiate and explain what is so ‘wrong’ (=”unrighteous”)
about working to fulfill Christ Full Gospel Mandate of helping all those in (vital) need, right to “the very least”, i.e., being-aborted infants!?!?

In fact, you cannot claim your dreams or visions, because they are not at all preventing this from being done, in fact, quite to the contrary. It is solely your “limited/halved” interpretation and understanding of them which is at fault here. Just before the Matt 25:31-46 instruction Jesus taught to ‘occupy [in doing the Master’s (Gospel) work] until He comes and not “bury our entrusted talent” (Matt 25:14-30) as I see you are opting to do with what he has given you, as if helping all those in need will be a detriment to anything!?!? Can you truly be “at peace” against this Biblical light?!? And if so, do Biblically explain how, (-i.e., your conscience is not the final arbitrator here, God’s word is).

July 31, 2012

Satan towards me Brother; you do not even begin to know me, and you think you have the right to make this kind of judgment against me? When we have not even met?

One moment I speak in the name of God and the next I am to be judged by you? You know the dreams God gave me better than I?

You have no idea how perfectly you fit into the persecution I have received from men claiming to be in “the name of God”.

He will show you very soon how deceived you have been. You are dangerous.

Your view of me here James of ‘thinking that me and my views are “Satanic and dangerous”, just are a furthering fulfilment of that ‘Conversion Dream’ of yours, but for all of the reasons that I have been stating you just can’t see this. So be it... prophecy must be fulfilled, and God knows best.

I am not judging you, the word of God is. And I do not have to meet you, that would not change much given your expressed words. You have said nothing in our discussion about aiming to help ‘the least of those who are in need’ so that speaks volumes as to what your Gospel View is. Like the Pharisee and Priest in Christ’s Good Samaritan parable, you think that helping the “half dead” is not God’s will, but instead indifferently pressing on towards your ‘religious purifying’ is. That is all a most subtle deception of Satan, which most SDA’s also adhere to, but I am glad to see that many SDA’s, including Doug Batchelor, are beginning to awaken (potentially Ezek 37:7-8ff) to the fact that this attitude is not True/God’s Righteousness. But if you had actually read, viewed or listened to any of the resources which I sent to you, including SOP statements, you would have already understood this key issue. But obviously that is not at all important to you.
If you had read my blog, I do not give any man any “carte blanche” in regards to Scriptural matters. So as Jesus did to Peter, I’ll praise something he says when it is clearly from God and rebuke him for things which are clearly against the word of God. You seem to want to go by that people must believe everything you say, just because it is you who is saying it. In any “prophetic matter,” the Bible is my final arbitrator. The problem with you, in my case at least, is that you fancifully only want to read or hear what is favorable to you and ignore any thing else that is critical. But I have long been saying of you on my blog that I do see how you have turned your prophetic gifting into a cult of yourself, where even the full testimony of the Bible cannot supercede your claims. Now that is “dangerous” and will cause you to, as with William Miller, not see God’s Truth beyond what you are wanting to believe now. Your choice....it however is not likely to stand in the final judgement (Matt 25:40-46; 7:21-24).

You refusal to hear anything else may say, including from the Bible and SOP speaks volumes about your attitude here.

In regards to your claim of “God showing me very soon” do “enlighten”, if you can, from what your are claiming, where in any of your actual visions and dream does it state that the Second Coming will occur when you are expecting it. Your Red Heifer vision points to the sun setting on a harvest and God stating that the workers are few; the 9/11 vision states that the Four Winds had been held then, which, the last time that occurred in ca. 1888 indeed over 123 years went by before another opportunity presented itself. You have yet to substantiate your claim that God told you that the 7 Plagues had started to fall 7 months ago...and how do the last plague starts before a National Apostasy?? As I said, your Eighth King few is evidently purely out of your own assumptions and there is no time, or even event, spoken of in regards to your Peace and Safety vision.

As I said, I see that these things were so strikingly (when genuine) presented to you, as with Ernie Knoll in an attempt to jolt the SDA Church into fully/corporately awakening, but that did not do so, and so they have sealed their Shaking fate. And therefore, the alternative and superceding, Temporal Church Triumphant (=NJK Project) plans which God had been distinctly working with me to have established, and did indeed tell me not to (at my discretion) make them known to anyone, evidently so as not to give anyone an excuse to not heed His other preluding and testing calls reform (cf. Rom 11:25-27ff), will now be implemented (or else Mal 4:6).

I suggest that you place less emotion and more Biblical thinking into your views, because, emotions will lead one to make the wrong decision. Pertinent case in point, if Jesus had emotionally acted in Gethsemane instead of going by what God had clearly said in His word, the plan of salvation would have failed. So you do not have any scripture for a view to let the ‘half-dead’ suffer their unjust “fate”, but I have ample Bible and SOP statements which says that to lovingly, fully and effectively help them is our ultimate and determinative duty!! Your Call!! I’ll go by the Gospel of Jesus Christ and not the “Claims of James”.

Ronald
NJK Project

July 31, 2012

Do not contact me again.

As you wish!! It will overall be to my advantage!

July 31, 2012 11:24:10 P.M.

Just to verify this development before I post my statement on my blog, (not that it would actually make a difference though), did you delete all of my comments on your blog? (Not that that actually makes everything vanish away!!)

August-02-12 4:15:53 PM

If it is an advantage you seek, you do not serve my God.

August 2, 2012 07:10:11

Subject Line: Learn to Appreciate Biblical, Exegetical and Factual Truth!

No need to misconstrue things in order to erect a straw man so that you can then circularly knock it down and then think to be right. I am only interested in having Biblical and factual Truth. And like I said you are subjectively preferring to stall the understanding of your revelations at a primary and half-Gospel stage. So not taking anymore of my time to help you see the full truth is what ‘will be an advantage to me’ as I can then use that time to endeavor to help those who are needlessly dying.

You can keep on making your misstatements and hold on to your incomplete understanding. I hope you can see that that is not at all detrimental to me, so I had no necessity to ascertain the Truth from you. It will only hinder your efforts to be considered a truthful and reliable. And if you think that only those who don’t care about what you are saying are those that care for you then, to say the least, you are experiencing a spiritual “Stockholm Syndrome”.

The Truth in all of this does not end with what you choose to understand. And I just can’t see why you don’t transparently state how exactly it is that you believe God had told/showed you something. How is it Biblical that we have to merely take your word for those claims without you detailing of your experience. Only fully accepted prophets have that “luxury”, yet EGW herself wanted everything she claimed to be thorough verified by Biblical study.

Only the completion of Christ’s Full Gospel will ever bring about the Second Coming, and no, as the SOP clearly states, any definite time/event scheme, as are your claims and understandings of
your prophetic revelations. So if/since you have no burden to help these dying, least of these, then we are indeed not serving the same God, and if you prefer to insist so, you’ll only realize this way too late. (Matt 7:21-23; 25:41-46). The Religion of God pointedly is to help all those in need (James 1:27) anything else prior to that ultimate goal is just sanctifying training, like a military training for deployment in the actual war theater. Even living a religiously sin-free life is really all because of the detrimental effect that one’s sin inevitably has on others. (cf. Matt 5:17-20, 48)

Ronald
NJK Project

August 3, 2012 02:47 A.M.

Return to sender

August 3, 2012 04:39 A.M.

Subject Line: Divine Warning: ‘You are fighting God’

Interesting “sarcastic” response...the resort of those who have nothing substantive or valid to say. It actually only proves your blindness in the various elements I touched on in my email, including the subject line title. And just going by the “substantiveness and seriousness” of your responses you make on MSDAOL, including to people who could care less about you and your claims, your responses throughout my (now all deleted by you) blog comments and this subsequent emailing discussion with you just indeed proves that you have nothing worthwhile to say, even when, tellingly enough, I had asked you to detailedly substantiate your various claims.

Relatedly I had found a comment that you had made on MSDAOL tellingly interesting (won’t search for its link now to save my time): “If what God has shown me is true”.... Really If what God has shown you is true?????? What God is a liar??? Clearly it should be here: “If what you believed God has shown you is true.” The entire issue is on you, not on God. But it is so typical of people who are factually proven to be wrong to then try to take God down with them. And that all stems from an issue of pride... the root of sin.

But here is the kicker in all of this latest development: I awoke this morning at 2:43 A.M. and so there after I was being impressed by God with the following thoughts to state to you in an email. After several minutes of this impression, I finally said that I would only waste my time sharing them with you if you answered my last email. After fixing myself something to eat, at 3:15 A.M. I then went to my computer and lo and behold you had answered it at 2:47 A.M. the approximate time when all of those impressions had started. And, having found it quite odd that God would so forcefully impress me with exactly what I should be telling you, knowing from experience that He only reserves such “supernatural” intervention for situations where blatant “lawlessness” and rebelliousness is involved, such as with your choice of a “sarcastic” vacuous reply, I then saw
exactly why for as explicitly stated in that first impression:

(1) ‘As much as you may want to, you do not have a problem with me, but with Jesus Christ’ And, as I have been saying, as with King David, He does not even have to personally, as if validating your rebellious stance, indicate this to you (as you are manifestly expecting). (cf. John 12:47-50). You want to be indifferent to, and make light of, the plight of those who are needlessly dying of preventable, curable and intentional causes, “the very least of these”, then you indeed have an issue with Jesus Christ who spoke on no uncertain terms as to how He will judge those who do as you are doing. (Matt 25:45-46; DA 641.3-5). And “token works” as typical with SDA’s won’t help you here as you all now have all of the needed “talent” and resources to accomplish all needed works. But you all are instead just concretely demonstrating your great devotion to the anti-Sabbatical system of Capitalism, -which it would behoove you to know and understand is the second half part of the Mark of the Beast. Like I said, in such regards, you are “disobeyingly” not doing any better than the ‘half-converted’ lukewarm Church/members you are chastising.

(2) Not recalling exactly what you had claimed on the following issue (it didn’t make any valid sense to me when I had read it), You seem to think that the “title”: “son of thunder” is some sort of spiritual badge that must be worn, and your various “proud”, “ambitious”, “combative”, “self-seeking”, “impetuous”, etc, actions, (e.g., on various SDA Forum), particularly when people don’t bow down to everything you say and claim (and with you actually choosing not to be most transparent on such claims), just prove that you really think that these are character traits that God aspires for in you. Says the SOP:

Yet John’s was no faultless character. He was no gentle, dreamy enthusiast. He and his brother were called "the sons of thunder." John was proud, ambitious, combative; but beneath all this the divine Teacher discerned the ardent, sincere, loving heart. Jesus rebuked his self-seeking, disappointed his ambitions, tested his faith. But He revealed to him that for which his soul longed,—the beauty of holiness. "Unto the men which Thou gavest Me out of the world," He said, "I have manifested Thy name." {ST, March 8, 1905 par. 3}

Evil temper, revenge, the spirit of criticism, were all in the beloved disciple. But day by day, in contrast with his own violent spirit, he beheld the tenderness and forbearance of Jesus, and heard His lessons of humility and patience. He opened his heart to the divine influences, and became not only a hearer but a doer of the Saviour's words. Self was hid in Christ. He learned to wear the yoke of Christ and to bear His burden. For him the darkness had passed away, and the true light was shining. {ST, March 8, 1905 par. 4}

Indeed you do not see James or John calling themselves “the son of thunder” in their writings in the Bible. But with both of them having since those early days, long learned their character perfecting lessons, they chose to be known by “a slave of God” (James 1:1) and “the disciple who Jesus loved” (i.e., who God had most mercifully loved enough to stick with him in those early days of his base tempestuousness)
And I was also impressed by God, recalling my Biblical understanding of thunder (which you can read about on my blog) to notice the inherent irony in Christ’s title of “sons of thunder” for the only thing that thunder does is to make noise. Had he called them the ‘sons of lightning’ then that would radically be something else. Indeed when was the last time you heard of someone having been killed by thunder. (Which all recalls the days when I was living in South Florida, when, during the almost daily summer rainstorms, I was reassuringly glad to hear the sound of thunder after a light flash, because it confirmed that I had (indeed) survived that lightning strike.) And so, the best that James and John could do with their tempestuous character and demeanor was “make inconsequential noise” which at best could only scare someone, but had no inherent power to affect anything. All of that power lied in the preceding lightning strike!

(3) As I have actually been telling you before, you err, actually here specified, through pride, to think that God is only prophetically working with and through you. The fact is you only have one fractional part of the multi-part (7) major prophetic message that he has for the SDA Church. But like most, if not now all, of the others who have received a part of that final message, you feel a need to completely eliminate anyone else who claims to also have a prophetic message. The two major problem with you all is that you are all commonly (a) not obedient to the Full Gospel Message of Christ, and (b) out of a desire to be “the greatest”, you claim that God is revealing to you the set time of His return, (when the SOP is clear that all of the living saints will be given the knowledge of that time, and that only at the very end of the Great Time of Trouble.

So by refusing to heed God’s clear statements on these two major issues, you have just been digging your own “prophetic” grave. But as with similarly ‘sinning-against-clear-light’ Moses on the borders of Canaan, God’s Canaan conquering work will still advance with another leader that He will choose.

Ronald
NJK Project

_____________________________________________________________________________

Other Statements (not said/sent to me):

September 22, 2012

Well my God shares truth with me. And He gives me tokens of His love by letting me know when I am forgiven and **when I should repent**. When His tokens of forgiveness are with me, I receive visions and dreams. **When I should repent, He is not subtle about it, He makes it known as if I better get it done NOW so the devil cannot destroy me in sin.** [Explains my surprise about the conveyed stern tone in my impression for responses towards him in last, -“You
are Fighting God”- email!]

Heading West, not North Like me, to 10 lost tribes or to Babylon to rescue God’s people instead to “Second Coming” ending

February 13, 2013

Lightning strikes St Peters Basilica moments after 7th king abdicates Papal throne on anniversary of signing of Lateran Treaty!

Yesterday was the anniversary of the signing of the Lateran Treaty pacts, signed on Feb 11, 1929, between Mussolini and the first of the last seven popes, Pope Pius XI, re-establishing the Papacy as a sovereign nation and effectively giving the title of 'King' back to the pope. The papal faithful call Feb.11 "Independence Day"... and yesterday on that anniversary, Pope Benedict officially abdicated the throne of Rome. Just after the pope resigned, lightning struck the pinnacle of the dome of St Peters Basilica. This was sign from God.

This is SPECTACULAR!!!! We are seeing prophecy unfold before us!

The seventh king "must remain a short time" Rev 17:10. Since Popes traditionally leave only by death and this is the first in 600 years leaving office alive, he must remain alive for a short time after leaving, thus allowing the eighth king to come while he is yet alive, and this is the fulfillment of this prophecy I am sure of it. If there is a better conclusion it has not availed itself to my heart yet but I am encouraged in this line of thought by the still small voice!

It is soon coming time to proclaim a solemn assembly and a fast. We need torend our hearts to make sure we are ready for what is about to take the world by storm.

Peace

See the whole blog here… http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html

February 14, 2013

So James, according to your ‘Eighth King View’, (which, through deeper exegesis, I have not seen is the only understanding of Rev 17 & 18 that can be arrived at*), the next Pope will physically and recognizably be John Paul II resurrected. I guess we then should see this within a
couple of months. My understanding is that, at best, you have been “shown” what could have been if the Historicist view was still in force now, but not ‘what will now be’ given the prolonged (=Eschatological) time judgement which I see has been injunctively imposed by God due to the failure of the SDA Church to even begin to engage in finishing the work, as God would have it fully be done (Matt 25:31-46).

15MR 292.3-4 has had another application as I see it was clearly shown in your 9/11 Vision (discussed on my blog):

The Lord promised the children of Israel: "Ye shall see the altering of my purpose" [Numbers 14:34]. Thus we see that the Lord's promises are upon condition of obedience. He says, "Ye shall do My judgments, and keep Mine ordinances, to walk therein; I am the Lord your God. Ye shall therefore keep My statutes, and My judgments; which if a man do, he shall live in them: I am the Lord" [Leviticus 18:4, 5]. Read the first chapter of Deuteronomy carefully, and see why the Lord refused to bring the adults of the army that left Egypt into the promised land. Also Deuteronomy 28. {15MR 292.3}

[Numbers 32:6-15, quoted.]

The Lord God is a jealous God, yet He bears long with the sins and transgressions of His people in this generation. If the people of God had walked in His counsel, the work of God would have advanced, the messages of truth would have been borne to all people that dwell on the face of the whole earth. Had the people of God believed Him and been doers of His word, had they kept His commandments, the angel would not have come flying through heaven with the message to the four angels that were to let loose the winds that they should blow upon the earth crying, Hold, hold the four winds that they blow not upon the earth until I have sealed the servants of God in their foreheads. But because the people are disobedient, unthankful, unholy, as were ancient Israel, time is prolonged that all may hear the last message of mercy proclaimed with a loud voice. The Lord's work has been hindered, the sealing time delayed. Many have not heard the truth. But the Lord will give them a chance to hear and be converted, and the great work of God will go forward.--Letter 106, 1897.

The Bible clearly teachers that God is not constrained by prophecy/promises (Jer 18:1-10), but instead in paramountly looking to see if His will has been done. (Matt 24:14).

The fact is for me, that since I understand what God fully expects in this time from His professed Believers (=Isa 58 & EW 36.2), even if John Paul was resurrected, I would not be finding your view to be Biblical as I have not seen that you are preaching the Full Gospel message of Christ and all of the full tangible works that this entails. (=Deut 13:1-5) I see that at best, as I told you before, you have the typical SDA half view, but that falls short of Christ’s Righteousness. Nothing, not even/especially signs and wonders which may even seem to fulfill prophecy, but the knowledge and understanding of the full counsel of God will keep God’s followers from being deceived.

Perhaps/Hopefully after it is not JP II who is elected as Pope, you’ll begin to realize how it is
pivotal to fully heed all of what has been spoken by God/Christ. (Deut 18:19-22; cf. Ezek 14:1-8).

Seriously,
Ronald


P.S. Also seriously stated, I am surprised you didn't claim that lightning strike, which you evidently see as significant is related to Luke 10:18 (cf. Rev 12:12). i.e., Satan having fallen to this Earth, to the Basilica where JP II is entombed, to begin that final work of deception!??

February 14, 2013

Dear Brother Ronald, I am opening communication with you in hopes you can remain cordial in your responses and not overwhelming in your questions. Thank you for trying.

You are right that the church has dropped the ball in many ways. This is a travesty. It’s obvious that the church is in a bad state if He uses me to try to help clarify. I am not worthy. But God truly loves His people.

Also know that I have read everything you sent and prayerfully attempted to share with you what you were asking about. You seem to have a willing heart but you can be overwhelming in how you respond like I am. But the way you were formulating your responses seemed undisciplined in motivation. You were all over the place in how you responded. My Lord told me to leave you alone until now.

That said here is a bit of information I feel inspired to share with you.

The church is obviously asleep and the end is coming fast.

God has delayed the letting go of the Four Winds (9/11 vision) but even in this He saw it from the beginning.

Again, I am only going to say this one more time. You do not know me and are dangerously and precariously perched on the precipice of self destruction through condemning someone you have no understanding about.

Do you think you have the right to say that you know for certain that I am not heeding all that God has shown? Are you sure you can unequivocally state that I am falling short of Christ’s righteousness? You have no idea who you are even talking to and you should not judge me in this way.
If you cannot respect this then I will not contact you again.

February 14, 2013

First of all, clearly stated, I do not deny your inspiration, as I clearly see that I do not need to having fully understood what is involved in people like you, Ernie Knoll, Ron Beaulieu, even David Gates, being led by God to bring about a particular, (here SDA testing) message during this Shaking/judgement time of theirs. As the Bible reveals, God does not limit the prophetic office to only one person at a time. So the “Spirit impressing” goes both ways here, and I do understand how and why, and they are not like you may/are self-favoringly, surfacely assuming them to be.

Also, frankly all that I am doing in my responses is facilitatively restating in condensed form, for your convenience, what is already posted on my blog, so I can readily understand why God would impress you to “leave me alone” for that greatly saves me much duplicated effort and time, taking away from other works and projects which I am working on, and God knows how I do not like to, as I do not “believe in”, leave/ing a “stone”/ message “unturned”/ unanswered.

-Now, it is quite simple to see if you are not falling short of Christ’s Full Righteousness (=“right-doing”). If you believe your are accomplishing Christ’s Full Gospel mandate, do state what you are doing, or advocating/encouraging to do in regards to helping people in vital need as Isa 58 and Matt 25:31-46 teaches (See also LDE 218-219; DA 825.4). Respectfully stated, having had a healthful smoothie stand is merely a stepping stone. Jesus calls us to work to help “the least of these” and these are people in vital needs who will die if they are not helped soon.

If you have not done so priorly do see my blog post on that topic, and the cited sermons of SDAs who are beginning to see the light here, particularly SDA (Hobbyist) Historian David Fielder. As he clearly shows from SDA History and EGW statements, the Latter Rain was halted because the Church, led by its ministers vexatiously rejected the appeals of John Harvey Kellogg to tangibly help people in the leading/vital needs of that day. There unbiblical opposition to him is what led him to leave the Church. Even EGW had to later admit that Kellogg had been right in this regard and, (speaking before the 1903 General Conference) she rebukingly added that the “war” that the Church ministers had waged on him was completely wrong.

The same thing has reoccurred today with SDA again not wanting to heed Isa 58 and Matt 25:31-46 but instead have chosen to self-servingly, (=“robbingly”) use personal and church resources on themselves. God calls for sacrifice, and as stated in 16MR 34.1, such covenant through sacrifice will eventually consume away...and that will be by the aid/assistance of God’s Latter Rain power.

In regards to your “Eight King View”, I have several exegetical and prophetic issues and differences with it, which can be read in that Blog post of mine on Rev 17 & 18, but here is one which I cite, and I did read your explanation in your blog post:
Rev 17:8-9 states that the seven heads are seven mountains and seven kings. If the kings are “literally” as you cite people (i.e., popes), then how can popes also be “mountains”. The only way as see this being harmonized is from Dan 7:17 which had said that the 4 beasts there were 4 kings, but that word “king” is actually understood as “kingdoms” as there were much more than 4 individual kings that ruled during the times of those 4 beasts starting from the days of Israel’s Exile = Babylon-Medo-Persia-Greece-Rome. Namely

Babylon: 2
Medo-Persia: 9
Greece: 5+
Rome:10+

So that’s how I see that those 7 mountains are similarly, as consistent/precedented in prophesying, actually 7 kingdoms, and not ‘individual rulers during the times of these kingdoms’. And those 7 heads = mountain/kingdoms are the various, but here collective, “chief/peak ways and ideologies in which all of those kingdoms have sought throughout history, at Satan’s inspiration, to undermine the kingdom and people of God. And it is upon those 7 mountains and kingdoms that the beast which the woman rides sits in the final manifestation of a geo-political opposition to God’s cause.

Like I said, we will know within two months if your view is the actual view for these days, but from what I have been shown, the time when such fulfillements could actually be allowed has longed been halted and passed. All that is occurring now is God’s testing, through messages like yours, of SDA’s and their profession, and also all in an effort to lead them back to a deeper and proper study of the Bible when such claims and predictions come and go without being fulfilled as believed. Same thing occurred with the Millerites in 1844 and only ensuing deeper and proper Bible study led to the formation of a valid (now longed failed and surpassed) Remnant of God.

Sorry if this response was long. You do not have to answer it immediately, (-I never require that), but when you get the time, and also if it had seemed that ‘I was all over the place’, (which I actually was not), it is only because there are many related issues involved in the proper understanding here.

(FYI: It is indeed as interdependent and intricate as the “wheels within wheel” of Ezek 10:8-19, which are necessary so that God’s kingdom plans for these now “prolonged time” can ever begin to “touch down” on this earth. (Ezek 1:15-21): See: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/01/four-living-creatures-throughout-bible.html )

God Bless,
Ronald

February 14, 2013
God was right to tell me to leave you. You have some serious issues dude.

February 14, 2013

Sure... whatever you think!!

March 13, 2013

So James, the new pope is Cardinal Jorge Mario Bergoglio (Pope Francis)....
Ergo this entire “prophesying” was potentially Deut 18:22...but, as I understand it, really just the Divine effectuation of Ezek 14:1-8!!

Truth only is in the Full, and Exegetically-sound, Counsel of God’s Word (e.g., Isa 58), and not even in ‘voices, impressions, signs and wonders’ (cf. Deut 13:1-5). The sooner you understand and heed that fact James, the better it will be for you!!

March 13, 2013

If I wanted to “enjoy” this I would not have been trying long before this to try to correct you. (cf. Rev 3:19). But you just would not hear anything corrective Biblical teaching. Wise and teachable people learn their lesson before failure, and not after the failing fact when that lesson then can only be “discipline”. (cf. Heb 12:5-8ff). And I was actually going to post a pre-empting version of this statement long before the start of this conclave but I figured that it would probably also fall on “closed up ears” as before.

Peter, James and John all had to similarly learn a “smarting” lesson knowingly allowed by Jesus when they too made light of his words and counsels to rather follow after what their heart indifferently wanted, ignoring all the clear correcting warnings that Jesus was given them. So, as in this case, God allowed them to concretely find out what was faulty with those “natural” self-interest dispositions. And I hope you are not seeing Jesus’ pointed remonstration of particularly, the outspoken Peter in John 21:15-19 (read DA 809-817) as ‘gleefulness and gloating’ because that was actually Jesus’ way of, necessarily, candidly/genuinely testing if Peter was still being actuated by that same brash, prideful spirit that had led him to that fall in the first place.

So like I said, do prayerfully seek to find out exactly how and why your prophesying was false. As I already cited to you in my emails, there are both exegetical and spiritual reasons why. And also, as I honestly say and believe, I am not seeing that you were being “presumptuous”, i.e., claiming to have heard and been led by God when either that was just a lie, or it was the Devil doing this “leading” all along. I don’t believe that this is the case, and so to me only Ezek 14:1-8 applies here, and so finding out the underlying reason(s) why is what is most important for you here.

As priorly indicated to you, which you have said you read, you can find all the pertinent <a
exegetica l</a>, and <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>“SDA prophets”</a> general, and also, <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney>case specific for you</a>, answers (also in regards to your other similar prophesyings), in my, among <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2009/11/blog-posting-note.html>other related/explaining</a> matters, blog.

And nonetheless, your public and general apology is accepted. Other SDA’s who had imposed a financial aspect to such “prophesying” (e.g. people who are selling books on this (e.g, Henry Hills/“His Child”, who I’ll say easily had much more exegetical and interpretive errors in his parallel claiming exposition for this view)), will likely double-down on those false claims as their imposed aim is to sell books/make money and not rapidly spread a message which they believe is genuinely prophetic.

And if anything my relative quick posting here when I remembered this blog post of yours, should really help you to avoid going further into error by also blindly and obstinately doubling down, and, as I gather from you, quite understandibly so if/since your ‘guiding experience’ was indeed likely all from God...it is just that h\He has another purpose for this, an Ezek 14:1-8 purpose. So like Peter you can learn that lesson and then when you also are converted to this full counsel message of God, you can “(properly) feed His sheep” who also have similar faultly dispositions, and which is why God had “prophetically” sent you to them.

With God vs. the typical SDA’s, it is all a purposeful redemptive matter of ‘hitting that adamant wall as fast, hard and as soon as possible’ (=Amos 7:7-9). So contritely appreciate, and readily learn form, His most wise “disciplining”, He does have great “mercy” (Heb 12:7-8)!!

March 15, 2013

Dear Brothers and sisters.

If you all will permit me, I have never been let down by God, ever. Every single thought or impression or dream or vision God has given me I h ave always trusted and listened to unreserved, and He has never been wrong. Since the day Go d lead me directly to the Seventh Day Adventist Church and the Sabbath without any man teaching me, every time after when He would share things with me, I could go immediately to the Bible or Spirit of Prophecy and find the exact same language written there when I did not know it before. This has happened so many times that I did not question it until yesterday.
Yesterday when the new pope was elected, it was one of the scariest days of my life thinking I may have been mislead by Satan impersonating the Still Small Voice, which has never happened before and I believed could not happen since the devil cannot hear our thoughts or read our minds in prayer. I had prayed secretly, in private and we are told the devil cannot hear our prayers.

When God’s words are shared with me they are sealed with His signature and are not something that can be counterfeited.

Approximately 6 years ago while talking to my friend Michelle on the phone; her words were etched on my heart by the Holy Spirit when she said “some people believe the seven kings of Rev 17 are the last seven popes”. The still small voice answered my prayer later and told me ‘the Eighth’ of Rev 17 is Satan appearing as an angel of light. God then sent me on journey of discovery for the past six years on this one subject. But when a mortal man was elected as the eighth pope since the Lateran treaty yesterday I was very scared that I had been deceived. That was until one of my greatest friends called me excitedly today and said “I was praying for you and the Holy Spirit illuminated what you had shared with me and showed me you were not telling a lie”. He continued and said “God encouraged me to tell you to keep studying, He is with you”. Thank you Brother.

So I immediately got on my knees and started to pray, and the power of the Holy Spirit led me to new truth unseen by this generation. When we are backed into a corner after following Him, He will always show us the way, parting the Red Sea for us if necessary. Here is what our God showed me. Please think about this new information and test the Spirit in this message (thank you Father for your leading, In the name of your Son Jesus, amen.)

After I prayed today I did not receive an answer immediately, but my heart was lifted. One hour later, at work, I was listening to a Minnesota Public Radio segment about the new pope. In the broadcast the announcer said something that the Holy Spirit illuminated for me like He always does to answer prayer or teach me. (It is like a light is turned on in these situations and He draws my attention to specific elements that might not have attracted my attention without His influence.) The announcer said “this new pope, who is a Jesuit, was the first to be elected that was not from Europe”. I didn’t know why God illuminated this sentence for me so strongly with those two topics in such clarity, but I have learned to trust Him so I went home and prayerfully investigated. This is what I was shown which lead to
an understanding that I didn’t know before.

I searched in the Spirit of Prophecy for the word ‘Jesuit’ and my attention was drawn to this statement in the Pioneers library from Elder James White;

“The Jesuits were restored in 1814, by Pope Pius VII.; but not to their persecuting power. In the different countries of Europe since that time the Order has been expelled and restored several times, and even by the Papacy once. But Pius IX., after his return from Gaeta in 1849, gave them its entire confidence till the day of his death, and in his Vatican decrees is seen the crowning triumph of Jesuit Ultramontanism.”

I did not comprehend why God was leading me here, but I was very encouraged in the Spirit to investigate further. Here is the meaning of “Ultramontanism”.

“Ultramontanism; [Latin; beyond the mountains, i.e., the Alps] is a religious philosophy within the Catholic Church that places strong emphasis on the prerogatives and powers of the Pope. In particular, ultramontanism consists in asserting the superiority of Papal authority over the authority of local temporal or spiritual hierarchies. The term originates in ecclesiastical language from the Middle Ages when a non-Italian man was elected to the papacy, he was said to be papa ultramontano, that is, a Pope from beyond the mountains (referring to the Alps).

This pope is the first Jesuit pope, and he is the first ever to be elected from outside of Europe; he is from South America, from way beyond the mountains of Europe!

This is what our Father wanted to draw my attention to today listening to that interview, and if I had not been praying and listening I may not have learned this.

Now this is where it gets very interesting friends.
The bible quote that my heart was yearning for the interpretation of these past six years is Revelation chapter 17 verses 9-11. When having difficulty making sense of a certain text, I always go to ‘Young’s literal Translation’ because they translate scripture directly without much restructuring to accommodate the English language, so it is less interpretive.

Here is the Young’s Literal Translation of Revelation 17; 9-11.

9 ‘Here [is] the mind that is having wisdom; the seven heads are seven mountains, upon which the woman doth sit,

10 and there are seven kings, the five did fall, and the one is, the other did not yet come, and when he may come, it behoveth him to remain a little time;

11 and the beast that was, and is not, he also is eighth, and out of the seven he is, and to destruction he doth go away.

This pope was elected not from Italy where the seven mountains of Rome are, and not from Europe where the Alps are, but he is from Beyond the mountains of Europe; or ‘Ultramonta’.

With this in mind; look at what God revealed to me yesterday before the revelation of today.

For the last six years I have searched diligently for as much information as I could find about what our church believes of the meaning of the Seven heads of Rev 17. The first thing that got my attention in doing the research in the Spirit of Prophecy was that our prophet Mrs White, much to my dismay, NEVER MENTIONS THE SEVEN HEADS DIRECTLY!!! I looked for every conceivable way of searching for this, but nothing came up until I had a better understanding of the biblical topic.
To complicate matters, what really hindered my efforts was that in the past I had a debate with Jehovah’s Witnesses that filled me with erroneous information on the subject of the Seven Heads when they told me one of our Pioneers; Uriah Smith, supported their view of who the seven heads were. They believe the seven heads are the world empires from Babylon to America and they claimed Elder Smith supported their view (which many Adventist do support), and I never went to his writings to verify this so I approached the subject with their false information embedded in my mind.

After my disappointment yesterday I finally read his book and found he did not support their theory. Here are his words from his book ‘Thoughts on Daniel and Revelation” in the chapter about the seven heads:

“…for scholars declared before the Adventist movement began, that Rome had presented to the world, as a unique and marvelous feature of history, seven distinct forms of government. All that the Adventists did was to say, as the most natural thing in the world, that if Rome did have seven forms of government, the seven heads of the dragon, which was a symbol of Rome, must be designed to represent that fact. The old Roman historians, Livy and Tacitus, acknowledged the different forms of government in Rome, to be so many “heads” of the Roman commonwealth...And one of the earliest Protestant commentators, Osiander, as early as 1511, names the whole seven as we have them; namely, Kings, Consuls, Decemvirs, Dictators, Triumvirs, Emperors, and Popes, as the forms of Roman government represented by the seven heads of the dragon of Revelation 12, and the seven-headed beasts of Revelation 13 and Revelation 17. Adventists, under the first (angels) message, at once adopted this view. {SHR 1.1}

After I read this, I ‘Google’ed this list and found all sorts of information from our pioneers that not one person had ever shared with me in these past six years of searching, and I had shared this message with literally thousands of people inside our church so it must not be commonly known or no one seemed to think it was important enough to share. This information is also found in the “American Encyclopedia”!

I believe God’s hand was covering this information so I would discover it at the right moment because He wanted me to do all the research I could without knowing this information. I believe God is showing me that this prophecy has a dual fulfillment.

The explanation is very long and complicated but I will abbreviate the response for your approval here. As I stated earlier, the Spirit of Prophecy never directly mentions the Seven Heads of Rev 17, but after months of study and prayer God showed me where they are
indirectly mentioned. It is found in Manuscript Releases No. 628—Pius VI, The Pope of the Deadly Wound;

“That afternoon he had us accompany him to the Cathedral [in Valence, France] and look upon the bust of Pius VI who was noted in prophecy, who was led into captivity and died in captivity. Here was the one marked in history who received the deadly wound. His heart is encased in the marble monument beneath where the bust is located. We felt rather solemn as we looked upon the monument of this man noted in prophecy.”—Letter 110, 1886, p. 2. (To W. C. White and wife, November 4, 1886.) Released June 21, 1978.

Notice that this was a letter to her Son Willie and it was not published in her writings until 1978, the same year that there were three popes in the Vatican, the 4th, 5th and 6th kings after the signing of the Lateran treaty in 1929 which gave the pope his title of KING back.

To see the importance of this quote to this subject let’s unpack this statement.

1. She was on a trip to France and a friend brought her to the Cathedral where Pope Pius VI was brought in exile after Napoleon took him hostage in 1798 and he died there.

2. She calls Pope Pius VI “The one... who received the deadly wound” and “the man noted in prophecy”. So what prophecy is he noted in?

Revelation 13:3 And I saw one of his heads as it were wounded to death; and his deadly wound was healed: and all the world wondered after the beast.

She is sharing with us vital information about WHO the HEADS of the beast in Rev 12, 13 and 17 are. This pope, Pius VI is the HEAD who received the deadly wound. So by her own words we discover that the seven heads of the beast are popes. But how can that be if, as the pioneers of our faith were so determined in believing, they are also the ‘seven forms of Government of Rome’ unless this prophecy has a dual application? Can someone please give me an amen?

Here is more information on the “deadly wound” of the papacy and how it would be healed.
The Healing of the “Deadly Wound”

The prophecy of Revelation 13 declares that the power represented by the beast with lamblike horns shall cause “the earth and them which dwell therein” to worship the papacy—there symbolized by the beast “like unto a leopard.” The beast with two horns is also to say “to them that dwell on the earth, that they should make an image to the beast;” and, furthermore, it is to command all, “both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond,” to receive the mark of the beast. Revelation 13:11-16. It has been shown that the United States is the power represented by the beast with lamblike horns, and that this prophecy will be fulfilled when the United States shall enforce Sunday observance, which Rome claims as the special acknowledgment of her supremacy. But in this homage to the papacy the United States will not be alone. The influence of Rome in the countries that once acknowledged her dominion is still far from being destroyed. And prophecy foretells a restoration of her power. “I saw one of his heads as it were wounded to death; and his deadly wound was healed: and all the world wondered after the beast.” Verse 3. The infliction of the deadly wound points to the downfall of the papacy in 1798. After this, says the prophet, “his deadly wound was healed: and all the world wondered after the beast.” Paul states plainly that the “man of sin” will continue until the second advent. 2 Thessalonians 2:3-8. To the very close of time he will carry forward the work of deception. And the revelator declares, also referring to the papacy: “All that dwell upon the earth shall worship him, whose names are not written in the book of life.” Revelation 13:8. In both the Old and the New World, the papacy will receive homage in the honor paid to the Sunday institution, that rests solely upon the authority of the Roman Church. Since the middle of the nineteenth century, students of prophecy in the United States have presented this testimony to the world. In the events now taking place is seen a rapid advance toward the fulfillment of the prediction. {Darkness before the Dawn Pg.27}

Now brothers think on this please, I am begging you in the name of Jesus. Where in this do you see mentioned the seven kingdoms since Babylon like the majority of our pastors are teaching these days?

It was from these statements that I was emboldened to state that the healing of the deadly wound began in 1929 when the pope received His title and authority back, so we should be able to find where we are in the seven heads of Revelation 17 by counting the popes since that day. By this reckoning Pope Benedict XVI was the ‘seventh king’ and when he retired last month I was so excited because he was fulfilling prophecy, because it states that he “must remain a short while”. Since popes have always left by death this was unprecedented in an uncontested papal office and I believe that he fulfilled the prophecy to the letter, and I fully expected the next pope to be Satan appearing as an angel of light like God had shared with me. This tied in with so many elements of truth that God has revealed to me in the past; listed in my blog found here; http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html
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Now that this Pope, the “Jesuit Ultramonta”, Francisco the first, has been elected I am now being shown the blending of the dual application of the prophecy, and new information is flooding into my mind so fast I cannot keep up with it. It took me six years to get prepared for this and most of the people I have shared it with find the audacity to make fun of me. I promise you in the name of Jesus, and under His instruction I am told to tell you all that this is a highly unfavorable position to put yourself in if you want to come out of this with the correct interpretation and not be deceived by what is about to happen.

If there are any to boast and to contend with these findings it would be very prudent for you to make your claims known to me without being condescending or talking amongst yourselves without talking to me first since I am the one who you find fault with. God hates backbiters and He has shown me that many of my so called friends are snickering behind my back without ever coming to me to find peace in this matter or to even lift a finger to supply the need of discovery in truth. You should hear the hateful things people say to others about me without EVER coming to me to share their concerns. This is sin in God’s eyes. We should never talk about one another before talking with the person we have a problem with.

The final conclusion is yet to come but I know with all my soul my God is leading here and shame on those who try to discredit the visions and dreams and promptings of the Holy Spirit from our Father to warn and prepare our church. This goes especially for the pastors who make sport of me for their amusement (you know who you are Pastor Devin and Jerry and, and, and. You think you are so smart, but my God reveals your hearts to me).

Please read the blog, which is just as I wrote it before this disappointment so you can see the parallels and symmetry of the discoveries, and may God bless you all for what we as a people are about to go through. In the name of Jesus amen.


P.S. to my loving friends and my wife who were right by my side after this disappointment, our Father is very pleased with you, Jerry Waye, Gene Yokum and Nate Cauette, and others who would not appreciate me posting their names. I love you more than brothers and sisters and if you ever need anything I pledge my life to you, in the name of Jesus. Amen.

Thank you Father for your love, I am not worthy of this.
March 15, 2013

To as succinctly as possible respond to you since you are evidently incorrigibly still so “presumptuously” full of “yourself” James, and not the established Word of God. (Deut 18:22) The answer to your “disappointment” is only in Ezek 14:1-8. An SDA pastor encouraging you is like the blind leading the blind because you both/all are guilty of being in the same spiritual darkness as to what constitutes God’s will and present Truth for today. -Try e.g., Isa 58 & Matt 25:31-46; Welfare Ministry 23-62ff; etc.

-Young’s translation does not equate proper exegesis: Rev 17:10 accurately reads as: And THEY (3rd person plural) are 7 kings (which the Bible, interpreting itself shows are generally king-doms (Dan 7:17).

-The significance of this pope is, unlike recent preceding popes (JPII & Benedict) he is much more determined to have Social Justice and do Humanitarian works. Thus I am seeing that he will be the one to begin to steer the RCC into being more involved in such works. That may bring him in a clash with the Capitalistic world and/or it may lead him to be greatly espoused by more socialist political parties like the U.S. Democrat Party. Whatever the transpiring case, as my exposition on Revelation 17&18 have been stating since I posted them on October 29, 2011 is that there will sooner or later be a formal or informal philanthrocapitalism coalition between the Capitalistic World and Catholic world, and that will be trumpeted as fulfilling Christ’s Righteousness, i.e., his right doing acts, but it will still fall way short of God’s Biblical Socialism expectation. Yet that “Angel of Light” will deceive the whole world and like Sunday keeping, will lead them to observe a spurious Sabbatical Rest, short of what God calls for (Isa 58).

Much more throughout on my blog, starting with:

I presume you will insist on continuing to see your present view, but again, that is all because you are not understanding God’s Full Sabbath message. All reminds me how William Miller refused to heed God’s SOP and Sabbath Message after the Great Disappointment and thus self-limited his constructive usefulness. Here God is again “prophetically” using you to speedily knock down such spurious and spiritually deficient “signs and wonders” views which are cherished amongst SDA like yourself. This presently ongoing Shaking is a great ordeal indeed and God has indeed taken the reins to purge His threshing floor!!

Ronald
NJK Project

March 23, 2013

Hello James,

I am sending you the following possibly helpful information (as my paramount concern is
Biblical Truth and not anything personal) as it can help you deal with those on the MSDAOL forum who are (continuing) to falsely claim that “God does not destroy”. What struck me to send this to you was how you more accurately saw what the SOP statement in Letter 135, 1897. {1SM 118.1} was actually speaking about in its wider context.


The fact is, as Green Cochoa had stated in relation to this topic, it had been greatly discussed in another MSDAOL thread (104 pages!!) (which I joined starting from page 14). See:


kland and APL had also participated in that discussion (Tom, who quit MSDAOL right after that), was the main debater then) and what I see they are slyly doing here is bringing up the same, especially exegetically debunked arguments that they had futilely claimed in that prior discussion. Including this one on the Letter 135, 1897. {1SM 118.1} statement

See Tom’s quoting and claim of it here (at the end):

and my response starting here:
http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=133816#Post133816

You can many find other trumping exegetical responses to many of the spurious claims kland and APL are remaking here in that prior discussion. Including their claim about the Bible contradicting itself about “God killing Saul” (1 Chr 10:4; 14): See here:

Tom’s false Claim (‘the Bible says that God does what he actually merely permits’):


APL recent re-claim:


My response (starting here):

http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=133009#89
Green Cochoa apparently tried to reword my response for a response in the current discussion, but he left out all of the concretely confirming exegetical elements. (Perhaps he knew APL and kland already knew about them from that prior thread):

http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=150911#Post150911

So reading through that prior post and the exegesis-rooted responses I had given them can save you much time, and also aggravation with those who still want to claim this deception based indeed on one-sided and selective views.

I also have a blog post dedicated to this, in which I added yesterday a statement on how kland’s marriage allegory to start this new thread in MSDAOL is fundamentally flawed as it is not based on the full and actual background realities in the Great Controversy. See in Post Script Section #10 in:


(Hopefully) God Bless!
Ronald

March 23, 2013

Another, time saving pointer:

If you do a “Topic Print Copy” of that prior, 104 forum pages, “Character of God” prior discussion* and save it as a PDF, you can then more easily search it for key terms (e.g., fiery serpents, Saul, GC 35-37; flood, etc) which come up in the recent one and more quickly find out what had already been said about those spurious arguments.

*Why did God command people to stone, scorch, and smite sinners to death?

Ronald

March 23, 2013

The Eschatological Midnight Cry

I just had to respond to this post/questing that you had made in the Midnight Cry Thread you started (since (temporarily?) locked/closed).
And no one there is/has taking this indeed important topic seriously

You ask/ed:

“My question to all of you is; what event in the last days would indicate the arrival of the secondary application of the "midnight Cry"? If the three angels messages have a second fulfillment that is a stronger event than the first when proclaiming the judgment began, then does it not make sense that the warning of the close of probation would have a corresponding and greater announcement of the Midnight Cry?”

Well first of all, read what the SOP states was the ‘Historical Midnight Cry’ (4SP 248.1-2):

The Midnight Cry.

"While the bridegroom tarried, they all slumbered and slept. And at midnight there was a cry made, Behold, the bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him. Then all those virgins arose, and trimmed their lamps." [Matthew 25:5-7.] {4SP 248.1}

In the summer of 1844, Adventists discovered the mistake in their former reckoning of the prophetic periods, and settled upon the correct position. The 2300 days of Daniel 8:14, which all believed to extend to the second coming of Christ, had been thought to end in the spring of 1844; but it was now seen that this period extended to the autumn of the same year, [SEE APPENDIX, NOTE 1.] and the minds of Adventists were fixed upon this point as the time for the Lord's appearing. The proclamation of this time message was another step in the fulfillment of the parable of the marriage, whose application to the experience of Adventists had already been clearly seen. As in the parable the cry was raised at midnight announcing the approach of the bridegroom, so in the fulfillment, midway between the spring of 1844, when it was first supposed that the 2300 days would close, and the autumn of 1844, at which time it was afterward found

that they were really to close, such a cry was raised, in the very words of Scripture: "Behold, the Bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him." {4SP 248.2}

Answer: It was the most accurate understanding of the 2300 day prophecy.

So, according to what I have long discovered and known, through Bible and SOP Study, prophetic revelations and personal Spiritual experiences:

The “Eschatological Midnight Cry” is indeed the very first blog post I released in my Theological View blog after ca. 11 years of having discovered and partially shared that understanding, which is the most accurate and Biblical interpretation of the 2300 day prophecy which concretely prove when it started and when it ended. Read it there:

This exegetically irrefutable understanding indeed has the power to raise a mighty Remnant (of the Remnant) movement of God, albeit only with all those who appreciate concrete Biblical Truth.

And my blog has indeed since gone on to reveal what the Three Angels Messages fully are, especially the Third, along with the True Loud Cry message, and many derived Biblical Teachings.

Hint:

“Voice of God like many waters” = Ezek 43:2ff which is related to the Rev 11 Judgement:
See:


Just a free response which could also save you much time and also aggravation. (Resulting in you being both no longer misled and also having your discussions being ended/locked).

Ronald

March 23, 2013


August 5, 2013

This is Brother James Tierney,

I just wanted to say I'm sorry we had harsh words. I have reread much of what you wrote about me and I am very certain that you misunderstood much of what I shared. I am glad you do not see everything I know God shared with me as lies or invention.

I have been blessed so much lately with very powerful answers to prayer and insight, but I will refrain from addressing it now.

All I can say is I hope you're blessed, and thank you for taking so much time to test the Spirit of what God showed me, which is more than most strangers gave.

Since my disappointment I am assured there is more to what I was shown than I have yet to realize, but I do not fault you for taking an unkind approach at reasoning on the issue.

Just be aware that more proof will be coming soon. We are in the time of the eighth king.
John Paul II will be made a saint soon because of the claims of miracles by him in the Catholic Church.

This pope Frances will lead the world to accept the satanic workings of Pope John Paul II as divine as well as the visions of Mary.

I was not deceived in this; I just needed more prophetic pieces of the puzzle to see.

I never shared with you how God told me this issue because it was more unction than vision or dream. But because of you constant persistence in knowing how God shared this with me I recently shared more of what God inspired me in this and it is on the website. http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html

Anyways, I am not discouraged in any of this.

I was feeling a lot of pressure after that disappointment, not I am more confident.

Sincerely James Tierney

(PS I knew Ernie Knowles was lying and inventing things when I had contacted him to test the Spirit leading him and shared a dream I had been given by God in 2007 about a traffic jam on Highway 35w where all the cars had been abandoned, then the day I opened my smoothie shop the bridge on the section of the highway I had seen in my dream collapsed. Then weeks after telling him this dream of mine he published a dream he claimed of his own where cars were abandoned on the streets. He stole my dream. After praying to the Father to know if he was inspired I got this answer to the prayer. This is how I was shown by God that Ernie was a liar. Does this sound like I misinterpreted what God showed me?)

Peace in the name of Jesus. Amen.

August 5, 2013
About Eighth “King” and Pope Francis+

Hello James, How are you? I was recently wondering what happened to you as I was not seeing posting on the Maritime (or Club Adventist) Forum, though I had read where you had said that you would not be continuing posting there.

By the way, while I will subsequently publish your comment submitted to my blog, if you do not mind for now, as there potentially is a lot to cover from what you have said, I think it is more convenient, (at least it is for me), to, for now, continue this conversation via emailing.

I also see it as regrettable that our prior discussion did not remain Biblically informative and constructive. I have repeatedly encountered this type of insubstantive endings in my various
Biblical discussions online and via email, and the common denominator is from my respondents not wanting to correspondingly engage either Biblical or Factual points/issue. [I can give you the several links if you would like. -They are variously posted/found throughout my blog.] That certainly has, and will, lead to me, and the respondent having misunderstanding about the same factual or Biblical issue.

It most likely is from me having similar prophetic experiences* and/or, as I relate in the rest of my bio post** I have never been of the character to defaulty reject someone without first having done for myself a pertinent encountering/examination of themselves and/or what they have to say, nor have I ever had a character which was ‘vexatiously jealous’ of what another person may variously “have”, and that is what I have been seeing in your various only discussions has been the quasi-default reaction against you, and your claims of dreams and prophetic insight.

* See e.g. here: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html

As stated in my “Testing” blog post, that is a major flaw amongst SDA’s for they should be knowledgeable and able to do formal and proper 1 Thess 5:19-22 “Testings” of such Spiritual matters. But it actually instead is that in their oblivious and indifferent Spiritual and Denominational pride, they think that if God is going to send them another prophets, ‘since they are so righteous’, then he is going to, e.g., have angels blow trumpets during a GC Session and someone is going to, like EGW, stand up, get on their platform and have a powerful and solemn vision, all the while a spotlight from Heaven shines down on that person from heaven. Fact is, succinctly said, because of the many outstanding and knowingly unrepented of waywardness and outright abominations amongst SDA’s*, they just do not deserved to be so “honoured” by God!

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/seventh-day-adventists-believe.html

All this to say that I am personally, experientially and Biblically/Spiritually more than well alerted to the various elements which both would warrant that God would prophetically speak outside of the especially leadership circles of SDA’s, and also how such affairs should be fully handled if/when and wherever they manifest themselves. So, as seen in my blog, I have no problem investigating such claims of contemporary prophetic revelations, and the more, especially “raw” (i.e., uninterpretive) details and information that such instances can supply the better it is to arrive at the most accurate interpretation/understanding. (So, whenever pertinent/applicable, please do share the “very powerful answers to prayer and insight” that you have mentioned.)

That all introductorily said, I’ll examine your statements and claims in relation to your Eighth King view, which I reread again yesterday upon your submitted comment here and saw added details which I had not read in prior rereadings. (It would be helpful if you put a “Last Updated/Modified: ###/### indicator on the top of bottom of that posting so that one would not have to closely skim through the entire post to see if they have missed a recent update. That said, you can, as I do, recommend the free “changedetection.com” web service on your blog as it sends
out an email notice of any changes you make on a webpage and highlights the actual changed areas. [I have just set up an alert for you Eighth King post].

So I have now read up on all of your, up to now, post-“disappointment” statements on that Eighth King view (See the related note here# at end of email). And I have to say that I have several points which I am objecting to, and actually seeing a continuation of the prior interpretive methodology which had led you to make your pre-“disappointment” claim.

**On the guiding role of “experiences”**
First of all, in regards to what I see is still a fatal flaw here, I myself know from, pun intended, “personal experience”, that “experience” is quite key in dealing with especially prophetic things. And that is because, since God has chosen to veil prophecy in symbology, yet, he can, and will guide righteous and sincere people into various, and various levels of, experiences which will help them see the light into Biblical prophecies. That certainly occurred many times with William Miller, the Millerites, Early Adventist/SDA and EGW. Most notably, is the revelation from God in Life Sketches 95-96, that the Sabbath was the key to understanding the Third Angel Message. (Rev 14:9-11). As seen in my discussion with a certain Eugene Shubert*, he pompously and boldly foundationally claimed that the Sabbath is not part of the Third Angel’s Message and then has set out to present an alternative interpretation than what has been presented by SDA’s based on that SOP indication, and not surprisingly at all, the view that Shubert has presented** is actually part of the “Omega” deception of/for SDA’s/Sabbathkeepers. Indeed Shubert has from such disregard of the Bible and SOP veered on a path which actually fulfills the “Thomas Paine” deception spoken about by EGW in EW 263-266, all leading to the full implication in the Spiritualism deception related in EW 266-269. You can see those details in and from that “Testing” blog post section about him.*

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#eshubert
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/the-heretical-spurious-omega-three.html

All this to say that there is no Truth nor safety in pursuing interpretations which do not take into full consideration all that God has spoken on a subject. And as also involved in Dan 12:3, 10, it is those who are pursuing righteousness (=“right-doing” which as I relate in here* = (Isa 45:19b [N/KJV]; COL 312.2; SJ 61.1) - [which the SOP in turn rightly defines, and in the context of Isa 58, as “doing the right thing and at the right time” (WM 28.2)] which is similarly cited in 2T 35.2 in regards to ‘Gospel Mandate “perfection” and “holiness” resulting’ works)) which is exactly similar to Dan 12:10 speaking of people being purged, purified (lit. “made white”) and refined (=”gold refining” in Laodicean message**)

* http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html

EGW had a very key vision on this notion, in regards to white robes in EW 15.2 = Rev 6:16-17, where the scrutinizing gaze of Jesus led them to wonder of their robe was spotless, which meant: if they had the “righteous acts” which the saints should have (Rev 19:8-9; = “right-doing works
which demonstrates one’s faith’ James 2:14-26). And it was only because they had “clean hands” (e.g. Isa 1:15-17, 18-20) and “pure hearts” (e.g. James 4:17). And relatedly, the SOP was shown in DA 825.4 just why Jesus would manifest that “wrath of the Lamb” which is the same as that involved in the Third Angel Message (Rev 14:10). And I am seeing that the vision you relate in passing when speaking about Ernie Knoll, about ‘you going through Jesus and coming out on the other side with spots on your garment,’ is all related to this key “prophetic insights-determinative” issue as if one “go through Christ and still comes out with spots on the other side is indicating that certain “righteous acts” are still missing, and one is coming up short of Christ’s expectation and ideal. (=Rev 3:1-6). And that will indeed result in not being able to accurately grasp the “testimony of Jesus”. I am spiritually reminded here of how the disciple had a “disappointment” when they had refused to heed all that Jesus had already clearly told them about how he was going to suffer and be killed in Jerusalem, but they did not want to here these things (e.g, Matt 16:21-23) because they had their own limited and one-sided, ‘human-minded’ views about what the Messiah sh/would do.

All this to substantiated say that no “experience” can trump the authority and primacy of the revealed word of God and the Scriptures, then SOP, must be the final arbitrator as to what God’s Truth is for and about any subsequent experience. So that said, I point out what I have found to be Biblically questionable and deficient (i.e., “incomplete”) in the views you have presented about this Eighth King.

**JPII as a Saint**
- First of all, factually speaking, even if JP II is made into a saint, that certainly would not be something unprecedented in Catholicism, and (thus) also not really a “sign”. Many in Catholicism have been made into saints before. So I cannot see this as anything supporting your prior Eighth King claims, which in themselves I had not seen were exegetically valid, meaning that I still do not see it as Biblically/textually supported that a dead Pope would fulfill the “Angel of Light” deception.

Thus far, I have not seen that the foundation you have laid for your Francis-JPII-Mary interpretation to be well Biblically rooted. So addressing several key/foundational issues from what you have posted on your blog which perhaps you can explain/clarify and/or document:

**Meaning of Greek “ek”**
- First of all, the definition for the Greek preposition “ek” is the most unique and protracted that I ever seen in my years of Greek studies and research. Its basic meaning is simply “out of” and I have never seen any syntactical or application instance where it has a notion of ‘later restoration’ as you claim. So would like to see the substantiation for this translational claim of yours. I.e., any Greek dictionary, lexicon and/or textbook where you may have gotten this definition and/or actual Bible passages where this notion would be involved.

**Pope Francis’s Prophetic Fulfillments**
- In regards to the impressions which you said you got about the announcement of the newly elected Pope Francis when listening to the radio, again here, I have not seen a reason to dismiss
your impression as invalid, particularly as I have seen how the two points that you then noticed do fit into the presently fulfilling prophetic developments with Pope Francis, but here again, I have a substantive issue with the (also merely surfacely-based) interpretation that you have given to these genuine impressions/revelations. Namely:

**“Jesuit” Order**

It is understandable how you can (merely) see the persecutive aspect of the “Jesuit” order. But considering that “Jesuit” is actually quasi-slang for formal name: “Society of Jesus”, and their mission is certainly much more than persecutive, but are primarily top-most Bible Scholars, (which I have long seen* to be the direct counterpart to my pointedly countering, planned: World Biblical Society of Christ (WBSC)*), I see it as more significant that the present Pope is actually much more emulating Jesus than any other recent Pope by both his deliberately less ostentatious demeanor, tangible populace appeal, and his emphasis on humanitarian compassion and involvement. That, more than anything else, even then a fear of being persecuted by that “Jesuit administration”, has most literally “rallied the masses”, around this Pope, both (nominal) Catholics and non-Catholics alike. So, as I have been seeing, it is the emphasis of this Pope towards more humanitarian issues and causes, and his humility/simplicity, which I have been seeing is right along the lines of what I have been saying long before he even came into the picture, will be involved when the Eighth head-king(dom)-mountain comes to power.*** (Which you may have already noticed/read if you have/had priorly read that Rev 17&18 exposition of mine.)

** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2008/07/college-of-biblical-research-recruiting.html

**“Ultramontanism” Light**

Now in regards to the “outside of Europe” impression that you got, I also have see no Biblical problem with the “ultramontanism” relation you were guided to in the writings of James White, but I also here do not apply your strictly literalistic understanding in regards to these mountains, because, as I detailedly explain in my Rev 17&18 post, the mention of mountains is actually not literal, but symbolic, and they are synonymous with “heads and king(doms)”. So as explained there, that symbol is part of what represents: ‘aggregated chief/ruling ideologies and ways of thinking’ upon which this Babylonian power has been established. Fact is EGW and other Pioneer SDA’s did not always properly/fully interpret prophecies, but rather used an anecdotal approach. A prime example of this is seen in Uriah Smith’s and James White’s interpretation of the First 5 Trumpets, yet EGW herself did not actually go along with that view. Instead many SDA scholars have observed that her view of the Trumpets, particularly about the First 5, served as the template for the developments and themes related in the Great Controversy book which starts with the destructions of Jerusalem. In this post* and this one**, I had done (from Nov. 2011 to Feb. 2012) an original study and exposition on, also, the 7 Trumpets, and I was later impressed in reading about this EGW template in GC for the Trumpets as my exposition had perfectly aligned itself with the key Church History events and developments which she touched on in GC, with my interpretation of the First Trumpet also starting with the 70 AD destructions.
But the overarching fact in this is that only exegetical interpretations will stand Biblical examination. That said, for the possible fulfillments in the day of SDA pioneers, certain aspects included in various Bible and SOP prophecies would not be fully fleshed out, but by our much more advanced days, all of those included elements are to now have their full meanings. As EGW counselled, we are to advance and improve the light of our fathers. (E.g, 3T 64.3). This does not mean “replace” prior light, but instead search it out more deeply and establish it on even more firmer foundations whenever possible. And related to all this is the light which EGW had, which you quote in your blog post that: The Protestant daughters would actually overtake their Catholic Mother. And that is how I see that Rev 17& 18, as I detail in that post, by now squarely revolves the Protestant Church as that harlotrous woman and the USA as that Beast. (Cf. also e.g. here* and here**). Still the 5th “head-king(-mountain)” upon which it is established indeed is a now revived/re-invented Papal Power, which indeed formally is a world stately (“kingly”) power (i.e., the Holy See state)

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TNN14GI5I9LPPGA3J/post91
** http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TNN14GI5I9LPPGA3J/post94

** Pope Francis’ “Ultramontanism”**

So, in regards to your “ultramontanism” “light”, I see that it too is to be considered in the light of concrete Biblical exegesis and also the advance and transformation of Revelation’s Babylon today. Firstly the word “ultra” means: “far beyond the norm” (e.g., ultra conservatism). And applying this to this present Pope and what he has set in motion here about the Papacy, he has indeed gone “way beyond the norm” or what the Papacy used to prefer to stand for by bringing to the forefront the supposed to also be demonstrated Christlike humility, servitude and compassion of Christ. So, as this was inherent always to be part of the character of this position which considered/calls itself the “Vicar of the Son of God”, then this Pope can actually be understood as an “ultra Pope”. But the significant thing here is that he is not merely doing this for and about himself, but is really setting a higher standard for the Papacy that I just cannot see how any other succeeding Pope will dare refrain from or lower, particularly in the light of the great and widespread approval that this has brought to the Papacy, even from non-Catholics. So, and in perfect alignment with what my understanding about this Eighth King was to be, namely as a deceptively humanitarian power, I see that what this Pope has prophetically done is turn the Papacy, and virtually/increasingly the world, into a tide of being more humanitarian and compassionate..and that will eventually put him at some odds with especially Right Wing America, and this is where I see that American will win out here, but by having accepted a compromise where philanthrocapitalism is instead allowed to win out here instead of pure philanthropy. And this is all within the larger context of the “Full Sabbath of God” understandings that I have gotten from the Bible (e.g., Isa 58; Matt 25:31-46; Zech 7:8-14; etc) and SOP (e.g., WM 23-68ff; DA 637.1; LDE 218.3-219.3; DA 825.4 etc) as presently applicable today. Indeed this understanding is not “my understanding” but what the Bible/SOP, indeed Jesus Christ Himself clearly stipulated.*

Even, now several SDA preachers, cannot ignore that fact*, which is all behind the efforts,
though still deficient**, of the GC towards “Comprehensive Urban Evangelism. And the Shaking/Sifting*** of the SDA Church revolves around this issue.

** * http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness

**http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#falserighteousness
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#superficialrighteousness
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#ACM


And not only is Pope Francis ways “way beyond the norm” for the Papal power (i.e., the 5th head-king(dom)-mountain (H-K-M)), but in the line of New World Order ideologies that these 7 H-K-M’s have each sought to implement, and which the Beast (USA) and its Woman ((Capitalistic) Protestants) have gleaned from in establishing its own New World Order, this turn of the Papacy H-K-M is indeed “way beyond the norm” of what those prior “peaks” in world rulership have ever advanced or been able to implement. So all this to say that this Pope is fulfilling prophecy in the fact that he has led, and increasingly is, leading the world to a more, Christlike, humanitarian/compassionate “thinking” (=head), which will all serve to set up the “Angel of Light” deception which, as the SOP reveals will do Christlike works, even healing the sick and suffering, but all the while, while pushing its various unbiblical teachings.

Claim About Rev 17 Woman - Mary
Succinctly said, in regards to your claim that Mary is the one who John saw as that Woman, I see that interpretation as merely being anecdotal and not exegetical. As presented e.g., here*, that woman is the same which was seen in Rev 12 and represents the “Daughter of Zion” remnant of God’s enduring, thus non-ethnic, Israel. And that woman eventually is the one who goes into the wilderness. The reason why John is astonished when he is carried to that wilderness to see the judgements of a woman there is that it is the same woman which he had begun to see in Rev 12. So he is shocked that this once pure woman (Rev 12:1ff) is now a harlot. And so indeed is what happened with the main part of the Continuing/(“New”) Israel Church which Jesus had established. And it is actually from her children that the Remnant Church is born (Rev 12:17) while that woman continued to be harlot in that wilderness.

So I don’t see that your claim of that woman being Mary is supported by clear exegetical points about that woman.

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TNN14GI5I9LPPGA3J/post94

Spiritualism
In regards to your statements about Spiritualism, while this issue does, literalistically, focus on demonic angels impersonating the dead, the deeper Spiritual issue is, as more fully presented here* that Spiritualism involves actually what Satan had priorly said in Eden which is that one
can knowingly disobey God and still live and/or end up in heaven. That is indeed what is more deeply seen/involved in the “Thomas Paine” deception. So I have long seen that Satan’s special deception, especially of our advance day and age, particularly as he will not now want to do anything which would validate what SDA’s had been expecting and claiming for over 100 years now, and will surely not deceive any SDA as he also wants to (EW 266-269), that his Spiritualism, Angel of Light deception will “more deeply” involve him widely promoting a teaching that one can engage in base/sinful things and still be within the will of God. And today, that deception is most readily being effectuated in the widely accepted socio-economic system of Capitalism which most foundationally requires people to be selfish, greedy, indifferent, self-interested, outright or effective liars, controlled by avarice and “covetousness” (=EW 266-269), among other base traits, and that this is perfectly acceptable to God. The Truth actually is that it is contrary to the will of God and prevents one from being sealed** with Christ’s Rev 3:12, 144,000 Sabbatical seal.***


So these are the major points of difference which I have with your interpretation and claims thus far. If you can variously address them as necessary, I would greatly appreciate. Take you time, I never require a prompt answer as I am more interested in a properly pondered one.

Now in regards to your statement about Ernie Knoll, I will address it in a separate email as it distinctly, and also, detailedly involved.

Awaiting your response(s) on this,
God Bless,
Ronald Monestime

Endnote
# I had also been following your public postings on MSDAOL. And it would be interesting for me if you could eventually email me (just once for each) a “PRINT TOPIC” Copy (e.g., PDF or .eml format), of the Private threads on that topic on MSDAOL such as these which I have noted:

- The Eighth King of Rev 17 is Satan appearing as an angel of light.
- The idea behind Benedict XVI as the Last Pope.
- Pope Francisco the First is the first JESUIT POPE!
- New discoveries in Prophecy!
- A systematic review of the "Seven Heads"
- ::A:: Seven Heads Study & The Last Pope
http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=151481#Post151481
- When they cry peace and safety...
http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=151158#Post151158
- The Eighth King of Rev 17 is Satan appearing as an angel of light. (Continued)
- ::A:: Lightning strikes St Peters Basilica after Benedict abdicates

**August 6, 2013**

This is James Tierney, one of the people claiming to have dreams and visions from God that you tested on this website and found I had received messages from God. You say I misinterpreted them because they don't fit with your extra Sabbatical ideas.

I wanted to give you a timeline on a claim that I made in a post on this site last night, about having contacted Ernie Knolls in 2007, which has not been posted yet I see.

I contacted Ernie Knoll in 2007 wanting test the Spirit of his dreams. I have had many Godly visions and dreams that have been fulfilled, and I shared many of these dreams with Ernie to see what he thought about them. I wanted to see his response. He said he had read them excitedly, and then never responded to me again.

One of these dreams I sent him was about cars being abandoned in the middle of highway 35w. There is a bridge on that segment of the highway where there were thousands of abandoned cars with there doors open and keys in the ignition in my dream. A month after having this dream that bridge collapsed on August 1st 2007, (the day I opened my smoothie shop) I shared this with Ernie that day in an email I wrote from my shop, and a couple of weeks later I found a new dream from Ernie (KEEP GOING August 8, 2007) where he claimed that he was in a car just like I was in my dream.
Then in his "WATCH, AS I AM COMING!" dream August 14, 2007 he talked about seeing dark spots on peoples garments, just like the vision I had of flying through Jesus and then seeing spots on MY garments. He was stealing the dreams that God had given me that I had just sent him in emails weeks before. I responded by sending him emails demanding to know if he was plagiarizing my dreams. No response.

Then in "THE WORK NOW BEGINS" dream December 14, 2007 he mentions "I now ask the Herald about the origin of a certain individual's dreams." I am absolutely positive this is a reference to the dreams I had sent him in emails.

Then in his "STAND FAST" dream of February 5, 2008 he said "I watch as vehicles on highways and interstates crash. The roads that the cars and trucks are traveling on open up into CREVASSES." Just like the bridge of 35w collapsing. And again he says "Cars are parked everywhere. Some are almost brand new and some are very nice sports cars. The keys are still in the ignition and the doors hang open." THIS IS ALMOST VERBATIM OF WHAT I SHARED WITH ERNIE IN THE EMAIL I SENT ABOUT THE Highway 35W DREAM I HAD!!!!

Right then I knew God was showing me that this man was a fraud and was stealing the words and images of the dreams God had given me, but I was still giving him the benefit of the doubt. He never responded to my requests to answer for his plagiarism. He had stolen the dreams God gave me, so I knew then I had received answer to prayer whether he was lying or not.

I have hundreds of witnesses to these dreams, but unlike Ernie before being discovered, I have been persecuted to the extreme within the SDA church from the start, and the fact that Satan inspired this man at the same time God was inspiring me has been the most significant thwarting of the influence of the dreams God gave me. Yet I am not discouraged, because God inspired me to communicate with Ernie to show His intervention. Mr. Knoll was then busted in perfect answer to prayer when I prayed for him to be exposed. God answers my prayers very powerfully.

I do not seek my own glory, but to help others find Christ and be cleansed in the knowledge of the truth. Amen.

August 6, 2013

About Ernie Knoll

Hi James,

Now, in regards to your statement about Ernie Knoll. First of all let me state my own view about him (thus far). I have not seen that he has lied about his actual dreams themselves. He indeed has
lied about Candace and the SDA Great Gospel Commission, trying to manufacture miraculous and highly-placed support for him and his ministry. However, as I posted here in discussion*, I actually have found it most telling of the genuineness of his actual prophetic dreams that when he asked his Herald during his December 8, 2007 dream (#26. A GREAT WORK) whether he had been the one who had contacted Candace, all that he got in reply was a terse “No”. If Knoll had been fabricating his dreams, then here would be the perfect opportunity to corroborate his Candace lie by making the Herald confirm in that dream that he was behind that Candace episode. But that was not at all the case. So that was evidence to me that Knoll had, and still has not been fabricating his dreams. Along with the fact that, as also stated here in discussion**, in that Candace and Commission lie, Knoll demonstrated that he is well capable of composing things which are much more serious and weighty than what his dreams are typically presenting. So I see that God allowed him to engage in that lying episode to further demonstrate that what he is instead presenting from his dreams, which many reject simply because they do not think it is solemn enough, are clearly exactly just as he is seeing things when dreaming them. And, most determinatively here, the content of them has thus far not been in contradiction to the Bible or SOP.

So I myself have not see any evidence that Knoll is lying about his prophetic dreams nor that they are not genuine revelations from God...all along the lines of Ezek 14:1-9 (discussed here***)

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T9QK9UA0P12B52DQQ/post634
** http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post146
*** http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T9QK9UA0P12B52DQQ/post645
 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T9QK9UA0P12B52DQQ/post633

I had read about this claim you had against Ernie Knoll from what you had posted on MSDAOL, but the added, and timelined, detailing and information here is great. I have read your points as well as the dreams of Knoll you had referred to, and I must say that I (objectively) am not seeing ‘clear proof” that he was copying from you. Here is why:

**Mention of a Car**
First of all in Knoll’s dream “KEEP GOING” August 8, 2007, though he mentions a car in there, (and my search has seen that this was the first time his dream involved a car), I am not seeing this as conclusive evidence that he was copy your dream. Him just involving a car is not evidence of him having copied your dream. Having priorly studied that dream, I instead have rather seen that it is a contemporary application of the horse-carriage ride dream that EGW had in 16MR 171-172* which is related to Rev 6:16-17.

*I discuss it in detail at Rev 6:17 Section entitled “Rev 6:16-17 & The Two (Symbolic) “Rhodes” Figures” in this blog post:
So to me, though a strange coincidence, I rather see that it is too superficial to be conclusive.

**Dark Spots**
About your claim of copying for “dark spots on people’s garments in the "WATCH, AS I AM COMING!" dream on August 14, 2007, do point out to me if I am plainly missing this, but I do not see him making such a claim in that dream. I just saw a mention of ‘round cloth disks on people’s heads’, as well as ‘people with black, dirty, dark robes’. These are all themes which are quite common in the Bible and SOP, and I would not see it as him copying you from those statements. I see that your vision involving flying through Jesus (discussed in prior email), and having spots may be similar, but all in all, not exactly like what Knoll related.

It is odd that he did not respond to your inquiry...but I would actually say that this was rather because he then himself noticed the similarities and had nothing to explain them. If he was being deliberately mischievous here, then I would think that he would have no qualm lying to you and saying that he was not copying you, to avoid any such publicly expressed misunderstandings/opposition/denunciations from you.

**Certain Individual’s Dreams**
His question about an individual in "THE WORK NOW BEGINS" dream December 14, 2007 potentially may about you. It would be interesting to know, if you remember when was the last time you contact him in relation to your dreams and/or his postings prior to this December 14, 2007 statement, but as I myself have not found that neither his nor your revelations are against the Bible and SOP as he was told in that dream, I would not be seeing here that he was speaking of you.

However if he was asking about you, then, as you have already seen from me in our discussion, while the answer in Knoll dream does not actually forthcomingly state that the other person’s are false, just that they (i.e. that wooden chair) were “amateurly” assembled requiring nails and glue, I can see how this could be a reference to the added explanations that you append to your direct revelations from the Bible and SOP, which I have not found many to actually be fully in harmony with what the Bible and SOP actually say. And that is depictively enough, unlike Knoll’s dream in which the explanation of them, if given, is actually done by his relating/communicating angel. So I am not seeing that it is saying that your dreams are false (which correspond to the wooden chair’s materials in themselves), but rather that they way that you interpret them is not according to the “Master Carpenter’s”, i.e., Jesus expectation. And which would be in regards to Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness Message which brings out the Spiritual aspects of God’s Law (=Heb 10:26; 1 John 3:4).

**Abandoned Cars Dream**
The content/theme of the "STAND FAST" dream of February 5, 2008 would indeed seem like a carbon copy of your similar dream, but most logically stated, he would sure have taken a long time to “copy” it. For various spiritual and factual reasons I am not seeing that he was merely copying you but rather relating an actual dream that he had. Factually, though your themes are similar they are not exact. And Spiritually, it is not at all impossible for God to give two prophets
quite similar dreams. That was the case with William Foy and EGW in regards to EGW’s first few visions. When in attendance as EGW related those post 1844 visions of hers, he would repeatedly get up and say that he has also been shown the same thing at several points. Well if you read Foy’s dreams in 1845 WEF, CEWF 9.1ff, there are indeed many similar themes, notions and elements to EGW’s own, but the revelations are not at all identical. I think that this is what transpired between you and Knoll. Your dream was evidently in regards to the bridge that was about to collapse in Minnesota, (all related to the actual inner debt-caused poverty of the US in regards to being able to adequately look after its “crumbling infrastructure”) and Knoll’s later similar dream, which was in context, in relation to an apparent economic crisis, (and the trucks falling into crevasses was in a distinct context and is related to the SDA’s long-given SOP “Out of the Cities” message), was, as I see it, for how the debt of the US can easily lead into such directly or indirectly caused tangible chaos of e.g., widespread failing infrastructure and gas crisis (e.g. in a war with Iran).

So like Foy and EGW, I see here that God actually gave you both related, but distinct revelations involving the same basic causal issues but with different applications and affectations here.

So if you are saying that “this”, i.e., what you were yourself noticing from those dreams of Knoll as he was publishing them, was ‘God’s answer to your prayer’, then I would say that such readings are themselves actually not God-given answerings. You may be assuming here that your view on them as you read them was, but I have not seen that this is actually the case for the reasons cited above. So to me, if your question at the end was not rhetorical, I would say that it does sound like you have misinterpreted what, actually, as I gather, you, of yourself, were reading in Knoll’s dream as he was publishing them over those 6 months. So when you say: “Right then I knew God was showing me that this man was a fraud and was stealing the words and images of the dreams God had given [you]”, from the information you have given here, I rather see that this was actually merely your own take from those readings.

SDA Rejections
As I said before, it is because of SDA pride as well as their revered incompetence in Biblical/Spiritual things, that they have not long been doing a proper and due Biblical testing of your revelations. But I would not blame Ernie Knoll here, as I have “Testingly” seen that both of you have been given valid revelations from God. [An SDA which my testings and discussions with have clearly by now revealed that he is actually, like Judas (John 13:27), inspired by Satan and condemn by God, is Eugene Shubert*]. If SDA’s were serious and competent, Knoll’s experience (and again, I only see evidence that he lied about Candace and the Great Gospel Commission) and not in the dreams that he has published), should not have affected your own experience and revelations with them. It certainly did not affect me.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#eshubert

And as relatedly related here* I find it interesting that it was shown to Knoll in December of 2012 that the Eschatological 7 Trumpets message had begun to be given in February of 2012, as this is the month when I published my priorly mentioned 5-month study&exposition involving
those 7 Trumpets (which, as stated before, are similar to EGW’s GC Template)**, posting it on my blog on February 29, 2012.

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T9QK9UA0P12B52DQQ/post476

‘Cleansing and the Truth’
Succinctly restated from this discussion*, from what I more detailedly posted here, it determinatively is actually an “obedience” to the truth revealed by God which “sanctifies”’ (cf. John 17:17) and not merely a “knowledge” of it. (Matt 7:21-23)

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TQRG6KLQ216E4PNKM/post618

Hope all this was helpful,
Looking forward to here your responses/comments

God Bless,
Ronald

August 10, 2013

Hello James,

I sent you two emails in response to your submitted blog post comment and enjoining email. As you would have not responded (yet?), I just want to see if you actually had received them.

Ronald

August 12, 2013

These claim of James Tierney, I.e., his “Eight King View and Pope Francis & Mary”; and his claim, which he further detailed in a follow up email, that: Ernie Knoll stole his revelations, were responded to in <a href=http://www.njkproject.info/wbsc/documents/TierneyDiscussions.pdf>here</a> starting at page 92-106 (entry date: August 5, 2013).

His Eight King view is shown to still be erroneous due to non-exegetical, as well as non-Spiritual, interpretations and applications, but rather anecdotal and literalistic/superficial ones. And his claim about Ernie was shown to be inconclusive at best, also lacking an more indepth
Spiritual consideration. As usual/typical, such false understandings are related to the deficiency mentioned in 1SM 25.4 & TM 116.1.

**August 12, 2013**

These claim of James Tierney, (who indeed was/is being “tested” (1 Thess 5:19-22|1 John 4:1) <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney>here</a>), i.e., his “Eight King View and Pope Francis & Mary”; and his claim, which he further detailed in a follow up email, that: Ernie Knoll stole his revelations, were responded to in the document referenced in the response <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1376322663623#c6049869173646478143>here</a> (at its pages 92-106 (entry date: August 5, 2013)).

His Eight King view is shown to still be erroneous due to non-exegetical, as well as non-Spiritual, interpretations and applications, but rather anecdotal and literalistic/superficial ones. And his claim about Ernie was shown to be inconclusive at best, also lacking an more indepth Spiritual consideration. As usual/typical, such false understandings are related to the deficiency mentioned in 1SM 25.4 & TM 116.1.

**August 24, 2013**

Hello James, You may want to look into this posting of evidently a guy named MoGreenMan from Tracy CA who is (re)posting things under your full name in this SDA Forum thread: http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOI0/post156

**August 26, 2013**

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOI0/post190

This is very interesting, My name is James Tierney, and although I appreciate who ever posted my quotes from the NPK project, you did it under my name and that is something you probably shouldn't have done.

I stand by what I wrote, because it was through deep and earnest prayer that I came to those conclusions about Mr Knolls, wanting to give him every benefit of the doubt and trying to contact him to question him after our initial correspondences so many times I finally gave up. Ernie stole my dream, and that is on his head.

Thank you Ronald for sending me this in an email. And again I don't mind someone quoting what I wrote because it is the truth, but I live in Minnesota not Tracy California to who ever used my name.
It's cool, you got the point across, no hard feelings.

If anyone wants to ask questions I am available. And if anyone wants to read about the visions, dreams and impressions God has given me directly you can find them here...

http://redheifersbloodysweat.blogspot.com/201...

http://lastplaguedrops.blogspot.com/2012/01/r...

http://whentheycripeatpeaceandsafety.blogspot.com...

http://godheldthefourwinds.blogspot.com/2011/...

http://eighthking.blogspot.com/2011/12/whos-i...

August 26, 2013

No problem James. Just want to keep things factual. Truth does not need any guile to validate or advance it.

-Technical, but key correction: That impostor poster was actually posting from your posting in the Our Sword blog post’s comments* and your comment posting on my blog post** as you did not publicly relate it that way and in those details there, but actually only in an email to me.

* http://oursword.blogspot.com/2010/03/false-prophet-ernie-knoll-admits-he-was.html?showComment=1375651608188#c6724088639257638074

** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1375608164554#c6195920470534414294

(It is circumstantially quite interesting to me that MoGreenMan also posted on the other blog....)

_____________

Relatedly James, as you are publicly maintaining this claim of plagiarizing and stealing accusation against Ernie Knoll, then I think that it behooves you in basic Christian responsibility ethics and ‘False Testimony’ concerns that you explain why you (manifestly) do not see the reasons which I emailed to you (cf. here*) as to why I do not see your claim against Knoll to be supported as valid.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1376322
Hey James, in case you are not set up to receive email notifications from the Topix forum, and/or have not noticed my response and question to your post, see it here:


Again, I think ‘Christianly-behooves’ you to provide your “reasons” here...

Ronald

Hi Brother Ron,

I will go there for you.

I need to tell you that my heart was burdened for you. I needed to resolve our falling out because of the burden on my heart.

I also want to tell you how I believe God spoke to me to do so, but I do not want to sound condescending in the way I put this, because it is an error of my heart to react that way. That being said I also cannot lie about what God impressed me for you either. He showed me the wounds you have, that He wants to heal you from. I have many wounds He is healing for me also, but He showed me that you are wounded in a way that will take a lot of prayer, and I am supposed to talk to you like a brother. It seemed like it should be as an older brother, though I do not know your age.

He wants to take you out of yourself, and show you what you look like from His perspective, like He did to me. He showed me how flawed I am but in the most merciful way. He let me see myself from His perspective mixed with the mercy He feels for me.

You are supposed to pray for this blessing, if you will accept it.

He wants you to read this information that He showed me after the last time I talked to you before. It is supporting for the vision He gave me of the Red Heifer. It is a sermon from Spurgeon called Gethsemane.

Take your time to read this over and over and pray before doing so and during and after,
then meditate on the images within and you will begin to see what He showed me.

Blessings in the name of Jesus…

Here it is… http://www.spurgeon.org/sermons/0493.htm

August 28, 2013

Hello Brother James,

Thanks for sharing this with me. I would be saying here that ‘I appreciate it’, but as you may quite well know about me by now, before I make any decision and statement on any claim of revelation from God, I first need to verify the “message/revelation/impression” itself in its candidly original form.

In other words, I would like to know exactly how you came to the various impressions you have cited. I also would like to know of any pertinent background information, such as here, ‘why was your heart “burdened” for me’. As you can see in my “Testing”* post, as well as my own bio/testimony** post, such background and revelation original/candid*** details are very helpful to arriving at the meaning which God would have intended in such revelations.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html
*** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision

So please do provide all of those details. I would greatly appreciate it. I am currently reading through the Spurgeon sermon...(Relatedly I am beginning to think that his writing/preaching style may have influence EGW for her writing of the Desire of Ages. I also see echoes of him, including in how he aptly mixes in exegetical points, in the preaching of present day Evangelical preacher John MacArthur of the widely known Grace to You Ministry (gty.org).* All this to say that Spurgeon’s Spiritual and insightful/thoughtful style and content in very interesting. Thanks for sharing that too.)

* cf. e.g.: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/pleading-proverbs-264-5.html#MSB

Awaiting to hear those pertinent/background “original/candid” details from you,

God Bless,
Ronald

August 28, 2013

Yes Mrs. White even quotes directly from Spurgeon several times.
As for how I was impressed. I pray all the time, and when I pray with no distractions God has a direct connection to my mind. He guides my thoughts and gives me impressions. Remember how I was led to the Sabbath? While reading scripture at the end of a seven day fast I came to Matthew 12:8 and the text struck the perfect chord with what God wanted me to know. I saw light surround the words “Lord of the Sabbath” and that light filled my mind and made neural connections. Then I heard His voice say “this will lead you home to me” and I was led directly to the SDA church that day.

Many of the impressions I receive are similar but not always spectacular in scope, but I can say that He has never been wrong.

I heard God’s still small voice recently say, ‘others are speaking evil about you to your new pastor’ then the next day I found out he had heard bad reports about me that were all lies from people who I had never even met before. This was verified through a brother after I shared the impression to him and he got real quiet then confessed.

This type of impression happens all the time. The dreams have become a lot less frequent and the visions are taking their place, but the mentally audible impressions happen all the time. Sometimes they are accompanied with the Spirit of Remembrance reminding me of scripture in perfect light to the impression.

Shortly after being led the Sabbath and the SDA church in 1995 someone stole my bicycle and I got very sad and angry. I prayed in despair and heard God say, “stop crying, rejoice, and go look for your bicycle”. He led me on a journey.

I crossed a bridge and the Holy Spirit guided my eyes to a spot across the bridge, and the Still Small Voice said “that is where you will find your bike”. I ran to the spot but it wasn’t there. He said “keep looking” and I said “but it’s not here” and He said keep walking and keep looking” which seemed very strange at the time but I did as He said. Around 10 miles later I came to another bridge and saw a woman and her child standing next to their bicycles crying while looking over the edge of the bridge, the woman had a bloody bandage on her right arm. I knew God wanted me to help so I did. She told me that they had ridden by earlier and her arm impacted the arm rail of the bridge and tore her grandfather’s watch off her arm. She feared it was gone or in the water, and I said well I think I was sent to help, so I got my shoes and socks off and went into the ancient slew full of disgusting things up to my waste. I looked and looked and she became discouraged and said “thanks for helping” and was going to leave. I said “wait, how will I get the watch to you when I find it?” And she said to put it in the classified under ‘lost and found’. Then they left.

I stayed in that water until my feet were numb and halfheartedly started to climb the bank of the slew praying for God to intervene. As I put my shoes on, a family of tourists walked up to me (This was in Fairbanks Alaska down the road from the state fairgrounds) and they asked what I was doing in the water. I felt impressed to tell them from A-Z what the cause was and said “but I’m getting discouraged and about to give up”. Then they all
responded “don’t get discouraged, God is with you” and right then the little boy in the group said “Here it is” and pulled the watch from behind the corner of the end cap on the handrail. It was held under the cap by her flesh, and the boy handed it to me in two pieces the watch and the crystal. I looked and removed the flesh and wiped off the blood and put the crystal on top and snap it went together perfectly and it worked. Imagine the excitement. There was no damage to the watch except for the wrist band and the delicate hands were NOT DAMAGED even after being exposed to so much forceful turmoil.

I raised the watch to heaven and said “Praise God I’m going to find my bike” and they all said in unison “praise God”.

I ran home and put it in the classifieds. She called the day it was printed and was ecstatic because the watch was given to her Grandfather from Albert Einstein when he was a patent clerk. It had an engraving on the back from Einstein so it was priceless.

I still had to go to work that day and said I would get a ride over later because she lived over 20 miles away and I didn’t have a car at the time. On my way to work I crossed the first bridge on that journey and looked at the spot that I was told I would find my bike and there were three men standing next to a bike. I ran to that spot and saw it was my bike. I took it in hand and said “I claim my property in the name of Jesus” and one of those Eskimo men ran away. The big one looked like he wanted to run and the little guy got in my face saying “that’s mine” I said well you can tell that to the police and walked to the nearest pay phone and he followed like he was held in chains and couldn’t run if he wanted to. The police officer was dumbfounded. I felt impressed to tell her the whole story from A-Z and she said she believed me because I had inscribed the Star of David on three places. Two were scratched off and one was still there.

She took him to jail and I got to ride my bike to that ladies house and told her the whole story. I felt impressed, hearing God tell me to give her a bible and a Great Controversy.

I could literally share hundreds of these experiences, but since this one was the first that led me on a perfect journey for the glory of God and for the salvation of others, I am always impressed to use it as an example to the power of God’s word in my life. I still have and use the bicycle; it’s in my garage 18 years later.

Now you tell me, if I don’t speak out loud when I pray and these things are spoken directly to my mind in real time response to my unspoken prayers without anyone else hearing, is it possible these impressions are from the devil? NO WAY. They always lead to truth and they always bring others the truth, exposing sin or error in the church. I have hundreds of witnesses to these things because I have not been afraid to share the experiences.

I do not claim to be on the level of a prophet from scripture but I also do not speak contrary to the word of God. What God shows me is perfect in light of the Spirit of Prophecy as shown to me. Some of my dreams and visions are exactly like the dreams of
Mrs. White before I had ever read her writings, or in particular on that subject.

Brother Ronald, when reading your writings I am impressed to see the inconsistencies with the Spirit of Prophecy on many issues. In some areas you directly conflict with the heavenly inspired writings of the Spirit of Prophecy. Your sincerity is obvious also.

God didn’t show me what was wounding you; I was only shown what rings as untrue in what you print and impressed that these are as a result from the wounds you have gone through in the church. This is what I was impressed, you are not healed from past experiences, not fully forgiving others, and this is why you are not seeing everything in the correct light in His word. You do not fully accept the Spirit of Prophecy as directly inspired by the Holy Spirit because it contradicts what you have learned in light of your experience in the church. This is what God told me and this is why I have sympathy for you in light of the pain you have gone through because I have been there myself.

I am very grateful that God has spoken directly to my heart many times, but the responses I get from other brothers, including from you, has caused the greatest pain I have seen anyone go through in our day and age in the SDA faith.

Even when I do not hear directly from God on a subject, if the issue is on something that I had clearly said was not directly inspired, if I speak as if I believe something and it is proven wrong; men say I must be wrong on everything that I claim was from God also. This is not biblical. Many people who have been blessed with the Spirit of Prophecy have been wrong on different issues. It is part of our experiential walk of faith.

I tend to respond very angry at those who deal with me like you did. I hated the way you posted all that against me like I was deluded but also inspired. But now I am shown that it all will lead to good in my walk of faith, increasing my faith in God because of how He has blessed me through it. I’ve seen more miracles and received so much proof that I am not forsaken, but blessed, and in that I have to forgive.

Soon I will not have to contend with false surmising any more, and I should be feeling the burden of sympathy for those who have persecuted me. This is my road to walk in Christ. This is why I reached out for reconciliation with you as we should for everyone who has harmed us before. To forgive so we may be forgiven. So no hard feelings OK?

Peace.

It would be only fair that you print this along with the other personal correspondence you posted for everyone online to read don’t you think?

August 29, 2013

Hello James, This is just an intermediary comment for now. I will get around to thoroughly
answering your email point by point, and I do appreciate what you have shared and detailed...but in terms of pertinent details about your impression about me I still need to have the original/candid information. What you have told me were the conclusionary points. I need to know the details of whatever thoughts, impressions, imagery and/or Scriptural allusion, whatever was actually the case, in regards to me.

So in regards to the claims you made in your prior email, and also the ones in this latest one, I need to know the applicable whats and hows, even whens, wheres and whys (i.e., background/attending info). That is all important because, as I said, I have seen and also experienced many valid revelations, including from you, and, of course, also the Bible/SOP (e.g., CET 134.1ff) which are all contextualized and influence a further revelation from God. E.g., in Dan 9, Daniel was studying out the 70 year prophecy of Jeremiah and when he was perplexed Gabriel was sent to him to give him understanding (=the 70 Week prophecy), and also into the 2300 whose interpretation had actually been ended some 13 years before. Similarly in the genuine/validation dream by Steve Starman which I had also tested on my blog*, and he rightly relates that prior to having that dream that night, he had been pondering Dan 11 as well as the pivotal COL chapter entitled “The Lord’s Vineyard (COL 284-306) see the “Dream Contextualizing Background” section there. And his ensuing dream was indeed in relation to what he had been pondering just before then.)

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#sstarman

And succinctly said here, if you have already posted something online, such as you experiences, you can simply provide the link to where you have posted it and I go there to read it. I have no problem doing this....That is because I had actually been reading your various online postings/discussions on e.g., the MSDAOL forum where you had shared those experiences.* But thanks for the effective summarizing of your experiences.

* e.g, this thread: http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=142024& page=1

And a friend of mine on MSDAOL had also been printing out and emailing me various private threads which you had been involved in. E.g., this one: “Jame's (Jamessonofthunder) claim to the prophetic gift” http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=142080# Post142080

So I was well aware of your experiences.

So in regards to the claims you made in your prior email, and also the ones in this latest one, I need to know the applicable whats and hows, even whens, wheres and whys (i.e., background/attending info). That is all important because, as I said, I have seen and also experienced many valid revelations, including from you, and, of course, also the Bible/SOP (e.g., CET 134.1ff) which are all contextualized and influence a further revelation from God. E.g., in Dan 9, Daniel was studying out the 70 year prophecy of Jeremiah and when he was perplexed Gabriel was sent to him to give him understanding (=the 70 Week prophecy), and also into the 2300 whose interpretation had actually been ended some 13 years before. Similarly in the genuine/validation dream by Steve Starman which I had also tested on my blog*, and he rightly relates that prior to having that dream that night, he had been pondering Dan 11 as well as the pivotal COL chapter entitled “The Lord’s Vineyard (COL 284-306) see the “Dream Contextualizing Background” section there. And his ensuing dream was indeed in relation to what he had been pondering just before then.)

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#sstarman

And succinctly said here, if you have already posted something online, such as you experiences, you can simply provide the link to where you have posted it and I go there to read it. I have no problem doing this....That is because I had actually been reading your various online postings/discussions on e.g., the MSDAOL forum where you had shared those experiences.* But thanks for the effective summarizing of your experiences.

* e.g, this thread: http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=142024& page=1

And a friend of mine on MSDAOL had also been printing out and emailing me various private threads which you had been involved in. E.g., this one: “Jame's (Jamessonofthunder) claim to the prophetic gift” http://www.maritime-sda-online.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=142080# Post142080

So I was well aware of your experiences.

Also another thing I’ll say in passing here, I have not said your were ‘inspired and/but deluded’...I have said that your dreams/original impression are valid, but the way you are interpreting/applying them are at the very least not (Biblically) complete, when not entirely false. I think you’ll agree with me that this was the case with your interpretive claim that Pope JP II was going to be resurrected by an/as impersonating demon.
So I would here list every claim that you have made in regards to me which in need those original/candid details about, but all you have to do is simply go back through your latest two emails and everytime you make a claim of an impression about me, just provide those “5 W’s” details about them. (There actually is an investigative journalism show here in Canada (like America’s CBS 60 Minutes) similarly called W5 i.e., those 5 “W” questions needed to objectively, properly understand something.

Like I said, I would have more to point-by-point say in response, but I needed to first get those specific details. And as we probably have different awake/work schedules, I thought I send this request ahead to you now.

So that’s all from me for now, and by the way, there are actually never “hard” feelings from me, “hard words” maybe, but ala. Matt 23 i.e, to try to awaken someone out of an evident “stupor”. Succinctly said here, I do not see that God wants His people to be mere sentimentalists which get vexed and hurt by mere words. Instead they need to be more cerebral people who deal on things on a level of facts and truth rather than mere emotions and sentimentalism. Frankly sentimental people are easily offended and really it is just their pride and want of acceptance which is then hurt. God needs people who will not go by sentiments so that they can intellectually stand strong for right and truth even all things may be turned against them. (I, long ago, (ca. 1994) used to be a relatively/comparatively (i.e., to now) easily vexed sentimentalist, until I realized that it was just my pride that was getting in the way.

Bye for now,
Ronald

-And yes I will also include this on my PDF transcripts, (-even private letters to/from EGW were beneficial to the wider public), It is just that it would be wasteful of time for me to update and upload that PDF document after every email I send or receive. So I do this updating periodically.

August 29, 2013

Ronald, Out of everything said by you about me in the past, how you formulated your opinion about what God has shown me, the one thing that proves where you come from over and over is the fact that what you say in judgment, your interpretation of the message is contrary to the Spirit of prophecy.

You say and judge things according to an opinion that takes the gospel in a whole different direction from what the Seventh Day Adventist end time message is, and to me that is proof of your misinterpretation of scriptures.

That is why I reserve my communications with you. You don’t just judge what my God tells me, you judge the message of the Spirit of Prophecy in general, and to me that makes
the whole argument untenable.

Your “hard words” detail that you see the Sabbath message completely different and with a different tone in its interpretation than the inspired pioneers end time message, like your interpretation is the only valid one when there is a corporate interpretation that was guided by God in a movement foretold in prophecy. Do not confuse what I’m saying with today’s deluded view; I’m generalizing the Adventist message gold standard as what God showed the pioneers before any schism.

And yes there are things that I had come to a supposition on that were not biblically complete as I said in my last email, but the whole point is that there are incomplete elements or unfulfilled prophecies that leave those interpretations open until the finality is exposed by God in His time. There is a crescendo of fulfillments that lead to the perfect conclusion. I will not argue that I got the event wrong on the Eighth king of Rev 17, but in defense of the conclusion, there are, to me at least, obvious elements that were witnessed by the turn of events that prove that I was correct in the main points that I claim God told me. I am not saying I was right, I am saying God was right, and I came to some wrong conclusions based off the evidence.

To be succinct, we ARE in the time of the eighth king of the revived papal government. Benedict fulfilled the seventh king prophecy in a way we couldn’t see before he retired from office. Now he “remains a short time” and this fulfills that portion of prophecy. Doug Bachelor has a sermon saying that lightning striking the Vatican the moment after Benedict retired was significant and this is exactly what God told me, so what I claimed that day was not a private interpretation, someone else in our faith saw the significance of that event and tied it together with the 7 kings of Rev 17, but no one persecutes him for his reserved comments on the subject do they? And I was looking for this before it happened, is that not significant? It was revealed to me afterwards that what I was looking for had been fulfilled. But would I have seen it unless I was inspired to look?

Now the eighth king, Francis, is claiming John Paul II has been doing miracles from heaven. Is this not an attempt by the Pope to make JPII look like a ghost doing things on earth? You are so hardened in your interpretation that I was wrong that you will not concede there are elements in what I believed would be happening taking place right now. These are events in fulfillment of what God led me to conclude. I thought the fulfillment would be different, but as of some time this October, the Catholic Church will recognize JPII as a saint and begin to worship him in a feast, claiming his guidance. That is significant when you think about it. There are elements to this that have not been fully exposed but when they are fulfilled I will be ready to see them. I am fully impressed that soon what I have been searching for will be shown as divinely inspired. But many people like those on Adventist online have no desire to even see the fulfillments as they are taking place. They reserve complete animosity against the message God showed me, and in this they are hardening their hearts against the fulfillment of prophecy. So they expose themselves in judgment against me, I am being used to show their disbelief.
The most confusing part about making sense of what God showed me is the fact that the eighth king is also Satan appearing as an angel of light. There is definitely a duel application of scripture here. It is seen in the view of the seven heads being seven forms of government in the Roman beast. After the head wound, the seventh form of Roman Government is revived into the eighth form that includes demonic apparitions and this is fulfilled when the seven heads also represent the seven last popes before the eighth and final pope, but this was impossible to see in light of the fulfilled prophecies to that date.

Think about this Ronald; Francis is a Jesuit. The Jesuits claim to be ‘perinde ac cadaver’ as disciplined as a corpse. So in light of this, prophetically they have a CORPSE guiding their church.

Jesus said “Wherever the corpse is, there the eagles will gather.” And just before this, he says “remember Lot’s wife” and Mrs. White says he said that in reference to the end times when it is time to leave the cities. Now they have a Jesuit pope in fulfillment of this message and America will soon fulfill the image to the beast under this pope’s guidance.

Remember the prophecy “when you see the abomination of desolation standing in the Holy Place”? We are told that the roman army had an EAGLE as their standard that they carried before them to go to battle. The Holy precinct extended out beyond the walls of Jerusalem by a couple of hundred furlongs. When Rome carried their eagle standard into that Holy precinct it was sign to leave the city.

Now America has the symbol of the eagle, and we are crossing over the lines of the Holy precinct by declaring laws about gay marriage that are contrary to God’s law. This pope is giving his blessing on this point. THE EAGLES have gathered. Rome and America are the eagles. REMEMBER LOT’S WIFE! Sodom was the city where homosexuality was legal.

You think I was wrong in every way about the fulfillment of Rev 17, I think God is just getting started in showing me the fulfillment. You have no clue how to judge this situation because you are so far out in left field you cannot see it any more and I am telling you to be humbled and stop you’re criticizing.

So you go ahead with your judgments that I was so wrong like many others have stated against me, especially in places like Adventists online. I hope you investigate further and see, or you might be ashamed for taking a stance against me in this in the end, but I will be waiting for you to see in the end. If you don’t, then it may be that this will be a dividing point. But we will have to see wont we?

August 29, 2013

Now I am being told to leave you alone again. I hope and pray you have your day of repentance before it is too late.
You have all the evidence that you need. I pray for you.

JT

August 29, 2013

Some various points in response...and I’ll be saying much more later as I said...you can do whatever you want with that answer....

-Why is it that every time I ask you to objectively substantiate what you say and claim, particularly your impressions, you find some quibbling way to bow out?? Is your “voice/Spirit” scared of the details being known?? That does not sound like God to me (John 3:20-21; Hab 2:2). If you had said that your voice told you not to state those details that would be something else...but you have not....you instead just don’t want to post them but expect people to just take your word for it.

-If e.g., Doug Batchelor told you that God told them that you should give them $10,000 would you just take their word for it...or would you want to get the details of how that impression exactly came about to see if that was really the voice of God. Likewise I do not gauge Biblical Truth or my Spiritual experience based on the voices of others but by “Every word which has proceeded from the mouth of God”.

-And, FYI, you want some indicators as to what your revelations, if actually valid would mean in my case read e.g., Zech 13:6-7, Matt 26:31; DA 686.4ff; Matt 26:40-41 = Isa 53:4, 5. I.e. all these things actually occurred with Jesus suffering in Gethsemane but that did not mean the it was his fault, that he was guilty here, or that God was not working through him. From the start my “crushing burden” has been SDA who variously want to interpret Scripture and revelation however they feel like, anecdotally and/or through pseudo-exegesis because, speaking as true Laodicean who have not been taking Christ remedy* they smugly think that they have it all figured out anyway...and no matter what they do God has no choice but to fulfill what he said he would do, especially exactly as revealed to EGW...well read Jer 18:1-10.


-I had given you the Biblical reasons why I did not see your Eighth King view as exegetically valid in our prior started discussion and you there again just stopped answering

-That is the thing with you, which is not at all according to the Spirit of God....you want people to just accept everything you say with any questions, especially in regards to what you have no answer for and then your pride and temper, which are both characteristic of Satan steps in and you get all vexed and upset and not surprisingly hear confirming voices.

-News Flash: While Satan cannot read your thoughts and silent prayers to God, he can easily ultrasoundly whisper ideas into you mind which you may be defaultly interpreting as God’s
voice. Only the Bible and (actual) SOP can confirm if what you heard was actually God’s voice.

E.g., Peter thought he was speaking for God to Jesus, but Jesus clearly stated to him that he was just being a mouthpiece for Satan (Matt 16:23) and that because Peter as well as the other disciples prior to the Cross still were not converted.

-You claim my views are not according to what was shown to EGW, well that is because EGW’s revelation were what Revelation came to summarily be for the many haltingly unfulfilled prophecies of the OT. However Spiritually speaking they were nonetheless one and the same. I get my ultimate views from the Bible/Christ as supported by EGW. And she had much to say about the Full Sabbath teaching (Read Welfare Ministry 23-63).

-EGW clearly said that not all on the Mark of the Beast was understood (6T 17.1) and she also repeatedly said that we are to improve the light of our fathers and not just build monuments around them. SDAs are sanctimoniously lazily great at doing this which is why they cannot properly or convincingly explain their teachings to knowledgeable Christian.

-And last but not least for now, you claim that my view are wrong because you think they a complete at odds with EGW (and is telling and interesting that you do not say the Bible/God/Jesus instead...as if EGW was infallible or the last revelation that we were supposed to ever get and she herself would refer the Bible to you as the greatest authority and not her writings)...well do point out to me where she ever says like you do that the woman of Revelation 17 is Mary??!

Like I said, I’ll be saying more later....and do provide those details about your impressions if ever you feel like it...otherwise I will be posting just what you have said and refused to say naturally entails. Again...God has not ever set anyone as a Pontifical Pope in his Israel...so you need to clearly state what it is you claim to have been shown/impressed with so that other can objective, Biblically (and not (merely) EGW-ly) “test” it. (2 Thess 5:19-21)

Ronald

August 29, 2013

Now I am being told to leave you alone again. I hope and pray you have your day of repentance before it is too late.

You have all the evidence that you need. I pray for you.

JT

August 29, 2013

Right....well it is an hour passed my bed time by now....but I still would need those specific
candid details from you in regards to your prophetic claims about me.

By the way, I think it is Doug Batchelor himself who say that if what someone says to/about you upset you...then it may be because you are not yet dead to self enough...Just a thought if, as you say, what you are claiming is really coming from God and not from your own interpretations. This discussion could be constructive if you would manage to leave temper and other emotions aside. Seems to me that you should be able to clearly defend what you claim from just facts and Biblical proof but, as I said, whenever you can’t provide a valid response to my objections to your claims, interpretations and views they you throw an infantile and immature temper tantrum...as if that was suppose to impress or sway me...As I said I quit being the doomed Isa 28:5-13 Spiritually immature and elementary baby or half-baked believer* a long time ago.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

And in regards to you “leaving me alone in my views” having long discovered what True/Full Christlike Righteousness is all about*, take this however you need to, but if heaven would be filled with Luke 10:30-37 sanctimonious “believers who won’t do anything, particularly sacrificial (16MR 34.1) to help all those in need (Matt 25:31-46), then I would go an burn up in Hell then spend in Eternity in what would just end up being a God-murdering Eternal Hell (all contra to DA 20.1-21.3. Thankfully God will not let that happen (DA 825.4; 637.1; LDE 218.3-219.3)...so you need to check if you are living up to this Full Gospel/Sabbatical Character of Christ light...which EGW copiously also admonished. When you find in the Bible or SOP something which says that we should live and let die and all so that I can feel good about myself that I would have figured out what the present pope will do in the future, as if that would make any difference, then let me know...otherwise I’ll keep following in the blood-stained trailed from Gethsemane to the Cross of Jesus Christ and certainly not the indifferent, base character (cf. Deut 15:1-11) dispositions and whims of ANY man.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness

Ronald

August 29, 2013

By the way, just for your info, I see that you (continue to) claim, i.e., in your Google Plus page that ‘you had seen a dream/vision of the Israel-Palestine wall “before” it was built, well as I had long pointed out in my “testing” blog post, that wall as significantly started to be built long before you 2001 revelation. So at best you only were shown what was already in significant existence.

Ronald

August 29, 2013
NJK: Alright, like I said I would do, and I see no reason why I should not follow through here, Here is a point by point responding to your latest 4 emails to me.

-I had originally prepared this email in a word processor, then copy and pasted it in my email client, so as the word process can have better formatting and font emphasis highlighting than the copying into an email, I have updated/uploaded the PDF copy* of our discussions to now include these responses. (Starting at the bottom of pp. 119ff) So you can see that posting there for those better highlight. (I do not have the time to redo these font emphasis and highlighting for the email copy).


-I did say much in here, but like I said do take as much time as necessary to read and Biblically, Spiritually and soundly understand what I have said. (Sorry for any typos, (which are typically caused by the fact that I think much faster that I can type), I also do not have time to proofread everything. But if you cannot figure out and/or understand something that I said, then do let me know and I’ll clarify and/or explain it.

-I will now next, i.e., in the coming days, respond to your latest emails on the Wall in Israel issue.

James: Hi Brother Ron,

I will go there for you.

NJK: As I said, I had posted* a request on that forum page for you to respond to your continued claims/accusations that Ernie Knoll stole and plagiarized your dreams in the light of the points I had long submitted to you which showed that your claims were not well-founded. So you are not doing anything ‘for me’ here, but rather for you and for your credibility as an honest person. Like I said, it behooves you to act in the Christian way here.


One key/fundamental thing to get clear with me for any future “references”, I don’t need you, or anyone else to do anything “for me”. You do whatever you want to do and deal with the consequences of your own decisions. So don’t “fancifully” confuse my request for you to be truthful and honest with me grovelling or begging you to ‘do anything for me’.

James: I need to tell you that my heart was burdened for you.

NJK: Great(?) Now I need to know WHY!!! I.e. is it self-inducedly because you yourself think, from your own Biblical and Theological knowledge, that I am so wrong and need help??? Or is it for some other reason and from an independent and external “source” which itself then needs to
still be objectively and thoroughly “tested” (cf. 1 John 4:1-6) and all according to God’s ultimate standard of “love” 1 John 4:7-14ff)...I.e. if, as it pointedly was the case with Peter in Matt 16:22-23, your “concern” is not in alignment with my loving efforts to help everyone in need, such as anyone suffering and dying from curable, preventable and/or intentional causes, including infants being aborted,* then like Jesus I have to forthrightly say exactly what He said in Matt 16:23 because here too...‘you are not concerned with the things of God, but man.’

* http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2012/04/aborting-abortion.html

Indeed what repeatedly strikes me from your ministry is that you want to be shown to be right, which is why you repeatedly try to resume a conversation with me everytime you think you have figured out an accurate interpretation of your revelations or a Bible prophecy. Yes, as I state on my “Testing” blog post, your (original) revelations themselves are genuine and valid, but even if people would fully accept them as truth, it makes absolutely no difference to what they still need to tangibly do in regards to finishing the work...They still have to get out there and fulfill Christ Full Sabbatical Gospel Truth.*

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness

You repeatedly want to interpretively extend your original revelation to contrivledly mean/involve that “God has thus shown you that Jesus is coming soon”, but that is not at all what these Revelations themselves are ever actually saying: They instead all end on indications that ‘the Second Coming has been delayed, because there is still work to be done’ Namely, as referenced/documented/discussed on my “Testing” blog:

-Your Conversion dream ended by “the Still Small Voice” (which means God will not be compelling you to understand this) quoting Matt 9:37. SO at the very least you should be having the same “compassion” for the lost that Jesus (Matt 9:36) and also praying that God sends laborers out to do that (time-dependent) harvesting work (Matt 9:38)..but here you are completely opposed to a message which has compassion on people suffering and dying from curable, preventable and/or intentional causes...as if that was completely anathema to the Gospel of Jesus Christ (Acts 10:38; Matt 25:31-46) and God’s Sabbatical Will (Isa 58). Clearly it is only your prideful desire to be right and widely accepted which is getting in the way...so like Peter you still need to be converted in this regard and that can easily start by you stopping to ignore everything in the Bible and SOP which do not agree with your private views.

-Your 9/11 Revelation clearly, and, as thoroughly discussed on my “Testing” blog, rightly show that God withheld the 4 Winds then, (with indeed that “Military wind” easily could have been a most destructive wave of global events ), but you are neither accepting that pivotal Rev 7:1-3 fact/implication which all leads to a sealing work that now needs to be done, and also, as I also discuss on my blog, your follow up interpretative impressions, when actually genuine/valid (i.e., in harmony with God Full Testimony/Word) do not lead to the conclusions which your readily have assumed from them.
- You **Red Heifer** revelation clearly show what all believers should now be doing...they should be, as Jesus asked his sleepy disciples when in Gethsemane, to watch and pray with him now as He is working to resolve the sin issue, which now focuses on the popular sins of omission in regards to what Jesus would have His people do for others in need which He has most unequivocally stated, is a mandate that He will not allow to be ignored. (E.g, DA 637.1, 825.4, etc)

- The “**Peace and Safety**” message in your dream* does not necessarily translate into that assumed “peace and safety” ‘being ended by the utter end scenario of the Second Coming’ (=“Third Woe” (Rev 11:14b = Rev 19:11-16))...but actually by God’s 9/11 emulating, Babylon* Judging/Humbling/Terracing (Rev 18:6-8), “Second Woe” (Rev 11:14a = Rev 18:6-9-24) event.**


-You still have not explained how you believe that “**Droplets of the last plagues are falling**...”. Fact is, as actually with all of your revelations and impression, it is only through understanding how this is more deeply “Spiritually” now taking place, that your revelations and ensuing impression are validity “salvaged”.

So you “veiledly”/blindly want to insist that God is giving you these revelations to ‘pinpoint final events development and the end’ when the repeated and consistent Divine and Biblical facts are that this is not actually the stated intent of those revelations....It rather is your ‘veiled/half-gospel/righteousness (= “right-doing”) view’ (2 Cor 3:7-18; 1 John 3:7) which is limiting you to see what is really, Spiritually going on now!

-As amply discussed thus far, and you just cannot provide a Biblical response to, your Eight King view, which I see is mainly based on your “interpretive impressions”, likewise does not actually literalistically “end up” in you can only “see” from those guiding impression (if actually valid). Again you are ignoring the Spiritual implications in these revelations.

You said later that you believe that God has been using you to test the professions of SDA’s and indeed I see that this is the overall case, as in his Ezek 14:1-8 judgement approach. And to do that properly God has to use candid testings, which involves that you yourself remain “blind” to the higher meaning in God’s actions here. But the overall fact is, as amply discussed throughout my blog, that SDA’s have caused Final Events and a soon Second Coming to be halted and delayed (=15MR 292.3-4) and now God will be working to accomplish His also included, Earthly temporal (indeterminate, but no longer than 1000 years) Millennium, all to give the best chance to all would be “servants of His” (Rev 7:1-3) a chance to hear His Gospel/Sabbatical message and be converted...then the end will come (Matt 24:14)

Relatedly, it is quite telling to me how your revelation variously involve the elements which were shown to EGW in regards to God’s worldwind Judgement of SDA’s, pointedly its wayward
Manifestly, to me, God is obviously inclusively trying to supply through you the present details of that 1908 SOP revelation, but, at the very least, you have been blinded, or, since God’s “Still Small Voice” is probably already trying to get to you, have just increasingly self-blinded yourself to that great truth...all so that you can be accepted and proven to be right.

As I present on my blog, I have seen 6 valid people which God has/had been using in some prophetic degree, namely, (not necessarily in any order): (1) Ernie Knoll|Ernie Bennett; (2) you; (3) Ron Beaulieu; (4) David Gates; (5) Steve Starman|“Uncle Bob”|J. Lynn Peter; (6) my father; and, like Judas, it is now self-evident, (indeed as clearly revealed by God in his own revelations)* that Eugene Shubert has egoistically forked off into the wrong road and has been trying to usurpingly complete deroute** this, actually Ezek 9:1-4ff SDA judgement movement of God, but all of these “valid 6", including you, are all falling short because of various indifferent views towards God’s actual Full Gospel work.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#eshubert
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/the-heretical-spurious-omega-three.html

But the fact remains that what really matters from you all is the original revelations that God has given you. If you all, through you indifferently stunted experience just can’t/won’t see the “fuller” light in them (1SM 25.4) then that is up to you.... God’s revealed word/Truth will still do the work it was intended to do (Isa 55:8-11).

James: I needed to resolve our falling out because of the burden on my heart.

NJK: You obviously see by now that it won’t be resolved if you insist that I just have to bow to everything you claim and say about. The simple fact is that I too have an experience with and from God and if what you think you have been told/shown/impressed about me and my experience is true, then it should be able to stand a thorough and objective testing. Like I said, I am seeing that you impressions in themselves may be true, however they are coloured by your own private comprehension of them. E.g., you think my “wounds” are sin-based and self-inflicted when my Biblical experience has clearly shown that they are according to the Zech 13:6-7 developments and purposes.

James: I also want to tell you how I believe God spoke to me to do so,

NJK: Great(?) Now clearly and objectively state just how you had come to that experience and belief..

James: but I do not want to sound condescending in the way I put this, because it is an error of my heart to react that way.
NJK: What is “condescending” and also the “error of your heart” is that you insist that people just need to take your word for these impressions of your without you going into the details as to exactly how you received them.

If you want some example of full detailing of experiences, dreams/visions and also impression, then seen the many ones which I have related and/or referenced on my Bio-Blog Post. [http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html) Now if I wanted people to just “believe in me” then there are many contextualizing details which I would withhold or embellish, even color in a better light, but that then would not have been the full or actual truth of these matters.

James: That being said I also cannot lie about what God impressed me for you either.

NJK: You actually can if you refuse to state all of the candid details as to how you came to that impression/belief. Like I said to you before, the devil can easily whisper impressions into one’s mind, and as also repeatedly seen with Peter and the other disciples, these impressions can be borne out of their own refusal to heed all of what God/Jesus had already told them. So e.g., if you continue to refuse to heed what Jesus had said about doing all that is feasible to help people in need, then you can easily either be influenced by Satan himself into believing that anyone who is preaching that message ‘must be evil’, especially if, circularly enough, they do not agree with your view on things here, and/or such thoughts and impressions can well up from your own veiled heart/mind (2 Cor 3:7-18; Matt 12:33-34).

James: He showed me the wounds you have, that He wants to heal you from.

NJK: Again, just reading the claims you went on to make to try to substantiate this, and given your track record of coming to wrong conclusions from God’s original/valid revelation, all revolving on you not heeding Christ’s Full Gospel commission, then I can only see that you have, as typical with you, conflatingly mixed in your own views assumptions into God’s actual and original message here. Normatively I would just entirely reject such a biased “testimony”, but here I’ll instead view things according to the full teaching in God’s word and here likewise try to salvage God actual/original message from the tacked on private spins and colorings that you have either added to them, or most naturally can only get from them. I’ll do that detailing later in direct response to you added details in you follow up email, but succinctly restated here, all actual Biblical/Truth/Gospel evidence shows that these “wounds” are according to the Zech 13:6-7 development which is Spiritually link to God’s Shaking* Whirlwind Judgement of SDA’s** just as it had judge the First Century Jews and their apostate leadership when it was fulfilled in Christ.


And if you do not think that this approach of mine is valid or correct then you just need to show from the Bible and SOP how Christ’s Full Gospel and Sabbatical Message is not Biblical. It is 125
quite telling to me that you are doing exactly like most SDA’s who think that prophecies of God
trump truth, obedience and righteousness when the Biblical stipulations (Jer 18:1-10) and the
several historical developments are clear throughout God’s Israel, right down to the SDA Church
today, that God is instead concerned that His Will be done and is not at all backed into a corner
by any prophetic promise that He had made, particularly as He can, and indeed has, easily
Spiritually fulfill these prophecies/promises instead, and that on/with an entirely distinct group of
people...even just with/through one faithful person... (e.g., Exod 32:7-10ff|Num 14:11-12).

James: I have many wounds He is healing for me also,

NJK: So, like I suspected/said, you are determining what “wounds” are based on your personal
experience and understanding of your own “wounds”. So God (may have?) simply said
“wounds” and you assumed that they, like you, they must be “wounds” that are caused by various
personal failings, such as character defects, sin, “harboring unforgiveness” etc. That all reminds
me of when God had said “Sanctuary” in Dan 8:14 and William Miller erroneous assumed that
this must be the Earth....and the rest is ‘greatly disappointing History’, indeed just as it most
pertinently, similarly was with Jesus and His stubborn disciple who either misconstrued
everything that He would say in regards to His injunctively turned death vs. triumphant end,
and/or just ignored such statements which all led to their Gethsemane “scandalous”
disappointment (Matt 26:31)

James: but He showed me that you are wounded in a way that will take a lot of prayer,

NJK: Again, you are not saying exactly how you were “shown this” There surely/logically must
be some sort of tangible mind-imagery which (supposedly) “showed” you this...so why not
clearly relate what that was. Otherwise you may actually not have seen anything at all but just
subjectively assumed this.

Notwithstanding, I’ll assume that God actually “showed” you this...and say, also in the light of
my Full Gospel experience that: Jesus also was “wounded” starting from Gethsemane and then
also requested prayer, which was not necessarily ‘only for Him’ (DA 687.3), as I likewise do not
see this also being the “only for me” case here, but “prayer for also/especially you and others” so
that you all can stop falling to these “specially snareful” (EW 266-269) temptations of Satan
which variously lead you all to, in/at some crucial degree,* reject Christ’s Full Gospel message
mandate and indeed contribute to my actual “wounds”...As the SOP implies throughout the
“Gethsemane chapter in DA (if not explicitly stated elsewhere): ‘it was the indolence and
indifference of the disciples which hurt (="wounded") Christ the most in Gethsemane (cf. DA
693.1). Likewise for me, it is the mind-boggling indolence and indifference of seemingly
converted people which continue to cause the Full Gospel mandate of Christ to be downtrodden
and most literally, I myself am most burdenedly left to try to advance it...will e.g., SDA’s
themselves systematically abort “inconvenient” infants. And frankly all and any actual “wound”
that I may have all been because I have, even if, variously, all alone, chosen to do the work that
these murderously indolent and indifferent half-baked, Laodicean ones just complacently, smugly
and most “hateful” refuse to do, and most enragingly, while they continue to pompously
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whistle “We Have this Hope”. (Amos 5:18-24)

*e.g.,
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#superficialrighteousness

So, as Jesus relatedly said in John 12:27-31ff, such “passion-wise” voicing/revelation has actually come more for your own sake!!

**James: and I am supposed to talk to you like a brother.**

**NJK:** Again no indication from you just how you came to that understanding...but, again Spiritually viewed in the light of my Fully Biblical experience, and not your “letterly & Gospel-veiled” one (2 Cor 3:7-18), notice in Matt 12:46-50 who would actually be a “brother”, and “thus talk like a brother”, to me!! You of course could not begin to see things in that Full/Spiritual way and so just “naturally” (1 Cor 2:6-16) understood that statement. Clearly it cannot mean a “flesh and blood” brother, but only a Spiritual one, and thus it would only be valid if you and I actually had the same Christian faith here...but we just don’t and all because you are refusing to heed Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness Message and Mandate...so like Jesus said, we cannot in any other ways be actual brothers without that truth harmony. (cf. Amos 3:3).

So, and given the fact that I long have stated my Bible and SOP reasons why I do not see your views a valid, and you have just not answered them head on, the ball is in your court to begin to become a “brother” here. Just saying “Brother” in any appellation/addressing does not substantively make things so....

**James: It seemed like it should be as an older brother, though I do not know your age.**

**NJK:** Again you need to explain how that “seemed” to be the indication to you. For all I know that could just be your subjective spin/assumption of things, or even something that may have actually been shown to you. Again, you just cannot logically have an impression without something tangible, i.e., a voice or a mind image behind it. If you don’t have such tangible things, then it is just your own assumptions that you are mistaking as Divine impression.

I was born in 1974, and so, from your prior Blogger profile*, (which I see you have now switched to Google Plus**), where you had displayed your age, I had seen that I am a couple of years older than you.

* http://www.blogger.com/profile/02596001966406869902
** https://plus.google.com/105336100538645819591

But let’s notwithstandingly just go with that supposed “older brother” impression, however tangibly unsubstantiated on your part, an older brother typical should have more experience, knowledge wisdom than a younger one. Well if God is actually telling you to “rise” to that level,
than actually do so...I.e., not by pontifically doing so...but by tangibly demonstrating that you “know” better which starts not by you just obliviously stating what you believe, but by you being able to Biblically engage and disprove all that I have been advancing against your claims and views.....If you cannot do so, then you cannot accede to that Spiritually higher position/responsibility. So clearly God is actually “throwing down the gauntlet” to you here...so do, responsibly, ’lead if you can....If however all you can do is throw a tamper tantrum and stomp off whenever you claims cannot be proven or shown, especially exegetically, to be in full harmony with the Bible and SOP, then you are just self-proving that you are indeed, as I have said, the ‘doomed, Spiritually immature/elementary type’ of Isa 28:5-13, who indeed can no longer think or (righteously) function if they are not step by step told what to do,* which is why you, and other like you have no light or insight into this “prolonged time era”. (=the “moronic” virgins in Matt 25:1-13|RH, February 11, 1896 par. 6|COL 408.1-411.1ff) And so you (you all) surely cannot be entrusted to “lead” here/in these matters....

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

**James: He wants to take you out of yourself, and show you what you look like from His perspective,**

NJK: Again no tangible proof of how you understand this..and as you also state below, you are using yourself as the definer of what this means here. So, in the light of objectively valid or transparent “proof” from you, I also here view this according to what my Biblical, Full Gospel, Experience and Light has been in such regards.*

*See these posts for specific indications:
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision

I would indeed love for God to “take me out of myself”, and show me His “perspective” here (DA 111.2-112.4), understanding what that actually prophetically entails. (cf. e.g. Ezek 9:2b, 11* = EW 279.1-2**). That would/should greatly reassure me (cf. Luke 12:49-51) knowing that things will, one reformatory way or the other judgement executing way (Mal 4:6), ultimately gloriously and triumphantly work out (Dan 11:45).

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html#Dan1056Angel

(Given the difference that EGW knew between the state of being “revived” and that of being “reformed” (LDE 189.3*), I find it quite indicative that in EW 279.1 she “merely” says that ‘the living testimony had been “revived”’, as this could thus merely have involved that: “a renewal of spiritual life, a quickening of the powers of mind and heart, a resurrection from spiritual death” would have taken place but not necessarily a tangible “change in ideas and theories, habits and practices” and thus the full “good fruit of righteousness” cannot be brought forth then (LDE 189.3)).
Of course you may only think that these are fanciful spins on my part, but again, I have not seen from you either the proof of how you actually came to such beliefs, nor that you have an understanding of what Christ’s Full Gospel will is...and frankly, as involved with Peter (Matt 16:23), any claim which speaks against this light is not actually from God (Gal 1:6-11).

James: like He did to me. He showed me how flawed I am but in the most merciful way. He let me see myself from His perspective mixed with the mercy He feels for me.

NJK: Again, you are not the barometer by which even/especially Divine revelations are to be calibrated and interpreted from....(cf. Isa 8:20)

James: You are supposed to pray for this blessing, if you will accept it.

NJK: As with any other man, I do need mercy...but what God would then be able to “safely” (c.f. ~Heb 10:26-27|James 4:17) “reveal” to me about myself, i.e. in accordance with His Full Gospel/Sabbatical message; indeed as it could have been done in my very first vision*...but ‘mercifully resolutely was not’, is not at all what you are subjectively assuming that it should/could only be....

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision

Quite telling to me how this all can easily be done if, as I had actually been variously suggested right from the start of my ministry*, just ignore you all half-baked SDA’s and carry out my Elijah-punishment mission** instead, and stop, like Moses, “recursively interecessing” (Num 14:20-38|Rev 8:5). Who knows, I may very well (finally) fully do just that....just as soon as I have bandaged up all the ‘wounds I have gotten in the house of my “friends”’ Zech 13:6. Manifestly God now is itching to fully execute His Zech 13:7ff Shaking judgements,*** indeed especially now the time has been “officially” (John 9:41|Ezek 9:8-11) warranted****...especially given what He still can gloriously do. (Num 14:21|Rev 18)*****

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision
http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2009/10/testimony-to-church-part-1a.html#Elijah_flight
****
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#superficialrightness

James: He wants you to read this information that He showed me after the last time I talked to you before.
NJK: Well at the very least, (albeit again not knowing how you actually came to that understanding), the implication would be, as I have long indicated above, that this all is related to Christ’s Gethsemane experience which was not at all His fault, but due to that of other, including, in terms of lack of support through that ordeal, His own unconverted disciples...

James: It is supporting for the vision He gave me of the Red Heifer.

NJK: Again I am going to go by the Biblical understanding that I have done from your original understanding of the Red Heifer vision.

James: It is a sermon from Spurgeon called Gethsemane.

NJK: Again thanks for the sermon and I’ll eventually get around to, as EGW herself would do, “glean” from it valid light, because it did not take long for me while starting to read it to, by page 2 of its 11 pages, encounter the double obstacles which are the reason why I rather prefer to get insight and guidance from the SOP, as, exegesis wise, it is at best reflective of the subjective 19th century understandings and ‘insight’ wise, the best that Spurgeon can do, i.e. compared with EGW DA chapter called Gethsemane, is make suppositions vs. actually informed/knowing/authoritative statements.....

James: Take your time to read this over and over and pray before doing so and during and after, then meditate on the images within

NJK: ....So when I will have the time to do this painstaking scrutiny, I’ll resume reading/analysing it, for I nonetheless believe that some “precious gems” can indeed be gleaned from such works.

James: and you will begin to see what He showed me.

NJK: Again what God showed you is not necessarily what He has to show me, even if we are considering the same work. (See 1SM 25.4). Our experience and Biblical understandings are just not the same. Indeed many personally get different things from them reading the same Bible that most Believers also read.

James: Blessings in the name of Jesus…


James: Here it is… http://www.spurgeon.org/sermons/0493.htm

August 29, 2013
James: Yes Mrs. White even quotes directly from Spurgeon several times.

NJK: If you actually have a document which lists these direct quotes, I would like to see it to see exactly what she found “quasi-inspiredly valid” in his preaching/writing.

James: As for how I was impressed. I pray all the time, and when I pray with no distractions God has a direct connection to my mind.

NJK: I personally found out that if I was to have such “sterilized” circumstances in order to even “clearly hear from God” then I would not be getting anything done. In my own experience I have had not problem validly/provedly, actually (interactively) hearing, and that crystal clearly, from God in whatever situation that I am in, given that whatever I do actually is in some way related to my “Greater Works” ministry work.

James: He guides my thoughts and gives me impressions.

NJK: As I relate in my own experience in/from my bio-post* as well as with e.g., others mentioned in my “Testing”** post, God does work with anyone He is actually working with in such ways.

** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html

James: Remember how I was led to the Sabbath? While reading scripture at the end of a seven day fast I came to Matthew 12:8 and the text struck the perfect chord with what God wanted me to know. I saw light surround the words “Lord of the Sabbath” and that light filled my mind and made neural connections. Then I heard His voice say “this will lead you home to me” and I was led directly to the SDA church that day.

NJK: Not that’s the type of tangibly background/candid evidence that I would expect you to be saying in regards to your claims of impressions for me...not necessarily, as you say next, in their forceful/supernatural effectuation, but in just some actual tangible basis than just you declaring them. For, otherwise, like I said, your impressions here can easily be just your own thoughts and biased assumptions.

James: Many of the impressions I receive are similar but not always spectacular in scope, but I can say that He has never been wrong.

NJK: Like I said, and firstly I would technically agree in general that “God is indeed never wrong”, but in your case, Scripture, particularly exegetically ascertained Scripture has repeatedly shown that what you yourself deriving claim from your revelations and impressions has been wrong.
E.g., You had said that John Paul II would be bodily and embodiedly raised from the dead. And as seen in your later touchy responding to me pointing that out to you, it is because you know that this factual recounting is true. Indeed just by the fact that you completely changed you prior blog post on this self-reveals that you had previously (ala. William Miller & Dan 8:14) made erroneous claims and interpretation and I can easily point to you the many times where you had also claimed special guidance from God there from the March 13, 2013 print copy I have of it. (Also available in some predicting parts in SDA Forum threads:
http://clubadventist.com/forum/ubbthreads.php/topics/510215/Who_is_the_Anti_Christ_The_Ei
g.html
Print:
e/thread.html
)
E.g.: (James): “Benedict is leaving office so he must remain alive for a short time after allowing the eighth king to come. If there is a better conclusion it has not availed itself, but I am encouraged in this line of thought by the still small voice!”

Same thing with your present interpretation of your “Ultra-mountain” impression which I had already pointed out to you but you did not answer.

The ironic thing is that just as EGW revealed that God had purposefully allowed the error of William Miller to go unnoticed, including his Dan 8:14 “Sanctuary = Earth” error, all to variously “Shake” the professed believers back then, I also see that He is likewise allowing you to make those eisegetically and anecdotally based errors in your assuming interpretations of your dreams/vision and impressions, so that as you hit that Amos 7:7-9 “adamant wall”, you, and other like you, will of yourself/ves see that only both God’s fully carried out will as well as exegetically accurately arrived at interpretations and understandings will stand the test of Truth. And, as I say here*, God is variously working through you and the other 5 utilized genuine “SDA Prophets/prophetic groups” to hit that wall, as soon, and also as fast, as possible....As with Christ’s own stubborn disciples of old, some people just won’t learn the error of their ways until they hit such a wall.

twall

James: I heard God’s still small voice recently say, ‘others are speaking evil about you to your new pastor’ then the next day I found out he had heard bad reports about me that were all lies from people who I had never even met before. This was verified through a brother after I shared the impression to him and he got real quiet then confessed.

This type of impression happens all the time.

NJK: I also repeatedly have had similar experiences, way too many to recount (I stopped
informally counting at ca. 300, and that a long time ago), i.e., in God advancedly and/or preemptively variously revealing to me things which were forming or being done in relation to me “behind the scenes”.

Again I have no problem with your actual revelations and even impressions, but given your track record of jumping to incomplete, inaccurate and/or outright erroneous conclusions from those direct revelations, I cannot just take your words/views on things. Same ting actually happened with EGW in regards to her direct revelation in EW 149-153. As I discussed in here* it clearly revealed that the Plan of Salvation was set up only after Adam and Eve had sinned and the exegetical rendering of the Biblical texts which speak on that do easily substantiate that view, but she herself never held such a view “traditionally” claiming that the plan of salvation existed from Eternity. Likewise she had a contra-exegetical view of “God and the Future” (i.e. God “plans” and then executes the Future and does not “know” -Isa 46:9-11)....She likewise also had many revelations which have seem to many to be contrary to the Bible, but which exegesis has proven to be true.** And she has also had revelations which even SDA’s cannot openly, or at all, accept, all due to their own lack of proper Biblical studies on the issue, such as her speaking with her dead husband in a dream.***

*** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/theological-musings.html#martyrs

All this to say that it is only proper Biblical study which will determine (1) if any revelation or impression is actually from God and (2) what the actual message and meaning actually is.

James: The dreams have become a lot less frequent and the visions are taking their place, but the mentally audible impressions happen all the time.

NJK: I personally can indeed understand how mentally audible impressions do happen more frequently and “all the time”*, but I need to inquire here, to my knowledge, you have posted online accounts for ca. 6-7 visions or dreams that you have had...are you saying here that you have had much more such revelations than that, and that them (now) being mostly visions (i.e., wide awake trance revelations)....If so, then why have/are you not also publicly/openly posting their accounts online??

* See an example I had while engaged in a heated discussion on Maritime Online about the “Character of God”, I related here: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/character-of-god-controversy.html#plaguejudgment

James: Sometimes they are accompanied with the Spirit of Remembrance reminding me of scripture in perfect light to the impression.

NJK: I also have had such “Remembrance” voicings/impressions from God, including the one I relate here* (interestingly enough in part English, and keyly, partly in Hebrew) which literally
unlocked the “Biblical Interpretation of Daniel’s 70 Weeks”** on top of also confirming to me that God had not wanted for SDA’s to be “rewarded” with that light as I then had been hurriedly trying to do.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#fromthemowtsa

James: Shortly after being led the Sabbath and the SDA church in 1995 someone stole my bicycle and I got very sad and angry. [ .... ] I felt impressed, hearing God tell me to give her a bible and a Great Controversy.

NJK: Like I said, I not only had already read all of the examples of God’s leading and inspiring in your life, but I also do not have any problem not believing that he did guide you then. However that does not, nor has it ever meant for anyone that this automatically means that everything voice you hear must be from God. Each instance has to be tested to ascertain this. Such prophetic gifts do not turn anyone into an ex-cathedra Pope who can never say or do anything wrong. And like I said, the issue that I have with you is in how you yourself interpret those voices or signs that you get. In the human-Divine interplay in the prophetic gift, there is always a Free Will space which leave any statement of God open to the interpretation of the human receiver. It can only be by acting in faith that one will eventually see the full light in such revelations, and along the way they may come to several wrong interpretations of those messages. That is repeatedly seen in the Bible and SOP. If it wasn’t for that faith element, not only would Satan be (rightly) crying “not fair” but he too would then have the right to give such compelling signs to people, and of course to get them to conversely do something evil. So whatever sign, dream, revelation you may get, it still needs to be fully harmonized with the Word and Testimonies of God. If it cannot, then the Isa 8:20 stipulation applies.

James: I could literally share hundreds of these experiences, but since this one was the first that led me on a perfect journey for the glory of God and for the salvation of others, I am always impressed to use it as an example to the power of God’s word in my life. I still have and use the bicycle; it’s in my garage 18 years later.

NJK: It is somewhat comical that you are citing to me your instances of being led/inspired by God as proof that you are right in your claims here about me. But the fact is that for each and every example you have cited here, I could easily refer you to a similar experience that I had from God in my own life. (Most related in my bio-post* including my father having a vision** ca. 4 years before I was born which he saw was also/pointedly fulfilled in relation to what I told him in regards to my WBSC*** plans in 2000. My mom also had several dreams (some related in my bio-post, e.g., here****) also directly in relation to my full time ministry work and activities encouraging her and my family to support me, which by now has been at a cost of well over $300,000.) E.g, for this ‘founding experience with your lost bicycle’ I can easily cite my own ‘founding experience related here***** of God initiating me to be sensitive to His voice.’

My point is that it is not going to be the listing of prior signs by you which is going to convince me on your claims here. These have to be evaluated on their own merits head on. Indeed I could easily claim that my own prophetic experience should preclude everything that you can claim, especially if against me, but I don’t. Instead I test everything and if it in any non-contradicting way (can) aligns with the “FULL” testimony of God, then I accept it. So in that “FULLER” way, I have not had problems with the revelation/experiential claims from you, Ernie Knoll, Ron Beaulieu, David Gates and Steve Starman, amongst others, and in part with some of Eugene Shubert’s, (e.g. his “Lost Way”* dream -but in regards to this latter person, he clearly has had revelations from God Himself which shows that he has long embarked on a wrong course.) But with all of these people, including you, the main problem always occurs when shoddy or completely absent exegesis and/or prophetic hermeneutic is used to try to interpret and apply what they had originally seen. You all have the same mind set which is that you can know what God intended in those revelations even if you ignore certain parts of the Bible and SOP, and that repeatedly has to do with Christ Full Gospel/Sabbatical/Righteousness Mandate. Like I said, I have now seen that God is variously using you all to test the hearts of SDA who also share the same beliefs as you all do, and thus really have no substantive excuse for not accepting you or your message, but God is also effectuating the Ezek 14:1-8 judgement of his people through your ministries and also refining you all in the process so that you will eventually learn, the hard way since you do not want to be instructed and moved by simple thus say the Lord (cf. EW 50.3), the lesson that nothing short of His perfect Right-Doing mandate will be acceptable to Him. (DA 825.4)

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#ShubertLostWay

James: Now you tell me, if I don’t speak out loud when I pray and these things are spoken directly to my mind in real time response to my unspoken prayers without anyone else hearing, is it possible these impressions are from the devil? NO WAY.

NJK: I never said that your revelations and impressions, thus far, were/are of the Devil. I just said that your interpretation of them are not always Biblical. Not everything you think is from God. God leaves everyone the freedom to interpret what he has impressed or inspired them with. Case in point, God did call and use William Miller, but his wrong interpretation due to deficient exegesis led him to a wrong application for the fulfilling event for the 2300 and God permitted this as a multi-faceted object lesson, -with the enduringly most valuable one being the lesson that even if people were led by God, that did not mean that they would then not have to be diligent.
Bible students. SDA Pioneers certainly applied that lesson and even when EGW began to have the SOP. You find the converse belief with Pentecostals who thing that the Spirit they feel experience allows them to not do certain things that they Bible says. So whatever you or anyone else claims, I myself will compare it with the Full, Spiritual Exegetical, and Hermeneutical Word of God to see if it applies. So simply stated here as an example, as I have been detailing, I am not seeing that you views on your interpretation of Rev 17 and even your take on the impressions which you are claiming to have had in relation to this, as involve the proper or full Biblical teaching because:

**Exegetically** - It is not what the Biblical text accurately lexically, grammatically and/or syntactically says

**Hermeneutical** - Definite hermeneutical (i.e., rules of (prophetic) interpretation) are not always properly or consistently followed.

**Spiritually** - The message does not align with all of what is Biblically involved in God’s Final testing as revealed also in the SOP.

**Full** - The “fuller” message of God Sabbath, =Christ’s Gospel and Righteousness are literally completely left out, even indifferently ignored. EGW is clear that the Sealed one of God will be preaching the Sabbath more “fully” (EW 85.2), which is a deeper understanding of the Sabbath Truth. (See how she so understood that expression “more fully” in e.g., EW 189.2; 45.1a)

So as your take/interpretation of your actually valid/genuine in themselves revelations and impression do not bring out a Biblically full and accurate message, then I cannot see it as being valid. At best it will only to be like the private/selective messages of the past which led to disappointments such as with Christ’s disciples at the Cross or William Miller, who likewise were keyly deficient in the chief interpretive and understanding categories stated above.

**James: They always lead to truth and they always bring others the truth, exposing sin or error in the church. I have hundreds of witnesses to these things because I have not been afraid to share the experiences.**

**NJK:** Again your past experiences are not default definers of your other/present ones. Each experience and revelation is to be tested on its own. E.g., in Matt 16:17 Jesus told Peter that God had spoken through him...then just a few moments later he said that he was then being a mouthpiece of Satan (Matt 16:23). No one is, nor becomes, infallible. Like I said, thus far I am seeing that you are having also here a wrong “take” about your impressions, which is why I was asking you for further details, (which I see you have given in your later email, albeit just for some claims). And what has also struck me here is that, and seeing ample example of this throughout the Bible and SOP, God has to adjust His communications to the type of character and psyche of the person he is trying to communicate something to. So e.g., he may relate to, and even from, someone, (e.g. David Gates*) in a certain way/manner but completely differently with someone else. Same thing is seen with Christ in the Bible, including with his own disciples. E.g., he could frankly tell Peter “you are a mouthpiece of Satan” because he knew Peter could take such a blunt reprimand, and probably needed such a stark warning, but he probably would not say this to e.g. the sensitive John if he had made the same mistake.
In your case, what I have most prominently seen from you, e.g., in your online discussions with people, and also with me, is that you are both very emotional and touchy and do have a short fuse and temper, hence I see your “son of thunder” self-characterization. But the fact is that God Himself has to (desperately) “work with” such character imperfections and deficiencies in even prophets which he calls and raises. E.g., Jonah was certainly not a model Israelite, nor were any of the Twelve disciples that Jesus chose, and that after a night of prayer (Luke 6:12-16|DA 291.4-292.1ff). But that manifestly was the best that God can find/call (cf. Isa 46:11) to work with/through then. So in your case, I see that God is allowing you to come to fancifully “natural” assumptions about the impressions you are getting about me, i.e., letting you think that I need help and that you can help me. But the fact is that it is you who needs to be in communication with me here so that you can get around to allowing the message of Christ’s Full Gospel message to take its rightful place in your ministry and experience. Like I said to you before, the mature Christian is not one who, like a Child, needs a specific instruction for their Parent for everything they do (=Isa 28:5-13), even if to make sure that they do what their parent wants, but one who has by then in their growing up has come to the point where they can know of themselves what the “right thing” to do is and how best to do it. So, as actually repeatedly seen in the initial calling of most people who God uses, including me, He presents it in a way that is most appropriate for the person to accept. Not that this is a lie, as God will make sure to also protect and provide for the person according to His will (e.g. Matt 4:19), but because he does not want the person to refuse accept for unnecessary excuses. And yet God actually also does mention in one explicit way or the implicit other, the, typically personally needed, flesh-crucifying “cost” that such an acceptance will have (e.g., Matt 8:19-22; Luke 18:22-23; John 21:18–22; Acts 9:13-16).

So I am sure that if you honestly look back on your claimed impressions from God about me and engaging me here, you should be able to find some subtle, or not so subtle, indication that this all would not actually turn out in the one-sided way (i.e., “you helping me”) as you, manifestly, naturally were/are assuming...And indeed, from my fully informed perspective, pointedly with me longing knowing that my “wounds” are in the imposed Zech 13:6-7 way and “Shaking-judgement”* purposes, I can easily, as indeed easily done, see the alternative meanings and implications in all of the original/genuine (i.e., before they are intertwined with your subjective/biased suppositions/assumptions) impressions you are saying that you have gotten about me.


So if/since you thinking that “your are helping” me is what would convince you to engage in such communications with me, then God allows you to believe this, and ‘according to your faith’. You however then/now still have the Free Will choice to make of how you will react to the Biblical Message of God’s Full Gospel/Sabbatical Truth, and that in a Spiritually mature way. E.g., when SDA pioneers were trying to determine what were Biblical teachings, even when they had EGW’s prophetic gift to confirmingly guide them, they tangibly knew that they first had to do what they knew was both right and what they could do to arrive at their best understandings on a topic....and then if they still could not see the Biblical truth, God would then guide them through the SOP. In your case, the message of Christ’s Gospel Truth is so clear in the
Gospels/Bible and SOP* that God actually does not need to supernaturally say anything more on this for one to know and understand what His will is. In fact, asking for such signs here would be the evil of presumptuously faithlessly “testing” God (e.g., Matt 4:5-7) and, in the light of such knowingly sinful disposition the Devil can then easily pretend to be God answering your prayers (=EW 56.1; EW 54.2’s “countenances shone with its glory” = Moses/True Christians (=2 Cor 3:7-18|PP 330.2) ‘Full Understanding of the God’s Law (Isa 58) and Christ’s Gospel (Matt 25:31-46)) such as telling you that ‘anyone who believes/teaches this is not of God/the Truth’. So will be the experience of the God’s sealed and delivered ones in the end (EW 286.1) who will have survived the Shaking** (EW 269-273).


James: I do not claim to be on the level of a prophet from scripture but I also do not speak contrary to the word of God.

NJK: That is a interesting observation, but it substantively is not applicable, in the sense that the gift of prophecy is whatever it does. I.e., prophets in the Bible were not “inerrant/infallible” (e.g., Nathan 2 Sam 7:1-6ff). They were even of “like natures” than us. What they however knew to make sure to live by, was that they only authoritatively spoke what they knew as a fact was what God had either directly shown them and/or was in full harmony with His prior Law and testimonies. (Isa 8:20). That is why the prophet Paul himself would repeatedly preface some of the things he knew were not “by command/commission” from God by saying that these were rather statements “by permission”. My issue with you is having to unravel what are direct revelations/impressions from God with what you yourself are interpretively assuming about them since you are patently intertwining the two. So in the present case with your “wounds” revelations about me, I am clearly seeing that you yourself are assuming that they must be adversely speaking against me in some degree with the Full truth is that they confirm my Zech 13:6-7 imposed experience....but like I said, God has evidently allowed you to have this take so as to have such discussion s with me given the fact that you yourself are stubbornly refusing to accept His Full Sabbath/Gospel message and manifestly all because you cannot accept that time would be prolonged so that this testifying and healing work can properly be done. But here again, right from your own direct revelations, all that you have been consistently having are original revelations that God has both halted Final Events (Rev 7:1-3) and also that there is much work both individually in the Church and in the mission field to do. But like Christ’s disciples before the Cross who were only concerned about being the greatest in Christ’s Kingdom, you are choosing to ignore any message, even in you own revelations, which would not result in you being considered the “Final Events pinpointer prophet”. Again God cannot honor such egoistical mentalities, and like Christ’s disciples, He will have to let people who insist on ignoring these facts to “hit the wall” and learn their lesson the hard way. Indeed after their Great Disappointment, the Disciples humbled themselves and learn the lesson which Jesus had been trying to teach them in regards to the paramount Spiritual implications of His Kingdom.

Fact is, as is the similar case today, Jesus had started off his ministry by preaching that the Messianic Kingdom would be set up then (Matt 4:17; Mark 1:14-15), but as the people refused to
repent, and, as revealed in the SOP, at the instigation of the leaders, rejected Christ’s attempted final gathering started in Matt 10:23* against the 12 disciples who were sent then, and then confirmed in Luke 10:1-16ff against the “judgement” 70. He then had to preach about the Spiritual nature of his kingdom (John 6) and His death instead (e.g, Matt 18:22-23). But the disciples refuse to believe such ‘disheartening’ teachings. And so they set themselves up to have incorrect understanding and also a great disappointment. Likewise you, and other SDA contemporary prophets cannot accept the “prolonged time” judgement of God. Frankly only Ernie Knoll has had revelations that state that Jesus is coming soon, but these were evidently just like EGW’s “Food For Worms” striking revelation (1T 131.3) and was pointedly only to test the professions of SDA’s in this regard....they not only still rejected Knoll and his reformatory messages, but also have not done all that was required to ready themselves for a soon second Coming. So as stated in EW 38.2 = Rev 7:1-3, God has mercifully decided to hold back the winds and prolong time so that His Great Work can be done. (15MR 292.3-4)


**James:** What God shows me is perfect in light of the Spirit of Prophecy as shown to me. Some of my dreams and visions are exactly like the dreams of Mrs. White before I had ever read her writings, or in particular on that subject.

**NJK:** That is great and normative, but that still does not give you an inherent “Carte Blanche” for whatever else you may claim, pointedly on your “takes” on your distinctly genuine original revelations and impressions. Also any valid prophecy from God could easily have more than one application, all depending on the “appropriate time/period/era window” when they are fulfilled in. So e.g., Christ’s Olivet discourse literalistically meant something for First Century Believers (i.e., by 70|90AD), but when it also Historically applied (i.e, by 1844+ AD), it had varying applications for that Era. Now, in this fully advanced Eschatological age, it and also other Bible and SOP prophecies of the Bible also have a varying application. See e.g., my prophetic exposition on the Series of 7 in Revelation here:


So likewise either, you and other Contemporary SDA prophets may only be seeing on take for their revelations, but that does not mean it is the only one that can be arrived at. Indeed there are other applications for the Prolonged time Era that we are actually now in.

**James:** Brother Ronald, when reading your writings I am impressed to see the inconsistencies with the Spirit of Prophecy on many issues. In some areas you directly conflict with the heavenly inspired writings of the Spirit of Prophecy. Your sincerity is obvious also.

**NJK:** I don’t know just how you are meaning “impressed” here. I.e. it could be that “something” impressed you here or you impressed yourself by, as you say next “seeing” that what I said was,
as you think, in direct conflict with EGW. So such “impressions” would not necessarily have to be from a supernatural source. But here again, if God is using such impressions to get you to (finally) engage in a Biblical discussion on these issues with me, then so be it, for to me, and according to the Bible (Isa 8:20) the actual proof that something is wrong is in its fully and accurately studied out substance.

I can easily see where you think that I am at odds with EGW as being along the same lines which the Jewish leaders rejected the Spirit of the Law teachings of Christ. But I am certain that when you will consider the full testimony of the SOP, particularly its teachings on the Full Gospel of Christ and of the Sabbath, you will see for yourself how my views are all in harmony with also the Spirit of what was revealed to EGW. Again she has much counsel to neither make the final word and interpretation on anything, nor to not improve the light given to SDA Pioneers. My work has been doing that furthering and improving wherever applicable.

James: God didn’t show me what was wounding you; I was only shown what rings as untrue in what you print and impressed that these are as a result from the wounds you have gone through in the church.

NJK: Again, and succinctly said here, Biblically knowing and understanding what my (Zech 13:6-7) “wounds” are full all about, I can easily understand your impression here to mean instead that: I have these different understanding (which actually only “ring” untrue to you, i.e., according to your Biblical level of knowledge and experience), because of the divergent way that I indeed have long taken from SDA’s starting with my work on the 70 Weeks. And these may seem to be incorrect to you only because my “wounds” indeed have not allowed be to gather up the needed resources* to thoroughly and fully explain and present these issues, which, as related here, indeed added woundingly occurred in the Fall of 2000 when the NAD refused my request to set up this College of Biblical Research. So these thing merely ring false to you, indeed because of the wounds I have gotten from SDA and them not wanted to either help me or themselves do the required research work. So here too, you yourself can easily take/interpret these impressions the wrong way, i.e., them speaking against me, but that is actually not the factual/Biblical case. My “Theological Views” will be fully upheld by any proper Biblical testing.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2008/07/college-of-biblical-research-recruiting.html

James: This is what I was impressed, you are not healed from past experiences,

NJK: Indeed the indolence and lack of doing due Biblical Research work, pointedly by SDA’s is was is still “wounding” me, particularly as I have to try to operate by either doing them to some extent or being hindered from doing other things which require such painstaking and exhaustive works to first be completed

James: not fully forgiving others,

NJK: As in Matt 16:19, and according the Matt 18:15-18 process, and also according to what I
was shown and commissioned* (=John 20:21-23; cf. Isa 6:8-13|Matt 13:10:17 & Isa 11:3|John 7:24), I have seen no reason at all to “discharge” SDA’s of this crucial sin of indolence of their...so I am “holding it against them”...and it does “naturally” affect me because I then cannot either complete my Theology studies through them since I see it as completely worthless to pay for the deficient and inaccurate education that their Religion Departments and Seminaries provide, which is pointedly why I did not complete my studies at Andrews. (Thus: Matt 16:23!!)


James: and this is why you are not seeing everything in the correct light in His word.

NJK: Correction...that is the reason why I am not seeing things in both your, and other SDA’s, own “half-gospel” (2 Cor 3:7-18) “light” about the Bible and SOP!!

James: You do not fully accept the Spirit of Prophecy as directly inspired by the Holy Spirit

NJK: Yes I do....and just like EGW counsel in saying that the Bible itself was the final authority and not her, and most pertinently here, if you were actually/literally told that: ‘I do not accept “EGW” as directly inspired by the Holy Spirit’...that technically is true as I do not believe, as she herself said, that she had verbal inspiration for everything she said or wrote. And there are many instances in here writings which showed that she herself indeed made mistakes*....


James: because it contradicts what you have learned in light of your experience in the church.

NJK: ...but if you instead meant/were shown “SOP” here instead of “EGW”, then that too would be true, and indeed in the light of my experience in the Church, because, just as it occurred with Jesus Christ, and also due to His own experience in His Church then, I likewise see that many prophecies, thus from direct inspiration, given to EGW, will not be able to be literally fulfilled now, and with SDA’s, as EGW herself believed, and instead an alternative prophetic course will, and indeed has already started to be charted. Jesus had great problem on this similar point as, instead of preaching that Jerusalem would soon become God’s Triumphant Zion, he instead preached that it would be overrun by Roman Armies....and all because the Church had failed to walk in the full light of God’s Truth as revealed by Him in his ministry. (Luke 19:41-44: Matt 23:29-24:2ff). I have indeed have the same “experience” with the SDA Church and God has long revealed to me that He will judge this course.* So from this, I have indeed be shown and led to greater light than what SDA’s themselves know or can understand from the Bible, and unfortunately, like for the prophets Isaiah, Ezekiel, etc of old, that has naturally caused the prophecies of EGW to be affected.** E.g., her entirely Heavenly Millennium view is now replaced by an Earthly started and possibly full, one.

141
James: This is what God told me and this is why I have sympathy for you in light of the pain you have gone through because I have been there myself.

NJK: Again you are clearly just assumingly interpreting things according to your own experience/knowledge, but the actual facts of my case do not speak against me, my experience and my views when seen from the actual life and Biblical facts of my matters.

James: I am very grateful that God has spoken directly to my heart many times, but the responses I get from other brothers, including from you, has caused the greatest pain I have seen anyone go through in our day and age in the SDA faith.

NJK: Well I can easily say exactly the same thing. In fact I am seeing that your experience has not, like me, cost you things like a (happy) marriage, even marriage at all, paying work, a pulpit in the SDA Church, including you not being banned from SDA Forums such as MSDAOL when I was banned for doing much less than you (indeed their cited reason was like the claimed about Jesus in John 11:50 as if I was, by demanding factual truth, preventing discussion); (furthermore, I can easily assume that you do not have the unprecedented systematic complex hyper-invasion of my privacy from the State and Church that I have had to endure for now over 13 years, with even attempts of judicial remedy not (yet) being successful given the highly covert and advanced measures used*...You’d think I was the successor to Osama bin Laden...but I know it is just prophecy being fulfilled (Dan 11:7-8**) ...and the common reason for all of my hardships and opposition here, including from you, is that I insist on Full Truth and Right-Doing...but such things do not agree with the natural, selfishness-laced dispositions and characters of most people. So, as I am neither going to compromise Truth and Righteousness for anyone, nor literally dumb myself down in order to be accepted by anyone. So I can easily say that I have been variously suffering much more than you have. At best, I am seeing that you are merely being rejected, but unlike me, that is not physically detrimentally costing you like it is/has me. If I did not know better, I would say I would trade your situation for mine in a heartbeat....but I know better. (cf. Phil 1:21).

James: Even when I do not hear directly from God on a subject, if the issue is on something that I had clearly said was not directly inspired, if I speak as if I believe something and it is proven wrong; men say I must be wrong on everything that I claim was from God also. This is not biblical. Many people who have been blessed with the Spirit of Prophecy have
been wrong on different issues. It is part of our experiential walk of faith.

NJK: Well if you read my comments and critique of your revelations and claims, as for anyone else, I certainly do not engage in this indeed unbiblical way of throwing the baby out with the bath water. However you still have issues as to not being able to accept that they full testimony of Scripture and the SOP, as it aligns with that Full Bible testimony is to be the final arbitrator in any interpretational matter.

James: I tend to respond very angry at those who deal with me like you did.

NJK: I myself just cannot stand anyone who cannot be either factually or righteously responsible but still pompously claim to be speaking for God. And you have been manifesting both of those things by not allowing all facts to control what you, especially claim/interpret from your direct revelations, and also refuse to do whatever is right to help everyone who is in need as Jesus Christ unequivocally stated. You claim that your revelations endorse you in this course when the fact clearly is that it is your own dispositions which are miscolouring the message that God actually intended to fully mean. Like I said you all are naturally thus being permissively used in order to variously test the hearts of SDA’s (=Ezek 14:1-8).

You condition is perfectly reflected in Christ’s instruction to become “perfect/complete” (Matt 19:21; cf. James 2:14-26) in the parable of the Good Samaritan. There the Priest and the Levite “sanctimoniously” did not feel that they had a religious burden to mercifully help that person in need by (1) ignoring the fact that that person was not actually dead..but as Christ deliberately said only “half-dead” so there were actually no ceremonial uncleanness issues here as there would be if that was a corpse. And (2) they, knowing that he was not actually dead, still did not do the right thing here, as the Samaritan would later do. So likewise you have no factual or Righteousness (i.e. not from either the Bible and SOP) to not do whatever is righteously feasibly to help people in need but rather, all that I am seeing from you, and that just like SDA’s, is that what you rather care about is for Jesus to come back and save you all and thus prove you all to have been right no matter the fact that this will result in the doom of billions of people who would have been helped and saved by the heeding of Christ’s Full Gospel/Sabbatical message. Again any message which cannot fully incorporate this is not from God, and tellingly enough for me, in your case, and also that of other SDA prophets, it is not at all the direct revelations from God which are wrong but the tacked on private/subjective takes and claims to those genuine original revelations.

James: I hated the way you posted all that against me like I was deluded but also inspired.

NJK: Like I said I never said this “deluded but also inspired claim”...That is just your own take given the fact that you manifestly believe that everything you can claim on something must be according to God’s will, if not God’s direct statement itself. I can only state things as they are and I am not going to, indeed have not in my blog post, sugarcoat these things for anyone, pointedly because of the most crucial and pivotal including life and death issues here, and not only for the people giving these messages, but most importantly for the many people who are needlessly suffering and even Christless dying all because SDA’s want to proclaim various self-
interest, “live and let die”, non-sacrificial (16MR 34.1; 2SG 252.1; cf. 4SP 43.2) message. (=EW 36.2 = Ezek 34:1-22 = Matt 25:31-46). My love for these suffering ones is greater than that for any, thus “tare in the Church”, who, especially, indifferently, according to Babylon’s* entrenchedly “hateful” (Rev 18:2-3) Selfishness|Capitalism** wine, prefers to let them suffer. (Matt 18:6 = Rev 18:21ff) (=EW 266-269).


From our past discussions, I know you cannot hear this testimony...but that it most telling to me about you and your blinding half-understood Gospel level (2 Cor 3:7-18). Such a Laodicean* “half” character/attitude/disposition is surely not what will be used to Finish Christ’s Work.**


James: But now I am shown that it all will lead to good in my walk of faith, increasing my faith in God because of how He has blessed me through it.

NJK: Well you can be blessed if you allow this evidently God-contrived restarted communication with me to get you to heed Christ’s Full Gospel mandate....Frankly all that you can “lose” here is not being alive when Jesus returns...as if anyone will notice the time passing when they are dead...but the trade of is that literally billions of People would then have had a much greater chance to be saved by such self-sacrificing...The ironic things is that no one will be actually saved by knowingly/indifferently rejecting this mandate of Christ (Matt 25:31-46; DA 637.1; 825.4) and also if this full work is actually attempted now, it could easily itself soon lead into unravelling final events, indeed as they are fully supposed to happen, if people today would lawlessly rise against and oppose this Full Gospel movement...and you can bet that Western Capitalists certainly will oppose this Full Sabbath Light and Message.

James: I’ve seen more miracles and received so much proof that I am not forsaken, but blessed,

NJK: God does not forsake people....people instead naturally forsake God by persisting in not walking in His Full, advancing Third Angel Light. (EW 270.1; 271.1; LDE 209.3-210.1) Indeed, as discussed here*, it is the Third Angel’s Light which completes the Shaking in the SDA Church.


James: and in that I have to forgive.

NJK: In exhorting you to Factual/Biblical Truth and Righteousness and also rebuking you are
and evermore claim that your experience and revelations give you that prerogative (when it factually, actually/really is just your take and subjective interpretation of those genuine revelations)... I have not “offended” you in any way which would necessitate that I need to be “forgiven” by you. (PK 140.2-142.2)

And all you had to do if you were defending a Biblically or Factually True point, instead of “feeling offended”, is respond with the disproving facts, instead of vexatiously stomping off as you do. You thus wanted me to just accept what you were saying with any factual/Biblical reason to do so, and that I certain could not, and will not, do as this is how cults are created... Just ask e.g., the (surviving) “blind/indifferent” followers of David Koresh.*


Indeed the patent issue with you is that whenever someone asks you for all of the details about your claims, you throw a red-herring fit. That is most sequitur telling of someone who either did not have those objective concrete details and/or know the subjectiveness of the elements from which they had formed their claim and so would not want these to be exposed or tested. (John 3:20-21)

My advice to you... if you really want to be accepted... is to stop get all flustered and upset when anyone asks you for further info and facts... if you actually can help it. Sure it may take more work from you... but for our deceptive day and age, such objective evidence is crucially required.

**James: Soon I will not have to contend with false surmising any more, and I should be feeling the burden of sympathy for those who have persecuted me.**

**NJK: For me, if a message is not in harmony with, paramountly, the full testimony of Scripture, then I certainly do not “wait and see”... as Jesus said any such “False Christ” messages should not even be pondered. (Matt 24:26-27). The actual “Angel of Light” deception will be variously successful on those who reject the 144,000 sealing* Full Gospel message of Christ.**


And you can indeed do much to avoid much “surmising” about you and your claims if you are forthcoming in defaultry providing all of the related information about your claims of various degrees of revelation.

**James: This is my road to walk in Christ. This is why I reached out for reconciliation with you as we should for everyone who has harmed us before. To forgive so we may be forgiven. So no hard feelings OK?**

**NJK: I have not harmed you. By you choosing to not be forthcoming, responsible and/or responsive in regards to your claims you have hurt yourself, including your credibility because**
when you do not defaultly and transparently provide all the facts, when you then do so after people have expressed objections to your initial unsupported claims, then it is easy for anyone to assume that you are then just making up things to try to justify your initial claims. So it behooves you, for your own self, to be fully forthcoming will all of the details involved in your claims instead of having this naive expectation that people, including virtually total strangers should just take you at your word.

James: Peace.

NJK: Relatedly, partially applicable, in regards to your tacked on private interpretations: Ezek 13:1-5-16 = e.g., Isa 58:6-7, 12; Rev 3:1-6; 16:15...

James: It would be only fair that you print this along with the other personal correspondence you posted for everyone online to read don’t you think?

NJK: Like I said, I will be posting all such communications, and unlike you, I am not afraid of anything which may seem to be speaking against me, particularly as I know the actual facts and truth about myself, my case and my standing/relationship with God and Truth, but it is quite hypocritical for you to be pre-emptively admonishing me here because the track record of my attempted public/open discussion/communication interaction with you, i.e. on your blog, has patently been a recurring exercise in you ending up deleting everything that I have said...Which is why I have had to post the copies of them in that PDF transcript on my blog. Clearly it is you who has a problem whenever something adverse, which you just cannot answer, is said against you. That is not at all being honest, but rather manipulative, and which also is a “cultish-leader red flag”.

If you are really, entirely present truth, then it should be able to stand up against any public examination. It is a good thing, as EGW says, that the Bible/Gospels did not “delete” anything adverse to them. Eg., why would anyone trying to convert the world to Jesus, but for the sake of being most truthful, forthcomingly say that many prior followers of Jesus actually doubtful of Him when they saw Him resurrected!?? (Matt 28:17b)

Don’t be afraid of the Truth...even if it does not align with your preferred beliefs because in that case it is those beliefs which need to be calibrated and adjusted by that Truth.

August 29, 2013

James: Ronald, Out of everything said by you about me in the past, how you formulated your opinion about what God has shown me, the one thing that proves where you come from over and over is the fact that what you say in judgment, your interpretation of the message is contrary to the Spirit of prophecy.

NJK: For all that I have already read from you in attempted substantiation of this claim, this is all the view that you are coming to given the fact that you are not in full harmony with what the
Bible and SOP actually fundamentally teach. The “Law” = “Doctrines/Teachings” is what determines if prophecies and prophetic interpretations are valid and applicable. And Jer 18:1-10 shows that prophecies themselves can be halted or entirely annulled by God if his Law/Instructions are not followed. So just like Christians out there cannot see any light of actually truth in Daniel and Revelation just by them not keeping the 7th Day Sabbath, likewise people who rejected the Spirit of the Sabbath (Isa 58) will not understand God’s Full Prophetic Truth. Indeed, as stated in TM 116.1, only heeding that Christ imitating message will lead on to see greater things in prophecy.

So here, you are merely “judging” me according to you incomplete/half/deficient fundamental understandings of the Bible’s teachings. Prophecy does not trump Doctrinal teaching...it is rather squarely dependent on it.

James: You say and judge things according to an opinion that takes the gospel in a whole different direction from what the Seventh Day Adventist end time message is, and to me that is proof of your misinterpretation of scriptures.

NJK: That is so comical...Clearly you have no idea what the Gospel of Jesus Christ is Fully about. Just like you want me to read that Spurgeon sermon, well you should take whatever time is necessary to just read the following blog post* and its copious SOP references and also listen/watch the many sermons by SDAs** who are presently starting to see the Biblical light on this pivotal teaching. You are factually way behind the curve on this topic. Indeed even many SDA’s are way ahead of you in this regards.

** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness

Again you think that just because you are having revelations from God then that means that you have nothing more to learn, especially not from others. Well as an example even EGW, like you, had to learn the pivotal 1888 Truth and Medical Missionary work message from Jones & Waggoner and John Harvey Kellogg, respectively.

James: That is why I reserve my communications with you. You don’t just judge what my God tells me, you judge the message of the Spirit of Prophecy in general, and to me that makes the whole argument untenable.

NJK: First of all the God of the Bible is not just “your God”. If you think that God only can speak to you then you are on dangerous ground to say the least...and, relatedly if you really believe that what you are shown and claim is the absolute/only truth, then you should be able to defend it against any objection or opposition. You instead “retreating” to vexatious silence is actually objective proof that you actually just cannot defend what you claim to be the Truth. The objective fact of the matter is that your claims are Spiritually and Factually/Exegetically wanting and deficient and you thus arrive at claims which are actually not even in harmony with what you original revelations actually say/meant. Your interpretive track record is just you choosing to pick
and choose from the Bible, SOP and even your own revelation whatever agrees with your cherished beliefs and desires which most manifestly are you desperately wanting to be accepted and proven to be right, as well as being alive to soon see Jesus return...and as I said before from the Parable of the Good Samaritan (and do read COL 376-389), in that religio-self-interest pursuit, you sanctimoniously think that ignoring the plight of the poor is justified. My Bible and its God is clear...that just will not stand in the final reckoning. Do not confuse the mercy of God towards you while you are ignoring your duties here as some license that you cannot do any wrong. He just will not for you, or anyone to heed that message because that would defeat the whole underlying Christlike Love demonstration (=COL 69.1) that this message is to reveal to both the world and also to Satan who has been claiming from the start of the GC that no one will want to live like that. (cf. DA 20.1-21.3)

Conversely you refusing to heed that message, and moreover mindlessly thinking that a, now long ended, Capitalistic business smoothies venture has fulfilled it is what is Biblical untenable to me. Learn the object-lesson that God has wanted to show you in that enterprise, -just like he has similarly tried to do with other SDA’s*, trying to do God’s Full will through Capitalistic means just won’t deliver it. You then now have to choose if you are going to continue serving that Mammon or fully obey God and live according to his Biblical Socialistic principles...indeed as His faithful Israel were instructed to do and how the Apostolic Church did under Latter Rain blessing. That mandate will always be left to be fulfilled by a Free Will, loving decision by God’s professed people...and “WOE” (Rev 11:14**) to those who will resolutely choose not to.

* e.g., with and through David Gates:

James: Your “hard words” detail that you see the Sabbath message completely different and with a different tone in its interpretation than the inspired pioneers end time message, like your interpretation is the only valid one when there is a corporate interpretation that was guided by God in a movement foretold in prophecy. Do not confuse what I’m saying with today’s deluded view; I’m generalizing the Adventist message gold standard as what God showed the pioneers before any schism.

NJK: Again here, you are not aware of all of the things which EGW has said about the importance of the Sabbath in regards to the Latter Rain and the Third Angel’s Message. (See e.g, WM 23-63ff) It is just that she did not make the natural explicit link, as SDA’s are not incrementally doing, with the stipulations in the Final Message. But all of those elements can easily be gleaned from her writing as I have done/gathered on my blog post. And like I introductorially said here*, in 6T 17.1ff, she said/knew/understood that there was much more to learn about the Mark of the Beast...and that was after she had written the Great Controversy Scenario.

And the fact of many SDA preachers/leaders,* including at the GC level (e.g., Mission to the Cities, NY 13) who are gradually coming around this Truth of fully heeding Christ’s Gospel Mandate and are also now increasingly seeing its relation to final events is proof that this view is not my private one...It is just that I was ahead of you all in seeing the Biblical basis for that understanding. And if they truly walk in the advancing glory of that message, (instead of, as presently, artificially/superficially/Capitalistically curtailing it**) they will soon inevitably see how the Mark of the Beast*** understanding is affected by this. Like I said, you are the one, along likewise with pew-warming SDA’s which GC President Ted Wilson inclusively implicitly rightly chided at ASI 2013****, who are lagging behind that advancing Light here.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#superficialrighteousness

EGW was clear that we have to improve the light of our fathers (1T 262.1b; cf. 3SM 96.3) and also that we are not todefaultly be again New/Improved Light.*


And again ‘God’s prophecies/promises are upon condition of obedience’ and as the SDA Church has failed to live up to God’s expectation the actually many and alternatively applicable prophecies both against them and also in regards to God’s Greater Work are now to take effect. Only those who understand the Full Gospel/Righteousness message sin being done here will ever see this Light. (=Dan 12:3, 10)

James: And yes there are things that I had come to a supposition on that were not biblically complete as I said in my last email, but the whole point is that there are incomplete elements or unfulfilled prophecies that leave those interpretations open until the finality is exposed by God in His time.

NJK: Two points here:

(1) Just this indeed fact that you (almost seamlessly) mix in interpretive suppositions in your presentations should lead to not get all flustered when people question them, and/or ask for you to prove what you claim to be the interpretation.

(2) It is not Biblical to claim that God will be doing our prophetic interpretations for us. That was never the case in the SDA Church from the start except in situation of truly stumped Bible Student, a mystery concealed by God and/or a genuine lack of the needed knowledge and/or exegetical resources to do so. (E.g., LS 95-96). My own experience has shown, as was the case in SDA founding history that as prior light is heeded and followed then more light is naturally
discovered and that is most times simply from deeper Bible study.

**James:** There is a crescendo of fulfillments that lead to the perfect conclusion. I will not argue that I got the event wrong on the Eighth king of Rev 17, but in defense of the conclusion, there are, to me at least, obvious elements that were witnessed by the turn of events that prove that I was correct in the main points that I claim God told me. I am not saying I was right, I am saying God was right, and I came to some wrong conclusions based off the evidence.

**NJK:** And that’s my point exactly. You ‘come to some wrong conclusions based off the evidence’ which is why I both need to candidly see that evidence for myself and I make the Bible and SOP the final arbitrator and not any person’s claims or views. And I am seeing, as I already have written out and emailed to you, that you are still coming to wrong interpretive conclusion in your present Eight King view. Your view is fundamentally still not exegetically sound. The days of God overlooking the deficient exegesis of SDA ended a long time ago, indeed when SDA had the resources of higher religious education (i.e., Seminaries)

And you probably lost many people who may have been interested in your prophecies with your prior Eighth King wrong conclusion...so you hopefully can see for yourself how important it is to factually and accurately make your case in the first place.

**James:** To be succinct, we ARE in the time of the eighth king

**NJK:** If you read my interpretation of Rev 17 & 18* you would see that I also believe that, and also that Pope Francis started that era...but not at all for the reasons or scenario that you have. Pope Francis has launched the world into a course that will lead to the most deceptive, miracles performing “Angel of Light” delusion of thinking that Philanthro-Capitalism fulfills perfect righteousness.


**James:** ...of the revived papal government.

**NJK:** As EGW revealed in SpM 1.4 the daughter (the US) would, and indeed has by now, taken over the position of the mother (the RCC) so the political entity which will be leading the way in the Eighth King Deception is Capitalist America. The RCC will only be given that Philanthro-Capitalistic course a key moral endorsement.

**James:** Benedict fulfilled the seventh king prophecy in a way we couldn’t see before he retired from office.

**NJK:** Again your view is not seeing that the Bible fully and exegetically spoke of heads-king(domains)-mountain(s), So the 7 heads (for short) are not individuals but, collectively, leading anti-God “World Orders” in World History...starting with Historical Babylon. See my blog post:
Learning the lesson of Miller’s mistake in 1844, and other failed interpretations such as your prior Eighth King view, I certainly cannot ascribe to any interpretation which does not, and will not be exegetically responsible. What you are doing is advancing anecdotal views which are mainly based in a preconceived supposition of what the prophecy should be, and thus cannot meet all of the exegetical points stipulated in the Biblical text. Of course your supposition probably is what SDA Pioneers had believed...but both times have advanced since the days when such interpretations were correct, and like I said, as EGW herself understood, there would be a prophetic switch in the identity of the Mother/Woman in Rev 17...But God’s prophecy was presciently to speak till the end of time and the more time is prolonged the more fully/accurately such prophecies will be exegetically fulfilled. So you are actually presenting a view that is by now prophetically and exegetically passed. God now instead has a message which pointedly speaks to the actual far reaching, anti-Sabbatarian evils of our day which now squarely involve socio-economic issue.

It is quite telling to me of the truth of my view that I can easily still present my view from your valid original revelations and impressions.* And you, on the other hand cannot even incorporate even Christ’s own Full Gospel/Righteousness mandate and Spirit of the Law teaching into your prophetic claims...That is quite telling indeed to me that your view is, at the very least, “deficient”, in fact it is actually technically limited by the old, pre-1888 SDA Legalistic/Letter of the Law views, which SDA still mostly do expositionally have and which is why they can only see that the Mark of the Beast can only be Sunday Sacredness, while it now also involves adhering to worshipful anti-Isa 58-Sabbatical socio-economic tenets such as those dogmatically put forth by Capitalism. As EGW said, there was much more to be learn about the Mark of the Beast (6T 17.1ff)

* As, an example, as stated before, your “ultra-mountain” does not have to only mean a pope from beyond the mountains of Italy as understood by James White, but actually, i.e., for our prophetically advanced times, a Pope/Papacy who will Fulfilled its head-mountain-king(dom) role in an “ultra” fashion, i.e., in the extreme of what, as he has been defining the Papacy should always be...But like I said, Capitalists will not allow this to fully occur so the Papacy will inherently have to meet them have way and produce the deceptive Philantro-Capitalistic deception which many people, including SDA, as they already do, will hail as God’s ideal to fulfilling Christ’s Gospel mandate. The Truth here is actually Christ’s Sacrificial Biblical 50+% Socialism and not Philantro-Capitalisms ca. 10% excess and greatly self-benefitting “aiding”.

James: Now he “remains a short time” and this fulfills that portion of prophecy.

NJK: As the 7th head-mountain-king(dom) was actually the attempted New World Order of (Economic) Globalization which prominently was started around 1999 with the G-7/8 Summits, and is actually now substantively waning.... it has, compared to the previous 6 New World Order ideologies lasted only a short time. And will soon be formally replace by Global Systematic Philantro-Capitalism. Again only an interpretation today which can incorporate all exegetical elements in the Biblical text will be shown to be valid.
James: Doug Bachelor has a sermon saying that lightning striking the Vatican the moment after Benedict retired was significant and this is exactly what God told me,

Now I both watched and recalled that March 16, 2013 (New Pope, Old Prophecy) sermon* by Doug Batchelor and when you said this statement, it immediately struck me as being inaccurate because I recall my relief then when Doug did not actually find that lightning strike as ‘definitely significant’ as you comment here makes it sound.


For the objective record here is a transcript of what he had said [See video at: 04:28-05:22]

“I thought it was very interesting that the day that Pope Benedict made his announcement, not long after, thunderclouds moved over St. Peter’s Cathedral, and a photographer was taking pictures and he clearly took a picture of a lightning bolt that struck the Chapel. (And you’ve...first I saw this going around the internet and I thought: “Ahhh that’s Photoshopped, -that didn’t really happen...” ....but no, it did happen. It was reported on BBC.) Now isn’t that interesting. Now I don’t know what that means....I am not one for “signs and wonders”...But....kinds of makes you wonder if it’s some kind of an ominous harbinger that: we’re entering a new era.....Not sure....But uhhh...it’s unusual that...within a couple of hours of the Pope,... -first time in hundreds of years, resigning and searching for a new pope....lightning strikes St. Peter’s.”

So at best, he had, and that speculatively, unconvincedly so, said that it was “very interesting”...but not actually “significant”. That may sound like semantics to you but it makes a “significant” difference to me, particularly as he enjoined his disclaiming of this as ‘him not being one for “signs and wonder”’ whereas you effectively claim that it was a definitely a ‘sign from God’.

That said, if you recall in our email discussions then, I had said...and I quote from that February 14, 2013 email:

“P.S. Also seriously stated, I am surprised you didn't claim that lightning strike, which you evidently see as significant is related to Luke 10:18 (cf. Rev 12:12). i.e., Satan having fallen to this Earth, to the Basilica where JP II is entombed, to begin that final work of deception!??”

Because to me and my rigid exegetical and hermeneutical approach to interpreting Biblical prophecies, versus your eisegetical and anecdotal approach, that would actually be the understanding that is best supported by being rooted in substantively pertinent Biblical language instead of surface claims....(as you later “shallowly/superficially/proof-textly” do from a mere mention of “eagles” in Matt 24:28|Luke 17:37).

And indeed, this further pondered now from having given you a benefit of the doubt in your claim of a genuine impression from God about that lightning strike, I could actually now see and say that it may have indeed been “significant”...but in the Biblical/Exegetical vs.
Anecdotal/Eisegetical sense. Namely, as I had said in my prior comment, in that it revolved around, and thus signal a casting down of Satan from “heaven” as stated in Luke 10:18 and related to Rev 12:12. I don’t have time to discussed the multi-phased casting down of Satan in the Bible, but I’ll refer you to a good sermon series on this topic done by an SDA pastor named Kameron DeVasher (the son-in-law of Ted Wilson) entitled “Casting out the Devil” here:

http://muskegon22.adventistchurchconnect.org/article/6/sermon-archive
or here: http://www.discoverjesus.org/podcastlist.php
(And you relatedly need to hear his sermons involving True Righteousness which I linked to in here: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness)

To summarily state it here, Rev 12 depicts three casting out of Satan. The first was before the Creation of other worlds which started the GC. The Second occurred pointedly at, and gradually leading up to the Cross as EGW relates in and around DA 761.2 when, as discussed here* on Rev 12:4’s seemingly out of place comment, his 4000+ year concealed mask and disguise was torn away and all the angels, fallen and unfallen saw him as the actual murderously jealous liar that he was.


And yet there was to be a third, actually pre-Second casting down of Satan as, as the SOP reveals in DA 764.4 that the angels had refused to continue giving Satan a hearing in the courts of heaven due to the unmasking events at the Cross, but they still did not have a clear understanding that what he had been suggesting in an alternative to God’s 10C Law and “Law of Life” principle (=DA 20.1-21.3) was the only and/or best way that God’s Creation could live. As I had presented e.g., within here* and here** when I was on MSDAOL, I am seeing that, using a checklist approach in regards to the 10 Commandments, by the time of the flood, the angels could objectively see that at least the last 5-6 commandments were indeed non-arbitrary law (indeed as even sinful and atheistic men today also do to some (self-serving) extent). Then through inherently weak and small Israel needing the constant protection of God, the could have an idea how the first 3 commandments were also non-arbitrary given that God is, as discussed here*** indeed needed to constantly energetically sustain all things.


So by the time of the Advent of Christ and the Cross, they had enough tangible GC demonstration that up to 9 of God’s 10 Commandments were valid...and perhaps even the letter of the Law for the Sabbath in that worship was indeed inherently due to God because He had,
through God the Son, most generously and sacrificially* created all things. But there was still one aspect of the Law and Divine Principle which had actually not yet been fully, objectively demonstrated through man within this GC context to be inherently necessary and that is the presently prominently weighed Spiritual aspect of God’s Sabbath, which is directly related to His “Law of Life” where God wants things to operate in a Socialistic manner so that both rest for oneself and rest for others can become available for all. But Satan has had an opposite, Capitalistic plan, where he wants people to instead selfishly fend for themselves and let any weak ones who cannot keep up die. In fact he has probably been arguing that God should have created all beings with equal talents and abilities and not as they divergently are, indeed with him having a special, extraordinary talent of music, and has also been arguing that God has merely done this so that people will be forced to be dependent on each other. So he is arguing that people do not actually love each other, but that they are still just selfishly engaging others for their own self interest and so he has straightly proposed a Capitalistic approach instead where that self-interest would “less hypocritically” be done. So all of this time, and right up to our day, indeed as currently is the highly debated issue in world government and world order (e.g. the Western/North American: Capitalist/Conservative/Republican vs. Socialist/Liberal/Democrat socio-economic debates). So from the time of the cross, that really has been the final argument that has needed to be tangibly shown in our permittedly fallen world and its demonstrating GC, that this Spiritual Sabbatical Law and God’s Law of Life are also not arbitrary and also the best way that God’s creation can be ordered in order to fully thrive. Indeed there are many examples that Socialistic/Collaborative approaches has foundationally produced much more than Capitalistic/Competitive approaches for as seen in the complete collapse of Capitalism in the 1930's Great Depression, Capitalistic societies would have self-destructed a long time ago if it was not for greatly injected (i.e., up to 40-60%) Socialistic measures and “safety nets”.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/theological-musings.html#begotten

So I am seeing that that lightning strike was probably “significant” as your claimed ‘impression from God’ then was because, to use the ambivalent comment by Batchelor here it was “an ominous harbinger that: we’re entering a new era”. And that new era is what I had seen that the exegetically interpret prophecy of Rev 17 & 18* had shown to be an New World Ordering Era of Philanthro-Capitalism, morally led by a reformed “ultra-Papacy”, as Pope Francis is doing, and, economically enjoined by a significantly economically humbled America/Western World (Rev 18:6-24). And that is what the Biblical Eighth Head Era that are indeed now in is all about.


So it is in this Final GC Era, when, now through the Full Gospel Work of the Church Triumphant* that Satan will substantively be cast done a Third and Finalizing time and that is what the Luke 10:18 implication would be indicating about that ‘Eighth New World Order’ “sign”.


154
James: so what I claimed that day was not a private interpretation,

NJK: Again, as typical from you, and punitively enough, it is your “interpretation” of that manifest “sign” that is indeed a private interpretation. Again it is quite telling to me that I can easily incorporate any of the original/genuine revelations and impressions that you are claiming to have into my Full Gospel views, and that through using sound and exegetical Biblical interpretation. At best, you can only come to your interpretations through shallow/surface and non-exegetical, anecdotal conjecturing. Like I said, that approach which may have been acceptable before in EGW’s time, is actually now passed for our prophetically, historically and knowledge/capability advanced day and age. Though my view actually is that if God had allowed Final Events to unravel in EGW’s Day, or even in the First Century AD, around the 70 AD destruction, all of these deeper and exegetical understandings would have come to be, supernaturally if necessary, acceleratedly implicated. Indeed as stated in Dan 12:4 when accurately translated...it is when/whenever God’s people will “seek to advance” i.e., towards doing His full will and Truths that “knowledge (into Bible prophecies) will be increased”.

James: someone else in our faith saw the significance of that event

NJK: Yes but, again, certainly not as “definitively” as you had made it sound...

James: and tied it together with the 7 kings of Rev 17,

NJK: Without watching the whole sermon again now, I do not recall to have actually seen that he made that direct connection...I.e., the lightning with the 7 kings of Rev 17 as you and others had literalistically, and erroneously, done.

James: but no one persecutes him for his reserved comments on the subject do they?

NJK: No...because it neither was a definitive comment and application as you had made and it was indeed “reserved”...which to me implies that you did understand that he was actually not at all make a “definite significance” statement here at all. To use the nuance of Paul: you had boldly made a “statement of (assumed) commission/commandment” (=prophecy); whereas Batchelor had actually not even gone on to make a definite “statement of permission” (personal opinion). If you are going to claim to make a prophecy in God’s name, then do expect both people to pester you about being most forthcoming and detailed about your claim and providing all of the available details so that it can be tested out, and, as per Deut 18:21-22 doe expect normative, at least questioning, backlash if what you have claimed does not unravel as you had “definitely, prophetically” said that it would. Don’t get upset, get responsively honest and factual instead...if you had really actually been fully hearing from God!!

But, self-evidently, what you had done, and sadly continue to do, is seamlessly, and also selectively, intertwine your own private assumptions and interpretations on what are “testedly” genuine revelations and impressions from God. To me that is God “purgingly” trying, through this repeated “adamant wall banging” (Amos 7:7-9), to get it ‘through your head’ that nothing but the proper and exegetical studying out of His Word will ever bring out the accurate and valid
interpretations of His variously revealed revelations.

Indeed your anecdotal and subjective/eisegetical interpreting “condition” is one that is quite typical with SDA’s. So here too God has been object-lessonly effectuating the Ezek 14:1-8 demonstrations through you, i.e., your tack on inaccurate, deficient and thus false/erroneous “interpretations” of distinctly valid revelations.

James: And I was looking for this before it happened, is that not significant?

NJK: Nope...as what you are claiming will happen is still not what the Bible exegetically meant would be happening when these prophecies are fulfilled.

James: It was revealed to me afterwards that what I was looking for had been fulfilled. But would I have seen it unless I was inspired to look?

NJK: Again, as long respondingly debunked by me on your current Eighth King View/Interpretations, your present views are still not exegetically sound/valid, and thus not Biblical. And keep in mind, James White and other SDA Pioneers had an incomplete interpretation of things at best. As I said, EGW knew that they had much more to know about final events, pointedly in regards to the MOB issue (6T 17.1ff). So they could easily have abandoned all of their prior incomplete and inaccurate views in the light of what fully unravelled final events would have revealed. Indeed John Harvey Kellogg correct view on Isa 58 and the pivotality of Medical Missionary Work, as endorsed and Enjoined by EGW (WM 23-63ff) would have, as they are doing today, injected a whole new, and thus far mission Theological View into Final Events understandings. So again, your (interpreting) views are actually only “half-baked” and “veiled” at best. (=2 Cor 3:7-18). When you likewise begin to accept the Everlasting Gospel truth, which was long revealed to Moses (PP 330.2) about the great/greater significance of the Spiritual aspects of God’s Law, including the Sabbath*, then these scales and that “veil” will be removed and you’ll see more clearly into their prophetic things having emulated the True, non-Self-interest, Sacrificial character of Christ (COL 383.3-384.2) and thus obediently put on His saving Righteousness (Matt 22:1-14; Rev 19:8-9; contra. 16:15); COL 69.1


James: Now the eighth king, Francis, is claiming John Paul II has been doing miracles from heaven.

NJK: That actually/“factually” is quite normative for/“from” any person that the Catholic Church is/has canonizing/ed*...i.e., “To be canonized a saint, at least two miracles must have been performed through the saint's intercession after his or her death (i.e., an additional miracle after that granting beatification)”...

* http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Canonization

James: Is this not an attempt by the Pope to make JPII look like a ghost doing things on
earth?

NJK: ...So claiming this about/for JPII is nothing “extraordinary”, and thus not actually “signally prophetic” as you assume. You are factually “interpretatively” in error here...thus, indeed, let alone Spiritually/Prophetically....

James: You are so hardened in your interpretation...

NJK: That is the most natural result of dealing with/in “concrete” exegetical elements, all founded on the rock solid truth and foundation of Christ’s Gospel Message (cf. Luke 6:46-49) instead of your, at best, subjective and anecdotal and inherently half/veiled-Gospel (2 Cor 3:7-18) knowledge, theology and understandings!!

James: ....that I was wrong

NJK: Indeed the Bible and words of Christ it/them -self/ves have firmly pegged you as having the wrong “interpretation” of both Bible prophecy as well as of your own valid/genuine original prophetic revelations and impressions from God.

James: that you will not concede there are elements in what I believed would be happening taking place right now.

NJK: I actually have been fundamentally conceding this, but/i.e., not according to your Biblically diverging/non-exegetical interpretations. Like copious shown above and also on my testing blog, your revelations, when rightly and exegetically interpreted dovetail perfectly with my Full Gospel/Righteousness message and views...

James: These are events in fulfillment of what God led me to conclude.

NJK: You actually have, and are still “concluding” wrong....You are most evidently confusing/conflating your private assuming thought to also be God’s own revelations. God’s object lesson and message to you here is clear...“signs and wonder” (Matt 16:1-4), nor Spiritual experiences (1 John 4:1), can ever take preeminence over the clearly expressed, and especially Law/Doctrinal messages of God, which include Christ Full Gospel message and mandate (Matt 12:38-40; Matt 25:41-46; RH, January 7, 1902 par. 11) = Ev 652.6-653.2

James: I thought the fulfillment would be different, but as of some time this October, the Catholic Church will recognize JPII as a saint and begin to worship him in a feast, claiming his guidance.

NJK: Not at all different/special for anyone that the Catholic Church has canonized.

James: That is significant when you think about it.
NJK: That “thinking” would only have to be in a “mind of factual, and thus spiritual, matter” way...which is inherently not valid nor tenable.

James: There are elements to this that have not been fully exposed but when they are fulfilled I will be ready to see them.

NJK: When one has erroneous prophetic interpretations, e.g., as most Christians do with the “Left Behind” scenario, then they are susceptible of being deceived by pointedly crafted deceptions of Satan (=2 Thess 2:9-12). So like I had said about your prior Eight King claim, I wouldn’t have been surprised if it had happened as you were claiming that it would in order to try to ‘deceive the elect’. That is what the Angel of Light deception will attempt to do (cf. The specially crafted “greed/selfishness/covetousness” one for Sabbath-keepers EW 266-269) So only conversely having ‘pleasure in Christ’s Full Righteousness’ (cf. 2 Thess 2:11) will keep people from walking into, and falling under, that deceptive spell.

You interpreted message, by, and that moreover, indifferently, not including Christ’s Full Gospel message, let alone not being exegetically rooted/sound. is thus most naturally itself a “False Christ and False Prophecy” and we are counselled not to even (go) “look” into/for these claims. (Matt 24:23-26). The Truth of God’s Prophecy will involve, and revolve around, the Full Right-doing works of Christ (Rev 19:8-9)

James: I am fully impressed that soon what I have been searching for will be shown as divinely inspired.

NJK: Again you are not giving the objective and factual details of how you have come to that “full impression”...but, assuming that impression to be true...and keeping in fact that up to now demonstrated fact that when your original revelations and impression as separated from your own added assumptions and shallow/eisegetical/subjective interpretations of them, they do find valid/confirming application...and that within the Full Sabbatical/Gospel Message of Christ, then I myself can easily see that within just this salvaging of your actual original revelations and impressions being done up to now...you have that demonstration/proof that what you actually had been genuinely, originally shown were themselves indeed divinely inspired. Again....as a prime example, William Miller’s ready of Dan 8:14 was his own “divinely inspired” part, but his incorrect interpretation, pointedly in claiming that the Earth was that “Sanctuary”, was itself not inspired.

James: But many people like those on Adventist online...

NJK: Just to be certain here: Do you actually mean the adventistonline.com forum (I am (still) a member), or rather the (currently called): “Maritime 2nd Advent Christian Believers OnLine” (MSDAOL) maritime-sda-online.com

James: ....have no desire to even see the fulfillments as they are taking place.

NJK: From what I had/have seen/read in your MSDAOL discussion, I see that you have been
having the same problems that you have had with me...I.e., whenever you make a claim and are asked to pointedly substantiate how you came to that “inspiration/impression”, rather than duly giving the supposed facts, you instead explode into a tirade of, effectively, how people just won’t simply take your word for it’ then as you have also repeatedly done, and are still doing with me, condemn everyone to Hell and that simply for not believing you. Read Matt 23 and you see that Jesus there did not condemn anyone to Hell’s Judgement for not having believed him...but rather for having done things which were Literally and/or Spiritually against the passed/written Law/Laws of God. I don’t see any example in the Bible of Prophets condemning people to Hell for not believing something which has not yet occurred, but instead duly for them not believing, in especially clear laws and teachings of God which they are transgressing.

Again, your typical/patent appeal to your passed, fulfilled experiences are not proof that what you are now claiming are themselves also true/from God. Everything is to be independently tested...and for my part, I have not found your passed or present prophetic interpretations claims to be Biblically sound. So that I why, I myself cannot accept them....

James: They reserve complete animosity against the message God showed me, and in this they are hardening their hearts against the fulfillment of prophecy.

NJK: ...I’ll however relatedly “give you” here the fact that, from what I have read, those people on MSDAOL had not been giving you factual/Biblical reasons why they did not believe your claims or views. So they effectively did indeed ‘just not want to believe you.’ But you cannot think/assume/confuse that I myself critically operate on the same base, subjective and whimsical level that they are. My objections to you are rooted in the fact that your views are not passing a Biblical or many times even Factual testing. And like I said, you have repeatedly chosen to ignore the clear revelation-ending indications in you dreams/visions that the Second Coming has been halted. You need to find out the Biblical reasons why....I.e., why as Rev 7:1-3&EW 38.2 indicate even Second-Coming proclaiming, Seventh-day Sabbath keepers themselves were not ready then (Hint: EW 36.2 = Ezek 34:1-22 = Matt 25:31-46).

James: So they expose themselves in judgment against me, I am being used to show their disbelief.

NJK: Indeed, like I have saying, you and other SDA prophets, or prophetically used people (i.e., those tested in my “Testing” blog post), namely Ernie Knoll, David Gates, Ron Beaulieu, Steve Starman, etc, are all being used according to the Ezek 14:1-8 prophetic judgement mandate, and are indeed variously candidly and concretely exposing the concealed and self-deceiving spurious and base hearts/dispositions of SDA’s (Gen 22:12; Deut 8:2; 13:1-3; Jer 17:9-10; 2 Chr 32:31)) who indeed have not been rejecting the various, actual Biblical “light” that you all have been providing to them for factual/valid reasons, but merely for completely Spiritually vacuous and spurious ones, most chiefly that of jealously that they themselves are not so used/blessed. So, again, you guys have actually been doing the work of the six men in Ezek 9, each with your own God-given implement of judgement and destruction in your hand.

James: The most confusing part about making sense of what God showed me is the fact
that the eighth king is also Satan appearing as an angel of light. There is definitely a duel application of scripture here.

NJK: Ironically enough, you yourself had actually, manifestly unwittingly resolved that seeming contradiction, indeed confirmingly enlightening me here, by your quoting on MSDAOL here* of SOP statements which clearly state that ‘the angel of light” deception will be done through the leadings of highly respected men; i.e., as you emphatically quoted: “through the children of disobedience who profess godliness.” (1T 341.1; =EW 263-269) Thus Satan will be most deceptively working through them...And that indeed makes perfect sense because SDA’s have long exposed his plans to himself appear as Christ...So it is most natural that he would find another way of doing this deception lest he actually convince many that SDA’s had been right if he would do it as they had be shown he could/would...That may have been the case in EGW’s days when there was not such a large distribution of her GC book, but my view is that for our day, when anyone can read her books online, he will instead find a more subtle way of doing this.


I see that Satan has already done a similar thing back in Eden when God had warned Adam and Eve that there was a Fallen Angel who would be trying to tempt them. So instead of appearing as an angel to them he managed to flatteringly beguile an animal in Eden, the snake (which is why God curse the snake and humbled it), and thus was able to “mediumly” hide himself in its body and thus succeed in tempting Eve without her defaulcy, or actually ever, (at least till it was too late) (1SP 40.3) realizing that this was the Fallen Angel that God had warned them about.

Likewise Satan also disguised himself as a good angel (a prayers-answer, “angel of light”) when he tried to tempt Jesus in the wilderness. (DA 118.2-3a)

And God’s Heavenly Intelligence purpose in prophetically exposing Satan’s plans is indeed to force Satan underground as him appearing as Christ now would be a much more powerful deception than him merely working through even highly respected (leading) men. (E.g, Pope Francis, Barack Obama, U-2’s Bono (and his Philanthro-capitalism advocacy)*...etc)

*Long presented by me in here as part of the Eighth King’s deception: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/biblical-prophetic-babylon-loud-cry.html

See my related comments posted on MSDAOL here* and here** which show from the SOP that the “Angel of Light”/Spiritualism*** deception will be done through a counterfeit righteousness (=not actual/full “right-doing”)

James: It is seen in the view of the seven heads being seven forms of government in the Roman beast.

NJK: The Bible actually spoke of 7 heads-kingdoms-mountains so it cannot be (1) a pope (2) from only one kingdom (3) which itself (i.e. 1 head) would need to have 7 mountains if it is going to be claimed to be “Rome’s 7 hills” Rather that woman (now Protestant America) will reign by incorporatively sitting atop of those chief, historical New World Order attempts.

James: After the head wound, the seventh form of Roman Government is revived into the eighth form that includes demonic apparitions and this is fulfilled when the seven heads also represent the seven last popes before the eighth and final pope, but this was impossible to see in light of the fulfilled prophecies to that date.

NJK: My view, as presented above does not have to, as you need to do, ignore the SOP stated view that this Eight/th-Head deception will itself squarely be done “through children of disobedience” ....particularly given the fact that, just a Satan used the serpent merely as a medium, he can and will likewise “speak through” these children of disobedience...particularly the highly respected ones and their flowery speeches while all the while, and while claiming to be Christians, they are deceptively leading millions of people into unrighteousness such as, e.g., accepting abortion, the homo-sexual lifestyle and Capitalism and/or the still ungodly/unbiblical Mixed-Economy Capitalism

James: Think about this Ronald; Francis is a Jesuit. The Jesuits claim to be ‘perinde ac cadaver’ as disciplined as a corpse. So in light of this, prophetically they have a CORPSE guiding their church.

NJK: That would be LOL funny, if it wasn’t actually greatly dangerous... That is all superficial and shallow conjecture... which is quite typical of you. There is no truth, or even deriveable factuality from such whimsical conjecturing.

James: Jesus said “Wherever the corpse is, there the eagles will gather.” And just before this, he says “remember Lot’s wife” and Mrs. White says he said that in reference to the end times when it is time to leave the cities.

NJK: That also is pure conjecturing and “proof-texting”. Christ had distinctly said “Remember Lot’s wife” 5 verses before in Luke 17:32 and had next explained what he meant by that as He said: “Whoever seeks to keep his life will lose it, and whoever loses his life will preserve it.” (Luke 17:33) His statement in Luke 17:37b was distinctly in direct response to the question of
the disciples of “Where will those who are taken be” Jesus answer was an indication that they would have been killed. (And it is actually thematically related to what occurs in Rev 19:17-22 and likewise responds to the question: “What will be the fate of that (anti-sabbatical) Mark of the Beast Camp.)

And with my own Theological/Prophetic views being that Rev 19:11-16 will now first involve a typological effectuation of the Second Coming of Christ in the 144,000/Church Triumphant’ temporal “7 horned/spirits little lamb kingdom of Christ”* (cf. Rev 17:14 = Rev 19:12, 16), I can see the typological significance of that question then, as it likewise asks...what will happen to those who do not join that temporal (NJK) Millennial Kingdom (cf. Rev 14:1-5; 15:1-2)...and the answer is that they will suffer the flesh-eating** typological effects of the ensuing plagues. (Rev 16; cf. Rev 17:1-2ff)***

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/biblical-prophetic-babylon-loud-cry.html#7horns
http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html#7spirits
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/the-144000-kingdom-number.html
** http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2011/10/biblical-prophetic-babylon-loud-cry.html#noflesh
*** “Typologically” discussed in here:

James: Now they have a Jesuit pope in fulfillment of this message and America will soon fulfill the image to the beast under this pope’s guidance.

NJK: To me, it Biblically is more that there is now a Pope who is making Catholicism much more appealing to both those in that Church and also those outside of it, and that by, pertinently enough (i.e., in the Angel of Light way), by himself being more Christlike and also by inherently, and really legacily, making the Papacy Office more Christlike...and soon, economically-humbled America will enjoining this type of compassionate/humanitarian work, but while insisting that Capitalistic means be used to fund such attempted/supposed systematic benevolence.

James: Remember the prophecy “when you see the abomination of desolation standing in the Holy Place”?

NJK: For whatever it may be informatively or confirmingly worth, from my studies on the 70 Weeks*, I have seen that this “AofD” expression is not that mystically and literally means “the most abhorring part of the desolation (of Jerusalem’s Temple, i.e. the Matt 23:38 one back in 31 A.D.) and that is indeed, as you say next, when, as Luke definingly says: ‘Jerusalem would be besieged by Roman Army Divisions (Luke 21:20)’


James: We are told that the roman army had an EAGLE as their standard that they carried before them to go to battle. The Holy precinct extended out beyond the walls of Jerusalem by a couple of hundred furlongs. When Rome carried their eagle standard into
that Holy precinct it was sign to leave the city.

NJK: That again is pure surface conjecture. There is no substantive linking between the vultures/eagles which Christ said would be gathering about those who will be destroyed and unburied (interestingly, just as was the state of Judah’s pre-revival and reformation army had been in Ezek 37:1-14*) at His Typological, and then Literal Second Coming effectuations, and what the Roman armies would be doing to God’s prior chosen people here.....

James: Now America has the symbol of the eagle, and we are crossing over the lines of the Holy precinct by declaring laws about gay marriage that are contrary to God’s law. This pope is giving his blessing on this point. THE EAGLES have gathered. Rome and America are the eagles.

NJK: ...Indeed you are completely mixing the prophetic stipulations here by now claiming that the “Eagles” of the Historical Ancient Roman Armies, and next America itself would be doing what the Eagles of Luke 17:37b, that will actually be called and charged by Christ (Rev 19:17-18ff) would be doing. That is an inherently untenable mixed-prophetic-methaphors.


James: REMEMBER LOT’S WIFE! Sodom was the city where homosexuality was legal.

NJK: Again that is just pure, subjectively whimsical, proof-texting conjecture...But I also chuckle at this common objection by people that “gay marriage” is some sort of an utter aberration limit when, if you think about it, given all of the sexual disease spreading that has been exponentially facilitated by the unhealthy behavior and promiscuousness of gay people, getting them to enter into legally covenantal, long term monogamous relationship, is actually a much better, public health safer, alternative instead of them running about and sleeping around. And it is the homosexual act itself which is the utter aberration to God...and not them now wanting to be formally married. Christian churches endorsing or performing such marriages are themselves abominable however.

And, as I had related said in this MSDAOL thread*, people/(US) beleivers have to rationally and objectively understand that, for a society which is supposed to have a Seperation of Church and State, and thus be a civil society, them democratically officially endorsing or allowing gay marriages is actually not a “final nail” as America is not, nor have ever been “God’s Kingdom or Nation”. If that was however done in God’s Israel, then that in itself would indeed be abominable....But here also the real sin, indeed as Paul says in Rom 1:26-27, a sin against the Natural Law of God, is the rampant lifestyle and practice of Homosexuality in America or any other world country. Having Gay marriage now is nothing worst than what has already been abominably going on for years.
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James: You think I was wrong in every way about the fulfillment of Rev 17,

NJK: Biblically speaking, and, as copiously shown above, in regards to your own takes on your genuine revelations and impressions....Yes!

James: I think God is just getting started in showing me the fulfillment.

NJK: Isa 8:20 is my “safeguard” (GC 593-602) versus your “private views/interpretation”!

James: You have no clue how to judge this situation because you are so far out in left field

NJK: Jesus is squarely in that “left” (i.e., Biblical Socialism) field and is leading the way...

James: you cannot see it any more...

NJK: What was relatedly revealed in EGW’s Eschatology is now to, for ushering in this prolonged time era, have Spiritual and Typological, versus merely literalistic ones...and she herself began to realize this as time likewise passed in her day with every postponement of possible Second Coming in her time, and as seen in 6T 17.1ff, she knew that much more would be added to what had been revealed to her about Final Events...Like I said, any view which does not, or crucially cannot, fully incorporate Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness message is not God’s own prophetic message, but “private interpretations” at best. Scripture must exegetically interpret Scripture and not subjective eisegetical conjectures.

James: and I am telling you to be humbled...

NJK: You need to humbly bow yourself down at the Cross of Christ and learn the self-sacrificial Lesson of the Cross and thus see how it is an integral part of the final gospel warning message, Character/Love revelation that is to be given as a global, national, living testimony to the world. (Matt 24:14)*...The sooner you do that...the better...and if you can’t do that...i.e., heed Jesus Christ and fully incorporate His gospel, then you really need to, especially from now on (John 9:41; Heb 10:26-31), start checking your inspiring Spirit (1 John 4:1)...


James: and stop you’re criticizing.

NJK: Just as long as you, or anyone else, keeps posting erroneous message, which you moreover claim are themselves from God, then I will continue my work of exposing them and rebuking its messenger...“I have not troubled Israel...” (PK 139.4-142.2)

And take the humble lesson from the Greats in the Bible: Paul confronted and opposed Peter to his face (Gal 2:11-14) and that because he was, pertinently enough, teaching a
different/Christ-Righteousness-diverging Gospel (Gal 1:6-7, 10-11) which Paul moreover saw would be a sort of “Angel of Light” deception (Gal 1:8-9), which Peter and other indeed knew better not to do (Gal 2:4-10; Acts 10; 15:6ff). But you do not then, or ever, hear Peter lashing back at Paul, or even trying to discredit Paul’s ministry by claiming some sort of Spiritual superiority because he had personally seen and been with Jesus while Paul only saw him in vision. Instead you later read from Peter that he considered Paul’s writings, ‘though hard to understand, and easily twisted by unlearned and unstable people’, to be on the same level as Scripture. (2 Pet 3:15b-16). In fact Peter also referred to Scripture and not any personal experience or revelation that he had had, as the authority for ascertaining what is the Gospel Truth (2 Pet 1:16-21).

Likewise from James, the half brother of Jesus no less, who was also implicatingly rebuked then by Paul (Gal 2:12) we also do not read a lashing missive condemning Paul to hell. And in actually a part where he had a point over Paul’s non-prominent emphasis of good works in James 2:14-26...he calmly makes his valid point straightly from Scripture and clearly there, he had learned the lesson from Paul that justification did not come from the (ceremonial) works of the Law (e.g, circumcision, sacrifices, ritual cleansings, etc).

And like I have said, I see that you and other SDA prophets alike have had this Ezek 14:1-8 testing and judging (=Ezek 9) prophetic message by God to pointedly SDA’s...to test what is really/actually in their hearts. I myself have been given revelations, as various expounded on throughout my blog and website into the establishment of God’s prolonged time Greater Work in the light of this “border of Canaan” failure by SDA’s here (=15MR 292-3-4 = Rev 7:1-3). So what is taking place here with you all vs. me, and our indeed different, but complimentary prophetic mandates is what can be called the Peter vs. John mandating. I.e., In John 21:15-19, 20-22 Jesus indicated that Peter and John would have different mandates. Peter would have a work to tangibly do immediately, which he did do in launching the Christian Church, primarily right amongst God’s Israel, starting at Pentecost (Acts 2)...but John had a different mandate which came to be through the prophecies of Revelation given through him. Both had their work to do, Peter much sooner that John’s and the two were not contradictory as God’s Spirit will not contradict itself.

But it was only because the work which God attempted to do through Peter had failed in being finished by the Apostolic/First Century Church, thus prevented the Second Coming from occurring then, around the 70 A.D. events as it could and should have (cf. Matt 24:29ff)*, that a new Historical era had to be allowed, which was from ca. 70-95 AD to 1844. And for that era new prophetic understanding of all, and especially God’s prior halted prophecies had to be done, and that was what John’s reserved calling and prophetic mandate came to be about.

So likewise today, I myself have been foundationally given, and have enjoiningly/confirmingly found, deeper prophetic stipulations and understandings all due to the present failure of SDA in finishing the work, and as shown to you (harvesting God’s harvest). Thus resulting in the halting and postponement of Final Events, as shown to you in the holding back of the Four Winds. So like I have emblematically, comparatively done in my “Unrolling of the Scroll”** as well as my “Primer on the Plagues”*** blog posts, God has indeed a deeper, Spiritual and Typological wave of Eschatological fulfilsments to now accomplish in regards to the necessary and heightened work that will have to be done in ordain to have the great living
testimony that He would like to fittingly give this increasingly a-religious and post-modern world.

* Cf. here on Day-Year prophecies implications:

James: So you go ahead with your judgments that I was so wrong

NJK: Those are most fitting, and deserving factual observations....

James: ...like many others have stated against me, especially in places like Adventists online.

NJK: Again, unlike them, I opposed you on a exegetical and substantive level...and it was you who decided to not engagingly respond to those concrete/factual points against you...and that obviously is because you had, and still have, no valid/Biblical/factual answer. Your “takes” on your genuine revelations and impressions are irreparably fatally flawed. And trying present a Biblical view from your preferred “half/veiled” Gospel context (2 Cor :7-18), is as futile as trying to wash your hands clean in/with a pool of motor oil.

James: I hope you investigate further and see, or you might be ashamed for taking a stance against me in this in the end,

NJK: I have always been doing the Biblical investigating (i.e., that which you have vexatiously chosen to, necessarily ignore instead) and are still, indeed even more subtly now from you, seeing that you are still off course...and so, so far, and quite Biblical forseable, never in the future...no fear at all. (Deut 18:21-22, cf. Deut 13:1-5). Like David Gates whom I have amply documented* (and he has much more than less,** learned his (hard/wall-banging) lesson...because he has variously/relatively humbled himself now) you too have veered off course by having tacked on unBiblical and presumptuous claims onto actual powerful Divine guidance, revelations and impression.


James: but I will be waiting for you to see in the end.

NJK: There is way too much work to do now in/through Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness mandate to sit around and “wait” for your false interpretations to be fulfilled. God has not given any prophetic message to wait around for...Instead there is great work to do before that, and a
truly valid message will actually be brought to fulfillment by such work. It is the Third Angel and Latter Rain work of the righteous which will organize and arraign the Mark of the Beast camp so that they can oppose and hopefully defeat them. (Rev 16:12-16). When the NJK Project becomes functional, then you will see the rest of the world coalesce into that ultimate Babylonian Power in order to try to oppose and defeat it.

James: If you don’t, then it may be that this will be a dividing point.

NJK: Actually, by you patently refusing to engage my critiquing Factually and Biblically, you are the one who is already causing a diving point....

James: But we will have to see wont we?

NJK: ...Let’s actually now see how you “responsibly” and competent (vs. your typical indifferently and emotionally/immaturely respond to this substantive, factual and head-on engaging attempt.

September 1, 2013

Alright, at this point in my responding to James Tierney, he has done the usual thing with him, and had an emotional breakdown and just flaked out of the discussion...not doubt bolstered by him, as patently usual, mishearing or misconstruing whatever his “voice(s)” may have said to him, and which (i.e., his Voice, i.e., God’s Voice) by now I have seen may very well be telling him such things, but in a double-entendre way where Tierney himself fanciful hears or understands whatever he actually wants to hear or understand from that voice. Indeed, as I had detailedly pointed out to him before from his clear revelations, he clearly ignores what they are actually stressingly indicating, in that they do not end with glorious scenes of the Second Coming, but rather various endpoints sometime before such an utter end even begins to unravel, and which all dovetail perfectly with my own “prolonged time” revelation and mandate.

So, a logically (still) due, and as I had been saying to him, (but he just could not accept, for some vacuous reason), I will now responding to the remainder of his emails which he has sent to me. (I will be referring to him by his proudly worn badge of him still being unconverted, as JSOT, which of course stands for James Son of Thunder). -[J-SOT also means a whole other applicable ‘non-bright’ thing to me, in regards to him, in one of my ethnic languages...but I keep whatever is left of that detail/point to myself. -OF course, JSOT would he most likely blusteringly retort with an appeal to his claimed ‘off the charts IQ’* but as I have likewise seen from people with comparative high Theological scholastic degrees, and as variously copiously demonstrated from JSOT, that sure does not translate into Spiritual Wisdom...in fact it is more likely to naturally lead away from pursuing (James 1:5) and attaining that ideal.]

August 29, 2013

Now I am being told to leave you alone again. I hope and pray you have your day of repentance before it is too late.

You have all the evidence that you need. I pray for you.

JT

September 1, 2013

James: Now I am being told to leave you alone again.

NJK: I had already, out of turn, injunctively responded to this sudden discusssional turn emailing from JSOT, and indeed, given the fact that some of my incurred ‘Dan 11 - SDA Civil Warring’* “wounds” are actually, damaged-health-wise, physical, and so, as it was indeed then, when I was trying to immediately respond to JSOT, ca. 1 hour beyond my bedtime and given the fact that when I get anywhere around being fatigue, I feel chest pressure, then I can see why God then told him to “leave me alone’. Clearly since JSOT later went on to communicate to me again, then God then did not mean/intend for him to not speak to me again...So, to me, God was clearly looking out for my knowing that I would be staying up to try to respond to JSOT. So I thank God for that break.... Of course JSOT, as usual, twistedly took that to meant that God wanted him to avoid communicating with me, ‘an agent of Satan’...well by now, in my previous exchanges with JSOT, it has become transparently clear that God has had to, given the quite manifest egoistical spirit of JSOT, literally “con” (i.e., from: ‘con-fidence’) him in order to get him to do anything in regards to me...i.e., like get him to communicate with me and/or stop doing so since all he would be doing with his patent vexatious and vacuous, insubstantive answers is waste my time and keep me from working on my other important tasks. So “whatever it takes” indeed. As seem in 1 Sam 16:1-5, when dealing with a similar pride-full, “Spiritually immature, emotional basketcase” who can’t accept that a “David” (cf. Ezek 34:22ff) is surpassing, and will be Lawfully supplanting, him, as was the case with King Saul, then God has no, vital-based, problem to, even construingly: ‘let them hear what-ever they want/need to hear’.


James: I hope and pray you have your day of repentance before it is too late.

NJK: Right... of course for JSOT that “repentance” will have to involve me just kneeling, indeed immediately, to whatever he says....Given that I am not the emotion hot-head that he is...that is ‘NEVER-EVER-EVER’ going to happen (Acts 17:11)....

James: You have all the evidence that you need.

NJK: Sure...and for JSOT that “evidence” was ‘because he had said so’....and certainly without
those background, circumstantial and candid details that I had asked for...and which, as seen in a
later email from him...he knew exactly what such information more detailedly was and involved.
So he clearly...for some reason, just does not want to provide such transparent info. So in that
case, the present, still self-evident, observations against him still stand which namely are that he
is just patently, fancifully misconstruing the (double-entendre) revelations, and especially distinct
and/or ensuing interpreting “impressions” that God is giving him... But that makes no difference
at all, as given the Biblically fuller and factual information on my part, I can indeed easily
harmonize all of his original revelations and impression with my Theological/Prophetic view and
revelations. I guess the only way for JSOT to prevent this is to now start to make up lying claims
and revelation...and there the overarching disproving benchmarking evidence against him will
continue to be the fact that his various “Spiritual” and/or Capitalism-deferential, derived views
do not allow for neither the acknowledgement or incorporation of the Full Sabbatical Gospel and
Righteousness of Jesus Christ*...and that in itself is “Balaamically Satanic”...being tainted with a
form of the chief of sins: selfishness leading to unrighteousness (2 Pet 2:15; cf. Rev 2:14)

...Interesting how Jude 1:11, most pertinently here, pegs false teachers, i.e., those who
like JSOT ‘twist the “Word(s) of God” to not say or mean what they actually are supposed to
mean’ as inclusively having the faults of Cain, Balaam and Korah. All three in some form did
not want to accept that God was blessing someone else that them or who/what they wanted to be
bless and so they ignored the evidence from God to instead think and therewith indifferently act
towards ‘doing their own thing’. Like I have repeatedly told James any message or interpretation
that cannot or will not incorporate the Full Gospel Message of Christ is most definitely Satanic in
its inspiration. (EW 56.1) Indeed, as repeatedly seen throughout these discussion, JSOT can’t
stand that he is the kid (most loosely said (Matt 12:50) here: “brother”, due to his insistent
unacceptable, unrighteous, unblest Christless offerings|positions|prophecies!!


James: I pray for you.

NJK: ....Sure... As I relatedly had said: just like the disciple “did” for Jesus...(Matt 26:40-41, 43,
45|DA 687.3)...and look how great that turned out for them (Mar 14:50)*


August 30, 2013

This is exactly why God told me to be wary of you. You attack.

I went to sleep after that last email that I sent and I have been working and sleeping ever
since. This is the first time I sat down to my computer after.

I hear God speak to me. I gave evidence of the validity of how the Still Small Voice speaks
to me in that HE led me directly to the Sabbath and gave me dreams and visions exactly
like the visions and dreams of Mrs. White before I ever read them. Were they written
before; of course, but had I read them; no I hadn’t. God has done miracles through His interaction with me.

Satan cannot read minds. I never pray out loud, so Satan could not answer my prayers in the perfect setting of my prayers could he? If you think that it is Satan speaking to me to lead me to the Sabbath and SDA church, then you do not know God or the truth. For you to even draw a conclusion like this based off what I had said means you have not read what was written with any kind of prayerful interaction. All of this information was in that last email, yet you still make me go through this? God was right, you are so blinded in your own thoughts you could not possibly hear the voice I hear brother.

I told you what God said about you. Do you want me to tell you where I was sitting the times I hear the conviction to try to work things out with you? Do you want me to tell you what sentence I was reading in your articles when He told me about your pain? I was reading your articles on me in the NJK project and another time when reading about your support of Ernie Knolls when I heard God tell me to have pity on you because you have been wounded by the SDA church and you refuse to forgive. WHAT ELSE DO YOU WANT TO KNOW? I tried to relate all of this in the detail God gave me as the proof. You should have had enough information in what I shared.

About the wall in Israel, like I said before, they had built segments of a small fence along the ‘green line’ inside Jerusalem, but they did not even begin to allocate funds for the 500 mile 26 foot high ‘Separation barrier’ until after John Paul II visited Israel in 2000. Then the "first continuous segment" of the barrier was built in October 2001, after 9/11 and my dream. I have done more research on this subject after my dream than anyone I know, I have talked with officials from the Israeli government and spoken to hundreds of people who have lived there, so you can act like you know more, but you should really pray about your godlike condescension when it comes to what God tells others. I was first inspired to even know to look after my dream, which taught me to look for it and its completion, and the proof that the wall was being built that really inspired me to research came over a month after my dream when I saw the news article on TV in October of 2001. The main point of the dream was to look for the completion which I have said Over and over. Then they will cry peace and safety. So you are wrong about when it began and I could prove it, but I will not take the time to argue with you in this any more.

For you to act like you know about my life is beyond any human interaction should allow. You need humility. All you seem to want to do is prove me wrong on every point, yet you don’t take the time to see the situation from the perspective of the man who God talked to on the subject.

September 3, 2013

James: This is exactly why God told me to be wary of you.
NJK: Of course this “deeming” by JSOT should straightforwardly be seen as him jumping to his own, fanciful conclusion here and not at all, as he typically ambiguously wants people to believe from/about him that ‘everything he may think and say defaulty, directly from God’...that is, until he is proven wrong...

My comprehensive observation of JSOT and his various claims of “God having told him something” is by now copiously clear enough to allow me to see and conclude here that God may have actually/indeed told him to “leave me alone” as he had priorly said, and as explained above: which was for my own benefit....and JSOT manifestly here is just subjectively restating that...and he indeed has “privately interpreted” this to mean ‘be wary of me’.

JSOT is well shown by now to patently not allow either direct revelation or empirical facts to get in the way of what he fancifully wants/prefers to believe. So this “subjective restatement” surmising is most likely the concrete case/development here....But be that as it may...let’s just assume that God did tell him to “be wary of me”, (And syntactically clearly to me his next added “You attack” statement is purely his own conclusion of what that “warning” should mean. I.e. God Himself did not state the reason why ‘he should be wary of me.’), using the John 13:27 principle where God will “testingly” say things to people to see, and most importantly help them see for themselves, where their heart really is, for their Jesus wanted Judas to know that he was well aware of this “betraying” plot he had been secretly planning (DA 654.4), well likewise he, and contrary to what JSOT has, like Judas, by now unrighteously (= un-right-doingly) conditioned himself to facts-ignoringly, conflatingly, believe from/about his revelation, God would have here indeed told him to “be careful” or literally “be cautious and prudent” was in regards to JSOT not doing the typical things which he had done before in our discussion which caused, ironically enough, him to throw a temper tantrum and vexatiously “stomp away” because he had not been willing to factually engage things...for God knew that I, rightly, would not let him weasel his way out of that responsibility....Which is psycho-Freudianly, tellingly why he has desperately tried in this present, now self-ended by him, discussion to “redeem” himself and now try to make it seem that he “himself” would not be accepting a discussion which does not engage his provided/claimed (supposed/purported) facts, and thus, used that as an excuse to also self-end this discussion...When the fact of the matter was, as I later indicated to him...I just had not yet examined fully/carefully the “proofs” that he had submitted and was actually just about to do so...But for JSOT and his childish/amateurish way of weighing things, him being factually right on this single point, as he subjectively adamantly needs to believe, would, somehow, mean that he is inerrant and infallible in whatever else that he may claim....That mentality only “convincingly worked” in certain Third Grade playgrounds.....Indeed this demeanor and behavior from him is all patently immature and psychologically unstable.

So as God manifestly actually, at least implyingly, warned him about...if he was not ready to humbly and logically/rationally engage head on what I would surely be requiring of him for such a discussion, then he would natural-mindedly, as before, self-end this discussion which is actually quite hazardous for/to him given the fact that with his obstinate Full Gospel/Righteousness rejecting ways...for he also unbiblically believes that if God wanted him to believe such tings, which are already clearly and unequivocally stated in the Bible and SOP, then God would somehow directly reveal this to his, manifestly, otherwise blind self...(and as he
typically here, when cornered on this issue, appeals to his now, manifestly, failingly-ended smoothies business, God then had object-lessonly showed him that a Capitalistic/business approach would not accomplish His Gospel will here), he indeed is in trouble of being wrathfully rejected by the Mark of the Beast-hating Lamb (Rev 14:9-11; DA 825.4). So God was actually “warning” him that...if he himself did not change his ways and approach, and that starting by paying detailed attention to what I fully and actually say in response to him, then I would inherently end up again “shooting him and his claims down”, which is something that his unlearning pride just cannot accept because his whole “value/worth” is in him “being, and that through “signs and wonders”, right” and not actually in his interpretations and claims being in full harmony with what the Bible and SOP actually say/teach...(which tellingly enough, as amply demonstrated thus far, is something that I myself can easily do from all of his genuine/original revelation and impressions).

James: You attack.

NJK: Well JSOT is here (really) deliberately-naturally mindlessly, and vexatiously necessarily defensively, mal-construingly confusing me requiring him to be properly responsive and factually engaged to me “attacking”. At the very least I am ‘rebuking’ and he here is just tellingly echoing the mindset of an “Ahab” vs. Elijah (PK 139.4-142.2)...Indeed JSOT is the one who ‘himself has troubled this discussion‘....But the direct context of his claim here is actually even more justified in terms of my sudden forcefulness in my questioning email given the fact that he had just effectively to me as he had similarly so done before that ‘he would not be continuing our discussion because God had told him that I was Satan.’...hence thus my facts requesting, “stern/rebuking” reasons-demand-questioning...

James: I went to sleep after that last email that I sent and I have been working and sleeping ever since. This is the first time I sat down to my computer after.

NJK: JSOT is here trying to play the gas-lighting trick of trying to make me think and feel that this turn of events is all my fault...Well apparently when he sleeps...his memory gets wiped clean...for, as stated above...he had in his last email to me told me in the same manner as before to ‘go to hell’...and here I just wanted to objectively/factually know why I would/should???

James: I hear God speak to me.

NJK: Great...So do I...and JSOT’s problem is that he cannot also READ God having long and clearly written to also him in the Bible and SOP. Indeed he is doing the Spiritually unstable things here of thinking that he is special and that his revelations are above the Bible...and this is what leads him to actually come to completely erroneous claims and interpretations from his direct revelations and impressions because he is not allowing, especially the (exegetically studied out) Bible, as well as the properly/balancedly studied SOP to contribute their foundational, guiding and interpreting Light to God’s here added Light. (Cf. Isa 8:20).

As I said...give an expensive vase to such Spiritual toddlers and it will surely end up cracked into pieces on the floor.
James: I gave evidence of the validity of how the Still Small Voice speaks to me in that HE led me directly to the Sabbath and gave me dreams and visions exactly like the visions and dreams of Mrs. White before I ever read them. Were they written before; of course, but had I read them; no I hadn’t. God has done miracles through His interaction with me.

NJK: Great...and like I said, these past experiences (logically) do not mean that the present, or any future ones are correct however you may interpret, or claim from/about them.

James: Satan cannot read minds. I never pray out loud, so Satan could not answer my prayers in the perfect setting of my prayers could he?

NJK: Like I already had responded to him...Satan can, and does, however suggest things to people which he well knows they are susceptible to believe and act upon...So only proper Biblical testing will be able to “discern the Spirits” here.

James: If you think that it is Satan speaking to me to lead me to the Sabbath and SDA church, then you do not know God or the truth.

NJK: “Objection!!!...Straw man claim/argument”.... ‘Objection Sustained’....

James: For you to even draw a conclusion like this based off what I had said means you have not read what was written

NJK: LOL. I have never said anything of the sort. The “closest” thing I came to saying something like that was about James conversion experience dream*, and moreover in the Divinely craftily included applicable Secondary/Wider application of that Prophetic Revelation ...which, ironically enough, James himself has evidently not properly read...manifestly blinded by a sudden rageful rush....in which I said that GOD has warningly shown him that he would assuagingly engage in an “enemy of my enemy” initial settling all to knock a woman off her pedestal...which was actually followed by him seeming to be all alone as another woman seemed to be palled up with the prior frenemy of his.


So I certainly did not at say, or mean that he had been led by Satan, but rather, and that right in his own revelations, as it was thus occurring right in that dream of his, by his own self-justifying and self-vindicating choices, had come to settle for/into whatever would accomplish whatever shortsighted task he wanted to do. And that is indeed how things have patently turned out for him, for he does resort to whatever means will merely seems to justify him and his claims, and indeed irrespective of what God’s actual Biblical/Exegetical revelation is. So that is how he came to indifferently ignore the fact that that circus MC (=the SDA Church) had just brought down Hellish fire from heaven and had had not objection to that MC helping him “daunt” that woman on a pedestal. Indeed now, as seen in these recent emails, he has “naturally” misconstrued his
direct impressions to mean that the SDA Church must be blanketly “forgiven” and that is the reason why I am having all of these “wounded” problems. All sounds like the rational of the Jewish leaders against Jesus at his mock/show trial.

**James: with any kind of prayerful interaction.**

**NJK:** There is “prayerful” interaction and then there is constant communication interaction. As my Theological studies have shown the more one works to do what is right...the less God has to supernaturally/specially reveal to them in order to help keep them on the right track. Indeed God’s mysterious and concealed prophetic revelations all depend on ‘right-doing’ to be deciphered and understood. (Dan 12:3, 10).

**James: All of this information was in that last email, yet you still make me go through this?**

**NJK:** Funny and telling...JSOT is absolutely convinced that his prior experience must mean that any of his future claims must be right...If that is the Biblical way of weighing such future discerning things then, the Prophet Samuel was completely wrong in saying that the once Divinely selected and anointed, and even prophetically empowered King Saul was wrong in what he did not do in regards to Agag and the Amelekites....It just does not work in that “Carte Blanche” way...and I am indeed certainly not going to just accept whatever JSOT may claim...just because he has claimed it...Indeed with his having most striking demonstrated that his interpretations of his revelations and impressions are most suspect at best, he certainly cannot be given any benefit of any doubt or lack of information in whatever he, and that conflatingly, claims about his revelations, impressions and experiences.

**James: God was right, you are so blinded in your own thoughts**

**NJK:** Well, all applicable things considered here, I highly doubt God ever meant, or perhaps even said anything along these lines. This indeed may just be JSOT conflating or confusing his own thoughts for God....Yet if God had said anything of the John 13:27 sort to JSOT, it most likely instead was/meant....’I am certain”Sealed” in my Theological Views that, to “get through” to me, he himself would have to be up to the required factual and exegetical challenge.

**James: you could not possibly hear the voice I hear brother.**

**NJK:** Surely indeed not since I have seen that a paramount part of that “voice”, i.e. the interpretative part, is merely JSOT’s own erroneous voice....

**James: I told you what God said about you.**

**NJK:** And me knowing what I know God knows about me, and has revealed to me, have clearly seen how he has merely conflatedly also related what he thinks any such original statement could only adversely mean.
James: Do you want me to tell you where I was sitting the times I hear the conviction to try to work things out with you? Do you want me to tell you what sentence I was reading in your articles when He told me about your pain?

NJK: No kidding...Finally were are going to get those “original and candid background details”...but JSOT of course pridefully needs to psychologically make it seem that he is in control here and not, finally, responding to what I had been requiring from him....Try those juvenile trick with someone who is on your Spiritual Immature level...

James: I was reading your articles on me in the NJK project

NJK: Now, since these articles are not “deferentially flattering” to him as he needs all comments to/about him to be, I would say that I just can’t see how his natural flesh would not well up inside him and him, as he patently does from more objective sources, think up his own views from those statements...but I know much better by now. And specific statements here, rather than a general ‘website/blog’ claim would have also been helpful...which leads me to believe that he had that “experience” a long time ago...Strange how he would not had brought that up before....

James: and another time when reading about your support of Ernie Knolls when I heard God tell me to have pity on you because you have been wounded by the SDA church and you refuse to forgive.

NJK: ...and “pity” for/towards me would involve actually understanding and engaging those “wounding” and “forgiveness” issues of mine...but as shown in the latest discussion here...James just cannot do that. Like the disciples of Christ, especially Judas, JSOT has just been misinterpreting everything which, again all Bible and SOP things considered, including from JSOT’s own revelations, God had said to fit their own self-sufficient, Gospel-ignoring agenda.

By the way...I “support” Ernie Knoll in exactly the same way that I “support” JSOT...as also one of those SDA “half” prophets...

James: WHAT ELSE DO YOU WANT TO KNOW?

NJK: ...All of the facts and/or the specific details of these facts...

James: I tried to relate all of this in the detail God gave me as the proof. You should have had enough information in what I shared.

NJK: Perhaps I do have what he can now best remember, which would be normal if this had occurred a while ago and he had then not made a written or mental note of specifics here....But as my comprehensive weighing has shown, at best JSOT has been mis-understanding and mis-interpreting things...indeed not allowing my actual facts, nor Biblical definers of such issues to determine the key issue of what is right/righteous and what is not in, especially my dealing/conflict with SDA’s. With JSOT being of the same entrenched Capitalistic mindset as
SDA’s then it is not at all surprising to me that he is indeed actually in the dark here.

**James:** About the wall in Israel, like I said before, they had built segments of a small fence along the ‘green line’ inside Jerusalem, but they did not even begin to allocate funds for the 500 mile 26 foot high ‘Separation barrier’ until after John Paul II visited Israel in 2000.

**NJK:** Well it does not matter what JSOT may claim here as the documented facts which I used in my blog post section on this issue clearly state and show that ‘the first part of this barrier, as slabs of concrete, was built starting in 1994’. Sure it was then not 26 feet high, but it was still that wall-barrier idea. My whole point against JSOT here is that he wants to make it seem that this wall idea had its Genesis only around the time of his dream...but it was actually started a long time before...so, like I said, God had at the very least, shown to JSOT something was was already in existence is some form. And a 8-foot high, 3-mile long wall can perspectively have the same impression as a higher and longer wall depending on one’s perspective...and JSOT does not actually state how high he was seeing that wall to be in his actual dream.

And there are such things in government as “unfunded projects”. So those escalated plans for now a 26 foot high wall may have been formulated long before they were finally green-lighted through (finally) “allocated funding”. Indeed a (responsible) government will not allocate funds to a project which has not thoroughly planned and pondered. So here too, God may simply have been showing to James sort of a virtual prototyping of what had long been planned, indeed gates and all, and all related to the 3-mile long, 8-foot high wall segment which already stood in Israel.

**James:** Then the "first continuous segment" of the barrier was built in October 2001, after 9/11 and my dream.

**NJK:** Sure it was...but that does not mean it was not drafted|planned long before that....and this is indeed my pivotal point here because James wants to use this “before it was built” revelation as a “signs and wonder” proof, when the truth is that those plans already existed before JSOT had that revelation. So at best, God did a basically typical thing in Biblical prophecy, and here vividly merely revealed what was (quasi-secretely) on the drawing board of another entity....and the whole purpose and point of that dream, which JSOT focuses very little upon, is that such then implemented plans would not bring about the sought for ‘World Peace and Safety’ with indeed the Israel-Palestinian conflict being the flashpoint of sustained Geo-political instability and military conflict in the world.

**James:** I have done more research on this subject after my dream than anyone I know, I have talked with officials from the Israeli government and spoken to hundreds of people who have lived there, so you can act like you know more, but you should really pray about your godlike condescension when it comes to what God tells others.

**NJK:** All that JSOT had evidently sought out and obtained confirmation of was the “allocation” of funds for that escalated wall after the time of his dream...but like I said, it surely was planned as such long before that time. That is a distinct inquiry that he should have looked into. Indeed
the 9/11 events may have been the impetus which let to that more complex project to be (finally) deemed necessary and worthwhile and thus funded...and it may be that as God saw these decisive movements begin towards retabling those long drafted/proposed plans that He went on to give that “War on Terror” related dream to JSOT.

James: I was first inspired to even know to look after my dream, which taught me to look for it and its completion, and the proof that the wall was being built that really inspired me to research came over a month after my dream when I saw the news article on TV in October of 2001. The main point of the dream was to look for the completion which I have said Over and over.

NJK: Not surprising that JSOT sees that ‘the main point of the dream is to look for the completion of that Wall revelation’ since it is such self-validating “sign and wonders” things that he himself is merely concerned about. For the non-basely minded who do not need such “signs and wonders” to be able to perceive and prove that a message from God had been given (cf. Matt 16:1-4), it is the “Spurious Peace and Safety” issue her which rather is the main/paramount point of that revelation, indeed as, as stated above, it is not that “wowing” to know that God evidently here just vividly represented that on-paper escalated plans for that long intended and started Wall-barrier in Israel.

James: Then they will cry peace and safety.

NJK: They actually have long started to aspirationally “Cry Peace and Safety” just by the green-lighting of that project which would supposedly bring about that end....But I get it now...JSOT, as least subconsciously, may think that here he has a specific timing for when the Second Coming will occur...i.e., some time soon after that wall is completed.....

James: So you are wrong about when it began

NJK: Again, it is not clear what height of wall JSOT was specifically seeing in his dream and if he was actually standing either very near, or even very far, from the wall, or both, then the already existing 8-foot high and 3-mile long wall could easily seem to conversely be, respectively, much longer (from a close perspective) and much higher (from a remote perspective) than it actually was. Indeed, beyond a few hundred feet of looking at a wall while close up, it may seem to run for miles even if it is only 3 miles....then, from a further distance, it is hard to tell the exact height of an object.

JSOT wants to focus on the “sign” of when that escalated wall was built, but, sign-wise, it was surely already, long planned.

James: and I could prove it, but I will not take the time to argue with you in this any more.

NJK: Since when is “proof” arguing. If you actually have “proof” then there is no need ofr argument...Just present it.
James: For you to act like you know about my life is beyond any human interaction should allow.

NJK: I could not care less about JSOT’s life...and I don’t recall indeed bothering to try to comment about his life....But most manifestly here he is actually naturally conflating the primary application of his revelation to only his personal life when they, as typical of God’s revelation have wider and ultimate implications and applications.

James: You need humility.

NJK: JSOT needs to limit the things of God merely to/around himself....indeed even thinking that he can ignore the Full Gospel/Righteousness mandate of Christ.

James: All you seem to want to do is prove me wrong on every point,

NJK: That is just the natural result from him having tacked on specious interpretations to his genuine revelations as repeatedly transparently seen about them.

James: yet you don’t take the time to see the situation from the perspective of the man who God talked to on the subject.

NJK: I have and I have seen that his perspective is indeed limiting “half” (=2 Cor 3:7-18), and so here, as with all the SDA, at best, half-prophets “tested” in that dedicated blog post, my effort to salvage those genuine original revelations. Indeed the gift of prophecy, the discerning of (prophetic) spirits and the interpretation of prophecy are all distinct gifts/capabilities (1 Cor 12:10, 30; 1 John 4:1) JSOT, like others, lack the Full Gospel/Righteousness “experience” to properly see into such, even his own, revelations. (1SM 25.4|TM 116.1).

August 30, 2013

Even Wikipedia states this;

“Following a Palestinian violence outbreak in 2002, Israel began construction of a barrier that would separate most of the West Bank from areas inside Israel.”

But they had begun building the separation barrier inside Jerusalem in October 2001. I know this by the news report I saw after my dream and speaking to people who were there and organizing the build. So why don’t you stop your shortling brother. You don’t know the truth so stop acting like you do. You should actually make a correction to your post about me on this subject but I will not hold my breath since you think you know everything.

You do realize that you are supporting lies in public when you speak against me like that
don’t you? That makes you a public liar. Are you sure you want to stand before God with that on your head against someone who was sent to help you? Think about it.

September 4, 2013

Even Wikipedia states this;

“Following a Palestinian violence outbreak in 2002, Israel began construction of a barrier that would separate most of the West Bank from areas inside Israel.”

NJK: That statement may pointedly be in relation to the West Bank in general, i.e. as decided whole. Other synonymous segments of such a wall barrier had already been erected in relation to other “flash/hots spots” in the land....

James: But they had begun building the separation barrier inside Jerusalem in October 2001. I know this by the news report I saw after my dream and speaking to people who were there and organizing the build.

NJK: Indeed, as JSOT states below: ‘inside Jerusalem itself’.

James: So why don’t you stop your chortling brother.

NJK: “Funny”...I do not find this “remotely funny” at all as this all involves misleading prophetic claims in the name of God....but JSOT must smugly believe that I must have that base/jealous/envious view of him....

...And I just don’t have a bigger pet peeve than someone vitricly attacking you and calling your “brother” as if that. Such superficialness do nothing to influence me at all... And as Jesus said, only those who are engaged in fully doing God’s will are “brothers”.

James: You don’t know the truth so stop acting like you do.

NJK: Yes I do, in much deepers ways that he can evidently fathom and/so who needs to “act” here....

Relatedly, it is quite telling to me of JSOT  prideful, temper issues here that he claims that he has had such proof for years but it is only know that he is even beginning to claim or present it.

James: You should actually make a correction to your post about me on this subject but I will not hold my breath since you think you know everything.

NJK: All things considered, as detailed above, I see no reason to make any changes to what I have said...it still stands that: ‘at the very least God showed JSOT a derived and/or plans-
representation of what actually already existed in some tangible or on paper form then. And the whole point of that statement was to diffuse JSOT’s basely desired, inconsequential, “signs and wonders’ personal validation-proofing here, and as he actually self-ended this discussion because I would not accept or comment on his claims here without first carefully examining them, then it is obvious that he here merely wants to be shown to be proven right. Sound like the likewise whimsically-offended, personal-reputation paramountly-concerned, Jonah to me here who thus indeed completely missed the paramount point of God in all of this. (Jon 4:9ff)...with the 9/11 adjacent indications being here that such issues will not be resolved or protected by any security measures, as will again not be the judgement reasons why God will bring about another “Second Woe” 9/11 Event.


James: You do realize that you are supporting lies in public when you speak against me like that don’t you? That makes you a public liar.

NJK: First of all, these are my own statement, so no “support” involved here and (2) there is no lying being done here. Just a presentation that the various facts are at best inconclusive of the “signs” and exclusively post 9/11-Events development that JSOT wants people to ‘just believe’... And of course the paramount egoticism here from JSOT is quite transparent, to the point that he can only think that anyone who does not agree with every and any thing which he may claim is entirely against him...Obviously he blindly just does not understand the “hold on to what is good” and not “blanketly everything” in the “Testing” imperative of 1 Thess 5:19-22. Manifestly God knew that prophets can, as Nathan did, come to assume that their own views are automatically God’s own...

JSOT indeed should pointedly understand from this “piecemeal” testing that each and every claim and statement that he makes are going to be evaluated on their own merits...and that would at least save him the time and effort of just restating his past experiences as proof that what he now has claimed is the, ironically enough, “Gospel” Truth...for it most crucially is indeed far from is at that level....

James: Are you sure you want to stand before God with that on your head

NJK: Sure...already am...and like I said, if such half-Gospel/half-baked are God’s ideal then I rather go and burn up in Hell than live in their God-murdering Eternal (Gen 3:24-26) Hell.

James: against someone who was sent to help you?

NJK: He doesn’t know/understand this but, as discussed above, it really is: ‘someone who God desperately tricked into trying to get to enter into a, moreover most engaging and responsive discussion with’ all to avoid JSOT doing as he has again done, which is immaturity stomping off when people did not just mindlessly swoon, moreover all that he had claimed. Sometimes God “electively” calls (sincere but wrong) people just to object-lessonly (1 Cor 1:26-29) and inclusively save those people from themselves...ask Paul....but albeit...all at their own risk of them learning their lesson along the way. (1 Cor 9:25-27)...but such are the variously
representative types which God now needs to fittingly judge SDA’s according to his Ezek 14:1-9 measures.

James: Think about it.

NJK: I long have....and not an “iota” to change (Matt 5:17-20)

**August 30, 2013**

Read this smart guy. You prove you are not led by God. God would not have you lie and attack me on subjects that you know nothing about. The article I saw was perfectly orchestrated on the EXACT day they began to build the infrastructure, installing the first segments along a highway inside Jerusalem, and stockpiling the 26 foot high segments, which is not mentioned here but it does say the separation barrier was not started until June of 2002. Are you going to retract your lies? I doubt it because you are motivated by Satan against me. Go ahead and keep spewing your lies see what that gets you Ronald.

http://www.btselem.org/separation_barrier/jerusalem

The government's plan calls for the separation barrier to surround East Jerusalem and detach it from the rest of the West Bank. The relevant decisions and approvals to begin construction were made in three principal stages:

In June 2002, as part of the decision in principle to build the whole separation barrier, the government approved Stage A, which included two sections north and south of Jerusalem. The northern section extends for some ten kilometers from the Ofer army base on the west to the Qalandiya checkpoint on the east. The southern section, also about ten kilometers in length, runs from the Tunnels Road on the west to Beit Sahur (south of Har Homa) on the east. The two sections were completed in July 2003.

In September 2003, the Political-Security Cabinet approved the barrier's route in the other areas around Jerusalem, except for the section near the Ma'aleh Adumim settlement. These approvals were made in the framework of construction of Stages 3 and 4 of the entire barrier. The approval related to three subsections. One section is seventeen kilometers long, extending from the eastern edge of Beit Sahur on the south to the eastern edge of al-'Eizariya on the north. The other section covers a distance of fourteen kilometers, from the southern edge of 'Anata to the Qalandiya checkpoint on the north. The third section is also fourteen kilometers and surrounds five villages northwest of Jerusalem (Bir-Nabala, al-Judeireh, al-Jib, Beit Hanina al-Balad, and Nebi Samuel), which are situated near the city's municipal border. Most of the barrier in these sections will be a wall. The progress in building these sections varies: some parts have been completed while in others work has not begun.
In February 2005, the Israeli government approved an entirely new route for the barrier following the High Court of Justice's decision in June 2004 that voided a section of the barrier on the grounds that it disproportionately harmed Palestinians in the area. The amended route made significant changes in various areas, but in the Jerusalem area the route largely remained as it was, except for an addition of forty kilometers that will surround the Ma'aleh Adumim settlement and settlements near it (Kfar Adumim, Anatot, Nofei Prat, and Qedar). The government did not, however, approve commencement of work on this section and conditioned confirmation on "further legal approval".

The dominant principle in setting the route in the Jerusalem area is to run the route along the city's municipal border. In 1967, Israel annexed into Jerusalem substantial parts of the West Bank, a total of some 70,000 dunams [17,500 acres]. Some 220,000 Palestinians now live in these annexed areas. There are two sections in which the barrier does not run along the municipal border. One is in the Kufr 'Abeq neighborhood. The other is in the area of the Shu'afat refugee camp. These are separated from the rest of the city by the barrier even though they lie within the city's jurisdictional area.

Palestinian towns and villages (Ramallah and Bethlehem, for example) are situated not far from Jerusalem's border. These communities are home to hundreds of thousands of Palestinians who have ties with Jerusalem. These ties with Jerusalem are especially close for residents of communities situated east of the city: a-Ram, Dahiyat al-Barid, Hizma, 'Anata, al-'Eizariya, Abu Dis, Sawahreh a-Sharqiya, and a-Sheikh Sa'ad (hereafter: "the suburbs"). The suburbs, with a population in excess of 100,000, are contiguous with the built-up area of neighborhoods inside Jerusalem. Until recently, the city's border had an inconsequential effect on the daily lives of the residents on both sides of the border.

Residents of the suburbs who carry Palestinian identity cards officially need permits to enter East Jerusalem, but many routinely enter without a permit. Running the barrier along the municipal border completely ignores the fabric of life that has evolved over the years, and threatens to destroy it altogether:

- In light of the housing shortage in East Jerusalem, over the years, tens of thousands of residents of East Jerusalem have moved to the suburbs. They still hold Israeli identity cards and receive many services in the city.
- Thousands of children living in the suburbs study in schools in East Jerusalem, and many children living in Jerusalem study in schools outside the city. Similar reciprocal relations, albeit on a lesser scale, occur in higher education.
- The suburbs do not have a single hospital. Most of the residents use hospitals and clinics in East Jerusalem. Women from the suburbs almost always give birth in Jerusalem hospitals because they would have to cross a staffed checkpoint (the "container" checkpoint and the Qalandiya checkpoint, respectively) to get to hospitals in Bethlehem and Ramallah, which may entail long delays.
- A large proportion of the workforce in the suburbs is employed in Jerusalem.
East and West. Shops, businesses, and factories in the suburbs rely on customers coming from Jerusalem. Many businesses have closed since construction on the barrier began.

Residents of East Jerusalem have close family and social relations with residents of the West Bank and with residents of the nearby communities in particular.

Israel contends that gates in the barriers will enable residents to cross from one side to the other and to maintain the existing fabric of life. However, experience regarding the operation of the gates in the northern West Bank section of the barrier raises grave doubts about the ability of the gates to provide a workable solution: crossing through the gate requires a permit, and many persons wanting to cross are listed as "prevented" for varied reasons; most of the gates are open only a few hours a day, far less than is needed to meet the residents' needs; residents must often wait a long time at the gates, sometimes because the gates do not open on time, and sometimes because of long lines.

Israeli officials state at every occasion that two considerations were instrumental in choosing the route: maintaining security and obstructing Palestinian life as little as possible. However, using the municipal border as the primary basis for determining the route is inconsistent with these two considerations. On the one hand, the route leaves more than 200,000 Palestinians, who identify with the struggle of their people, on the "Israeli" side of the barrier; on the other hand, the route separates Palestinians and curtails the existing fabric of life on both sides of the barrier.

The decision to run the barrier along the municipal border and the weak arguments given to explain that decision lead to the conclusion that the primary consideration was political: the unwillingness of the government to pay the political price for choosing a route that will contradict the myth, that "unified Jerusalem is the eternal capital of Israel."

B'Tselem believes that, in light of the way of life that has been created in large parts of the city since East Jerusalem was annexed by Israel in 1967, any security solution based on the unilateral construction of a physical barrier, including a barrier that runs along the Green Line, will severely violate human rights. Israel must meet its duty to protect its citizens and residents by other means - means which respect the human rights of all persons living in territory under its control.

September 4, 2013

James: Read this smart guy.

NJK: When the facts have said that such a wall was started in some related form since 1994, indications of a later escalated and expanded plan does not even involve “smarts” to rightly understand.....

James: You prove you are not led by God.
NJK: .....Nor does it certainly lead to this tangential and non-sequitur desperately wishful claim...

James: God would not have you lie and attack me on subjects that you know nothing about.

NJK: He certainly has not in leading me to focus on the substantive issue of that message which does not at all “natural-mindedly” shallowly, “signs and wonders”, revolve around the exact timing when the first concrete element of an (assumedly) specifically 26-foot high will was begun. Surely the building parts of that wall were not all manufactured and gathered on the very same day that field construction began...so, again, at best, God showed James a representation of what was long in planning and also already representatively in tangible existence.

James: The article I saw was perfectly orchestrated on the EXACT day they began to build the infrastructure, installing the first segments along a highway inside Jerusalem, and stockpiling the 26 foot high segments, which is not mentioned here but it does say the separation barrier was not started until June of 2002.

NJK: Not sure what this is suppose to mean as this itself article is dated to January 1, 2011....but that is just the natural consequent of JSOT variously choosing to effectively go into hiding instead of stable-mindedly staying around to be responsive to such ambiguous and unclear claims from him...but he probably thinks that such things are “gravy” since, according to him, people are to just readily accept whatever he claims...

.....And, substantively here, again much work was already done towards this wall barrier escalation....

James: Are you going to retract your lies?

NJK: No substantive reason to retract anything that I have comprehensively said on this issue...

James: I doubt it because you are motivated by Satan against me.

NJK: Yes of course JSOT...as you think of everyone who does not, literally “bow” down to your every word....There is indeed one who wants such blind worship and it is the same one who projectingly tried to claim that God Himself was like that....So do check your Spirit (1 John 4:1) for Satan is indeed intent of reproducing such a mindless Spirit and feeling/emotional control in people, from the antiChrist power, to spurious Christian emotionalism, pain or pleasure Capitalists...all so that he can lead them to reject the conscientious, sound-minded and thinking ways of God.

James: Go ahead and keep spewing your lies see what that gets you Ronald.

NJK: Well since I am not at all worried about you, nor your various lying claims, I just will dismiss this as a delusional claim...but that itself can be quite a dangerous state of mind,
particularly with people who also think they must know better than God/Jesus...just ask Judas....

James: http://www.btselem.org/separation_barrier/jerusalem

NJK: Interesting article, but it indeed does not disprove my substantively and Spiritually comprehensive observation about your claim.

August 30, 2013

So according to the official dates of the start of the build, my dream was almost 9 months before it began. So this makes you officially a liar, online attacking someone God gave a vision. What would you think about receiving a man such as you who attacks him, and being told by God to work things out?

You think your life has been hard. You aint seen nothing.

September 4, 2013

James: So according to the official dates of the start of the build, my dream was almost 9 months before it began.

NJK: I’ll let JSOT self-correct that claim later....

James: So this makes you officially a liar,

NJK: No lying involved, and again, if JSOT had knew of such evidence since 2001, then why didn’t he present it before...simple...because he saw that the evidence I had presented was indeed solid that this barrier had begun to take some related, tangible form since 1994....

James: online

NJK: What difference would that make??????

James: attacking someone God gave a vision.

NJK: Who’s attacking anyone here....Frankly James should be ashamed and concerned about himself for him having egoistically turned such gifting from God into a opportunity for him to Pontifically Lord it over people...now that also is the usurping Spirit of Satan (Ezek 28:15-17), indeed as e.g., reproduced in the self-interested Balaam...JSOT is increasingly following that downward spiralling path...with each added refusal for him to be most transparent in his claims.

And speaking of “attacking someone God gave a vision”: I would merely shallowly retorting say that this applies to him, but he, like many Gospel-indifferent, self-seeking and still completely
stumped others, actually just prefers not to dare substantively or engagingly say anything about
the various posted revelations which God has also given me...thus hoping that such “opposing”
testimony would go away....and all because, unlike me, they just cannot begin to see how all
these revelations are ultimately in perfect harmony with each other. But for such and any Gospel-
curtailing and/or Gospel-deficient ones, such light and insight is not for them to ever begin to get.
(Dan 12:3, 10). Their fate rather is just what likewise awaits all those who will also fail this
Shaking (Ezek 9).

James: What would you think about receiving a man such as you who attacks him, and
being told by God to work things out?

NJK: Actually I constantly do encounter such people, including him and his vacuous, but still
just the same, in fact, much worse, desperate indifferent ignoring and silence resort...

James: You think your life has been hard. You aint seen nothing.

NJK: I would also here say that I should just ignore this “threat” from JSOT as mere delusion
verbiage from him...but he actually claims to hear voices, and most crucially here, actually
misunderstands what those actual Divinely genuine voices are saying. So he is as dangerous as
Judas for he may hear God say what he said in John 13:27 and likewise fancifully think that this
is giving him a green light to execute his desired nefarious plot. So I am making note of such a
threat....because it most seriously can actually be convinced detrimentally acted upon by JSOT
himself.

August 31, 2013

You see what you do to me? You got me so upset I lost my temper, and I always make
mistakes when I lose my temper.

Please forgive me.

I meant to say the dream was given in October and the news segment about the
construction was at the end of November, but now that I re-looked at my information I
have in emails and correspondence, this was actually in the very beginning of December of
2001. I will have to make a correction on the blog. But it was only off by a week or two and
I wrote that by memory not by looking at the information I had been sitting on for years. I
knew it wasn’t more than two months between my dream and the news article so I deduced
the memory instead of looking at the evidence, but are you going to say I was not inspired
because I was off by a week quoting from memory?

The news article I saw in December of 2001 was not very supportive of the build; they said
it was to “segregate Isaac and Ishmael” and condemned the action, so I’m sure that even
the start of building the infrastructure was big news to them. But to me it was a sign from
God that the dream He had given me was true and this made me search for everything I could find on the subject, which back when it first began was practically nothing, until around June of 2002 when the internet blew up from articles about it, and by then I had already shared the dream with hundreds of people.

So now, tell me again how I was wrong?

This still means my dream was way before they started to actually build and supply the project and almost 8 months before they OFFICIALLY started to build the wall. The news article showed the FIRST 26’ segment going up.

The information you probably read was about the old Green line fence which was a simple barbed wire fence that only stretched for a few miles at the most prominent spots in Jerusalem and along the west bank. THERE WAS NO 26’ WALL BEFORE DECEMBER OF 2001! Period!

September 4, 2013

James: You see what you do to me? You got me so upset I lost my temper, and I always make mistakes when I lose my temper. Please forgive me.

NJK: Wow... JSOT much think/confuse me with a wife/spouse suffering from the battered-spouse syndrome. I did not, nor am not making you do ANYTHING. Take responsibility for you own deficient character and unruly temper. Seriously this sound so psychologically warped on so many levels that I actually am concerned about his wife who may long have been psychologically tamed into silent submission by him. Try that pathetic “apology” with someone who unlike me won’t here just tell promptly tell you to “GO OFF YOURSELF”...indeed read my bio-post when you have a chance....and you’ll repeatedly see that when “Crosses” me on any tangible level....I don’t forgive....I give them a taste of their own medicine and on my term to whatever, at the very least, matching degree. Obviously JSOT has dupely confused me trying to wrench facts, details and truth out of him (indeed as covertly as a Spy subtly extracts needed information from an unwitting source), with me enjoying his abusive temper tantrums...I am just concerned with salvagingly getting the Truth job completed...nothing more and nothing less, and indeed just as you insist to limit any chance of truth-based reconciliation here.

James: I meant to say the dream was given in October and the news segment about the construction was at the end of November, but now that I re-looked at my information I have in emails and correspondence, this was actually in the very beginning of December of 2001. I will have to make a correction on the blog. But it was only off by a week or two and I wrote that by memory not by looking at the information I had been sitting on for years. I knew it wasn’t more than two months between my dream and the news article so I deduced the memory instead of looking at the evidence, but are you going to say I was not inspired because I was off by a week quoting from memory?
NJK: Right, I knew that such a needlessly profused excusing was because of a fear of someone not thinking that he was inspired...Really how would even the erroneous claim that the wall was started in June 2002, (as actually all of the transparent evidence he has provided thus far state instead), be a reason to not believe that he had that vision before that date...Seems quite rational to me that this would further substantiate his claim of Inspiration than a November or December 2001 date.....

...But in any case...I don’t care whatever his specific date was...particularly as he seeks to gas-light me for his temper and error here...now such recurring and entrenchedly patent egoistical self-obliviousness/indifference as manifested by him in his various online discussion is indeed what (would) actually makes me seriously doubt that it is (at the very least “only”) the Spirit of God which is guiding him....There is just way too much “self” involved here, and most importantly at a level that he is just not conscious about, thus is still, or increasingly more, in a self-deluded/deceived carnal and unconverted state. (Gal 5:16-26)...Indeed, according to the murderous Spirit of Satan, he adamantly thinks that Capitalism is God’s will/ideal and that doing all that is feasible to help people in need is a message of Satan....This guy indeed still needs to Spiritually grow up in Christ (Eph 4:11-16; Gal 5:14).

James: The news article I saw in December of 2001 was not very supportive of the build; they said it was to “segregate Isaac and Ishmael” and condemned the action, so I’m sure that even the start of building the infrastructure was big news to them. But to me it was a sign from God that the dream He had given me was true and this made me search for everything I could find on the subject, which back when it first began was practically nothing, until around June of 2002 when the internet blew up from articles about it, and by then I had already shared the dream with hundreds of people.

So now, tell me again how I was wrong?

NJK: Sure...that, pun intended, “concrete” “segregating” had tangibly begun long before November/December of 2001....

James: This still means my dream was way before they started to actually build and supply the project and almost 8 months before they OFFICIALLY started to build the wall. The news article showed the FIRST 26’ segment going up.

NJK: “build”: only in regards to an escalated 26-foot high wall;
-“supply”: logistically most likely not;
-plan: documentedly, and realistically, certainly not.

That wall project did not conceptually nor tangibly spring up some time after JSOT’s revelation about it.

James: The information you probably read was about the old Green line fence which was a simple barbed wire fence that only stretched for a few miles at the most prominent spots in
Jerusalem and along the west bank.

NJK: No...you can read it for yourself: “The first section of the wall (as slabs of concrete contiguous for miles) that stood as a barrier was constructed during the Oslo accords negotiations in 1994. The section follows the border between Bat Hefer and Tulkarm communities.[24]”

[24] Nunez, Sandy. "Warring Communities Separated By Wall (June 6, 200?)
http://abcnews.go.com/WNT/Story?id=130307&page=1
* http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Israeli_Wall#History_and_stated_purpose

cf. This September 11, 2001 Seattle Newspaper article:
http://community.seattletimes.nwsource.com/archive/?date=20010911&slug=israeliwall11

James: THERE WAS NO 26’ WALL BEFORE DECEMBER OF 2001! Period!

NJK: ...There is no sufficient candid/original evidence from you recounting claim that only a 26-foot high wall was to be considered here. Again ‘that wall existed in some form before December of 2001’.

I had not been monitoring the changes to JSOT’s blog post until recently, so I do not know if he had always mentioned while commenting on his dream a 26-foot height...and there is actually no need to ask him that now since he has “taken steps” to manifestly not receiving my emails....(he would actually need to block-list all of my email addresses to successfully to whatever that supposedly aids his to do...) but I highly suspect that he made that passing, lone 26-foot height mention in his blog post after having read my “Testing” blog post comment which cited the 8-foot high wall prior building. Whatever the case, there indeed no conclusive candid/detailling proof from him that a specifically 26-foot high wall was intended here, and moreover in an out of the blue, “signs and wonders” where everything in relation to that wall only began to even begin to exist only after JSOT dream....Which telling is why he cannot engage the clearly noticeable statement that a 3-mile long concrete wall, and not a fence, existed in Israel before that time.

August 31, 2013

So no apologies or remarks?

August 31, 2013

James: So no apologies or remarks?

NJK: Like I had said, ‘I am working on a point-by-point response of all your (latest) emails...including your latest ones on your recent info on the wall dream....Response(s) coming soon. Take your time to read them....

Ronald
September 4, 2013

NJK: And like I now say...no valid reasons for an apology here...and do see my now completed “remarking” reasons why above.....

August 31, 2013

James: Quote from you;

“And last but not least for now, you claim that my view are wrong because you think they a complete at odds with EGW (and is telling and interesting that you do not say the Bible/God/Jesus instead...as if EGW was infallible or the last revelation that we were supposed to ever get and she herself would refer the Bible to you as the greatest authority and not her writings).”

I never point to her as the greatest authority, or the last revelation (which is absurd for you to be saying to someone who gets visions and dreams) but she was sent by God, and since she was sent by God, she IS a great authority from God. The lesser light pointing to the greater still makes her a light from GOD! So what she wrote under inspiration IS and has been proven inspired which makes it INFALLABLE because it was God speaking through her. So if your writings differ with her inspired writings then you better start explaining. You want me to start grilling you on all the points I find at odds with her inspired writings like you do to me?

Since 1844 very few have even come close to her blessing in the Spirit except maybe her husband James. Your writings are at complete odds with hers which are inspired so you must be wrong. Do you want to argue that you are MORE inspired than her? I would love to post that on your website. See how many Adventists fall into your trap then.

Now I’ll tell you why I believe the woman that John Saw in Rev 17 is a representative of the false visions of Mary. The Catholic Church calls Mary “the Mother of God” and the “Mother of the Church”, so when John is seeing a representative of Babylon, the MOTHER of harlots, which represents a church who worships Mary, I tied that together with John having taken care of Mary after the death of Jesus, so when he saw her apostate image it says he “wondered with great admiration” because of the Satanic representation of Mary which he was seeing in vision and it made him WONDER.

The only thing Mrs. White EVER said about the Marion apparitions directly was in {5MR 102}

“Satan inspired these men who claim to be Christ’s vicegerents upon earth. Prayers are offered to private saints in heaven for many favors. But these men are not in heaven. They lie in their graves until the coming of the Son of man in the clouds of heaven. Mary, the mother of our Lord, has not been raised. She is waiting the sound of the trump of God that
shall call the dead from their prison house. All the prayers offered to Mary fall to the ground. Mary’s ears have not yet been pierced by the sound of the trump of God. {5MR 102.3}

The question has been asked, Do you not believe that we should pray to the dead apostles and saints? No; for this would teach for doctrine not a “Thus saith the Lord,” but the “thus saith” of the man of sin, “the son of perdition; who opposeth himself above all that is called God or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God.”

—Manuscript 163, 1897, 8, 9. {5MR 103.1}

Mrs. White says a lot about devils appearing as deceased saints; would that not also include Mary? So if John was seeing a woman in vision that he ‘wondered with great admiration’ after, and the angel had to stop him and say “let me tell you of the woman”, I was drawing the conclusion based off of his experience with Mary and that he was seeing the future. So he was seeing the present day visions that are manifesting themselves in these LAST DAYS! Are they not embraced by the fallen church? Are they not a huge deception of Satan? It is Satan appearing as an angel of light to deceive the masses in the form of Mary, so I do not feel condemned for putting it that way.

And I find this very intriguing, that Satan waited until Mrs. White was dead until he presented the Marion apparitions. She died in 1915 and the apparitions occurred in Fatima in 1917. And this was right before the healing of the head wound of the Lateran treaty in 1929. So this whole time since the healing of the head wound, these last seven popes have been in the time of the satanic apparition period. So the third phase of Rome has been the Satanic Marion apparition phase recorded in Revelation 17, the same chapter John wandered with great admiration after the woman.

If you think I am deluded about this that is your problem. I have had hundreds of SDA people respond very positively by this comment. Savvy? You need to pray about your responses from now on, because you are using this wonderful tool as a weapon to do irrevocable damage to yourself in the ongoing judgment brother. You are warned.

September 4, 2013

James: Quote from you;

“And last but not least for now, you claim that my view are wrong because you think they a complete at odds with EGW (and is telling and interesting that you do not say the Bible/God/Jesus instead...as if EGW was infallible or the last revelation that we were supposed to ever get and she herself would refer the Bible to you as the greatest authority and not her writings).”
James: I never point to her as the greatest authority, or the last revelation

NJK: Right....Didn’t I just say that: ‘he only cited EGW as “definitive proof”, and not instead/also the Bible/God/Jesus!!! Indeed all he has been doing in these discussion is refusing to engage anything in the Bible, and also EGW which he cannot fit into his half-baked view (e.g., Matt 25:31-46; Isa 58; WM 23-63ff) That is telling to me of someone who just does not have a Biblical view, i.e., one that is according to the full counsel fo God, but a subjectively, selectively whimsical and fanciful one....No wonder he just cannot come to a correct interpretation for his genuine original revelations and impressions.

James: (which is absurd for you to be saying to someone who gets visions and dreams)

NJK: Huuuhhhhh!!!!!!!!??? Talk about absurd...whatever is that claim suppose to me, or prove????????!

James: but she was sent by God, and since she was sent by God, she IS a great authority from God.

NJK: Doubly correct...but, as she herself said in her last GC Session statement, not above what the Bible states and reveals. So if/when the word of God provides a more comprehensive course to follow that what was revealed to her, I myself will paramountly follow the Bible and allow her writing to fall in line with that course, wherever they may...and certainly not the other way around as JSOT is foundationally doing....Again EGW herself knew she did not have the full understandings of Final Events (6T 17.1ff)

James: The lesser light pointing to the greater still makes her a light from GOD!

NJK: Generously: half true, given the factual indifference here....: it still makes her a lesser light....

James: So what she wrote under inspiration IS and has been proven inspired which makes it INFALLABLE because it was God speaking through her.

NJK: Now one said it was erroneous...just that it was not the final possible revelation in regards to the Greater Light of the Bible...I.e., studies in Bible Prophecy can, and is, presenting a more comprehensive course than the, actually Emergency Rescue Plan B, injunctively revealed to EGW. Like it came to be the case due to failing OT Israel and prophecies in the OT which were meant to literalistically happen, prophecies given to EGW now will merely have a “half” and/or Spiritual application in this injunctive prolonged time (15MR 292.3-4)....all because the Gospel mandate of Christ was not heeded in time by SDA’s...But I don’t expect a person who refuses to obey Christ here to ever begin to get this.

James: So if your writings differ with her inspired writings then you better start explaining.
NJK: I have long been doing so on my blog and have consistently shown how what she was shown still will not be at the very least, Spiritually lost despite these prolonged time implications. Indeed in a post Earthly Millennium, utter end Scenario people may then be necessarily be fully Socialistic but someone may then conversely rise up to claim that observing the Letter of God’s Law, pointedly in regards tot he Seventh-day Sabbath, is not necessary..and thus, in that utter end, you would have the Mark of the Beast Scenario shown to EGW. Thus her writings would indeed have spoken in some then literalistic form to the very end of this GC. (=Isa 55:8-11)

James: You want me to start grilling you on all the points I find at odds with her inspired writings like you do to me?

NJK: Clearly you actually just don’t dare....and your gsa-lighting tactic here is not intimidating or fooling me.....And relatedly, you variously have needed to weasel your way out of a discussion which actually shows how you and your interpreting views are shown to be in error by her....

James: Since 1844 very few have even come close to her blessing in the Spirit except maybe her husband James.

NJK: Well since she was alive “since 1844”, and her husband died before her in the 1880's, and they lived in the same house full time...then I would not be surprised if he patently ran all of his ideas by her before making them public, and at the very least would get an “I have no specific light on such a view” from her e.g., as seen in James view on the first 5 Trumpet which does not stand to exegetical scrutiny, whereas EGW’s view which was according to the template for the GC book actually does....and tellingly enough to me, I came to that same conclusion as hers on those Trumpet merely from an exegetical study and exposition of them:


James: Your writings are at complete odds with hers which are inspired so you must be wrong.

NJK: Not at all..It is called progressive revelation which is not at all different from what you have been trying to do. The difference however between your attempts and mine is that your claims are quite shallow and subjective, while mine are exegetically rooted in the Bible and Spiritually harmonize perfectly with all of what had been revealed to EGW. In the end it will always be the most comprehensively Biblically accurate understanding and interpretation which will stand the test, particularly when it is, like my view is, “concretely” founded on the Gospel teachings/mandate of Jesus Christ!! (Luke 6:46-49)

James: Do you want to argue that you are MORE inspired than her?

NJK: You are the one who needs uselessly to go that route because you have no theological, exegetical and also hermeneutical understanding in regards to: the Conditionality of Prophecy
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(Jer 18:1-10), (among other exegetically derived understandings here*), and how that is
effectuated when it is enforced; and that in relation to the actual State of the Church. You also are
controlled by a self-interest desire to be accepted and so are willing to ignore and overlook the
fact that the SDA Church is now going through in Ezek 8** & 9*** judgment**** before God,
(all striking at their revered root of selfishness). He is not winking at their indifferent
waywardness*****...as actually several of your direct revelations variously, endingly depicted.
And, by the way, EGW herself was concealedly given a vision of such utter judgement of SDA
by God.******

**** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/testimony-to-church-3-judgement.html
***** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/seventh-day-adventists-believe.html

JSOT keeps doing the “poser” thing of threatening me to “expose” me for my supposed SOP-
opposing views...but he does not...because he knows he won’t be able to soundly sustain his
objections. EGW was clear, indeed as repeatedly occurring and demonstrated during her own
lifetime and with her writings, that if/when the Bible presented a much better view on things,
then it was to be followed above her own views. And just like there were prophecies for Israel
“blessings” or for “curses” in the OT, the same thing was included in the revelations to EGW...all
depending on what the SDA Church would go on to actually do and become.....and they by now
have long initiated the WOE & “cursings”** prophecies!!

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/03/woe-to-you-seventh-day-adventists-matt.html

James: I would love to post that on your website.

NJK: You can always try to do so...but as for any posters on my blog, you will first need to make
sure that your claims/objection are honestly/genuinely factually valid (which, btw, is not
necessarily the same as factually accurate...)

James: See how many Adventists fall into your trap then.

NJK: So JSOT believes that SDA’s can’t come to the same shallow objections that he has
against my Theological Views....they have already “been there...and done that”...to, calculatedly
enough (Isa 6:8-13), ‘their own destruction’.... (2 Pet 3:15-16)

James: Now I’ll tell you why I believe the woman that John Saw in Rev 17 is a
representative of the false visions of Mary.

NJK: Sure.... and JSOT will hypocritically have no qualm ignoring EGW’s most Biblical view
that it was the Roman Catholic Church (e.g., GC 381.1, 382.2ff; 7BC 983.3-4; )....and then, as
time progressed, its now ‘grown and replacing daughters’ in Protestant American Church (SpM 1.4). and that is because JSOT actually is the one who believes that his views...i.e. his Biblical specious interpreting views, are above the what was shown to EGW, not to mention again God, Jesus and the rest of the Bible indeed whenever it conflicts with what he Capitalistically and/or Self-interestly wants to believe.

What JSOT actually has here at best in this interpretation is a Catholic-founded one, and thus a fundamentally flawed one, as Catholics believe that the woman of Rev 12:1...which eventually becomes the woman of Rev 17,* (and that is what is “wonderingly shocking” to John), is Mary.

*But in the Spiritually fullest and hermeneutically most accurate interpretation, that Rev 17 woman is the Protestant Church which had fled into the wilderness and came out of it around 1798 as Protestant America and would go on to, i.e., when its Rev 18 time of judgement came (e.g. at 9/11 -see here*), become (=Rev 13:11-18) exactly what the Roman Catholic Church had historically (Rev 13:1-10) been!!


JSOT, once again, (you’d think he would have learned his lesson by now), comes to his false views because they are not rooted in concrete facts, but are at best shallow, whimsical and subjective eisegetical claims.

And what follows below in JSOT’s spurious attempt to justify his claim is this type of “shallow, whimsical and subjective” eisegetical way of trying to substantiate his claims, where the key thing for him is the “old school” and Scholastically uneducated way of merely looking at surface coincidences....The SDA Church is still, and at the lasting counsel of EGW, trying to establish its teachings on much more concrete exegetical and scholarly ground.

Fact is that God priorly permitted such surface understanding to allow early SDA’s still arrive at valid understandings only because they then did not scholarly know better and God had also orchestrated Final Events to fit such scenarios...but as time as advanced and SDA’s now have hundreds of University Religion Departments and Seminaries, they cannot now go by this layman’s approach to try to ascertain truth or interpret prophecies (though they indolently still do...at their own detriment), but, and as the concrete Truth actually does require exegetical preciseness and also as prophetic scenarios now are much more complex and will be according to what God had exegetically/hermeneutically always intended in those prophecies, such a surface approach, also utilized by JSOT, will not stand the test here:

The Catholic Church calls Mary “the Mother of God” and the “Mother of the Church”, so when John is seeing a representative of Babylon, the MOTHER of harlots, which represents a church who worships Mary, I tied that together with John having taken care of Mary after the death of Jesus, so when he saw her apostate image it says he “wondered with great admiration” because of the Satanic representation of Mary which he was seeing in vision and it made him WONDER.
NJK: Complete nonsense...i.e., the only actually “link” that he would have here between Catholic appellations for Mary and Rev 17’s prophetic stipulation...would be in the term “mother” since God is certainly not/never a “harlot”. Then how JSOT goes on to whimsically (i.e., insubstantively) tie that to John taking care of Mary is, if it was just his own thinking here, complete moronic...and if it was from an impression” then Biblical shown to be “satanically erroneous”...to say the least....Indeed just like Satan influence Catholic to have the similar erroneous view that the Woman in Rev 12 is Mary. (And it really just takes an unbiblical and simpleton’s approach to trying to interpret the symbols of Revelation...to arrive at such inaccurate views...A woman in prophecy is consistently representative of God’s Old/New Israel.). But I am sure that if he had had some sort of felt “supernatural impression” here he would have said so...so this here is most likely just his own assumptions....and that is exactly how he came to make his prior false Eight King claims before....Again, he just did not learn anything...

...No wonder God indeed desperately tried to “con” him into having a discussion with me...He would be greatly benefitted from me holding him to Biblical interpretation responsibility here instead of him once again setting himself up for another bitter, “adamant-wall” striking (Amos 7:7-9)* disappointment...


James: The only thing Mrs. White EVER said about the Marion apparitions directly was in {5MR 102}

“Satan inspired these men who claim to be Christ’s vicegerents upon earth. Prayers are offered to private saints in heaven for many favors. But these men are not in heaven. They lie in their graves until the coming of the Son of man in the clouds of heaven. Mary, the mother of our Lord, has not been raised. She is waiting the sound of the trump of God that shall call the dead from their prison house. All the prayers offered to Mary fall to the ground. Mary’s ears have not yet been pierced by the sound of the trump of God. {5MR 102.3}

The question has been asked, Do you not believe that we should pray to the dead apostles and saints? No; for this would teach for doctrine not a “Thus saith the Lord,” but the “thus saith” of the man of sin, “the son of perdition; who opposeth himself above all that is called God or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God.”

—Manuscript 163, 1897, 8, 9. {5MR 103.1}

NJK: Great...but, without going the desperate mis-directing route of JSOT her, EGW, most pertinently/applicably here, said much in regards to her correct understanding that that woman was the RCC and potentially then Protestant America. So she could not also believe that ‘it was instead Mary or Marion apparitions’.
James: Mrs. White says a lot about devils appearing as deceased saints; would that not also include Mary?

NJK: Classic..the “solid” ‘argument from absence/nothing’....Distinctly Mary can potentially easily be made to appear (like Saul supposedly had seen the dead prophet Samuel)...but not in/as a fulfillment of the prophetic stipulations in Rev 17...

James: So if John was seeing a woman in vision that he ‘wondered with great admiration’ after,

NJK: (A) The text of Rev 17:6b says nothing about ‘wondering after” as the KJV claims; -Rev 13:3 uses the word “opiso” #3694) to indicate a ‘following “after” from such “wonder”’;

(B) It neither says “admiration” but using the same root word instead says: “I wondered (verb #2296) with great wonder (noun -#2295)” with that “wonder/amazed/surprised” word not implying actual “(attracting) admiration” (cf. e.g., Gal 1:6; 1 John 3:13; John 4:27; Luke 20:26). It merely just basically means, and is limited to the notion of, ‘surprised’

James and the angel had to stop him and say “let me tell you of the woman”,

NJK: The angel did not “stop” John as if he was attracted to the woman...he was just surprisingly shocked that the virtuous persecuted woman who had fled by God’s assistance into the wilderness (Rev 12:6, 13-17) (and this initially was the Catholic Church itself upon the Roman State persecutions of Christians in the first couple of centuries A.D.) had now itself become a harlot and a persecutor of God righteous ones. Indeed by today, Capitalistic America effectively does the same thing with those who want to live according to God’s Biblical Socialist principles. (As easily seen with how they won’t trade with Communist Cuba (which is moreover Christian) but have no problem fully engaging in trade with Politically Undemocratic Communist China which moreover opposes Christianity and its free exercise in her country. So all that this Capitalistic Harlot cares about is gaining wealth.)

And, exegetically speaking, the angel actually, literally reprimanding asked John: “Through what are you surprised” and then proceeds to tell him how/why that woman is now as she is...

Telling how JSOT cannot hermeneutically factor in the substantive actions of that woman who he claims is Mary...I.e., if Marian apparitions only occurred after 1917 then how did, as SDA pioneer validly believe, did that Woman priorly persecute faithful Christians during Church History. That is what happens when one has a shallow and subjective interpretation of Scripture. They also have to pick and choose what from the Bible and SOP they will factor into their specious interpretive claims and ignore anything else that debunks their views.

JSOT: I was drawing the conclusion based off of his experience with Mary and that he was seeing the future. 

197
NJK: Classic....the fanciful anecdotal way to interpret prophecy...it takes a much more biblical/Gospel “experience” (which JSOT is adamantly against) to be able to validly do that (TM 116.1; 1SM 25.4)

And of course John is seeing the future in his prophecies....And the exegetical fact is that for the Eschatological wave of prophecy, Rev 17 points to the future time starting around 1798...when the prior wilderness fleeing woman can safely come out of that wilderness with the Papacy then taken out of the way...and indeed that is when/how the Protestant Church began to rise in power, led by then surging America.

James: So he was seeing the present day visions that are manifesting themselves in these LAST DAYS!

NJK: That is all mere subjective assumption..And the “LAST DAYS” were in effect from the time of the Christ and the apostles (e.g., Heb 1:2)...and they won’t culminate today for the same reasons that they did not culminate back then as the should and could have, including during the ministry of Christ (cf. Matt 10:23*)...all conditionally dependent on whether or not God’s Gospel work is (properly) Finished by His People.**


Indeed JSOT’s own direct revelations have repeatedly emphasized this fact but he most comically has been ignoring this to instead only proclaim and emphasize whatever, including indeed in his own revelations, he can instead construe to be an indicator that the end is right around the corner and of course that he is the one who was specially chosen to indicate this.

James: Are they not embraced by the fallen church? Are they not a huge deception of Satan?

NJK: Interpretatively Irrelevant....Rev 17 is not about Marian apparitions...

James: It is Satan appearing as an angel of light to deceive the masses in the form of Mary, so I do not feel condemned for putting it that way.

NJK: Ironically enough, JSOT’s own private interpretations are what is producing the “Angel of Light” deception, particularly in his influencing Capitalism-adherent mentality. (=the special SDA snare in EW 266-269).

James: And I find this very intriguing, that Satan waited until Mrs. White was dead until he presented the Marion apparitions. She died in 1915 and the apparitions occurred in Fatima in 1917. And this was right before the healing of the head wound of the Lateran treaty in 1929. So this whole time since the healing of the head wound, these last seven popes have been in the time of the satanic apparition period.
NJK: All eisegetical and subjective, private interpretive non-sense....

James: So the third phase of Rome has been the Satanic Marion apparition phase recorded in Revelation 17, the same chapter John wandered with great admiration after the woman.

NJK: Ah-Ha... “third phase of Rome”. So JSOT admits here that he has shelved the Second Phase that SDA pioneers all understood, which was the Historical Roman Catholic Phase, taking over from Pagan Rome...So how then is JSOT’s, more over quite shallow/surface, views not in complete contradiction to EGW and other SDA pioneers!?? “How”???...through him indifferently not thinking so....but of some else would do that then they would be “completely wrong”...The fact is that my views perfectly harmonize with all that the SOP pointedly has said on this issue, including EGW’s latter revelation that the Protestant America “daughters” would soon be taking over the position and ways that their “mother” had held (SpM 1.4)...

...JSOT is again without any Spiritually/substantively valid basis for his views...and he is actually doing the same thing as Futurist-Dispensationalists who, thinking that they have all things figure out, also shallowly and non-hermeneutically, reverse-interpret Bible prophecies according to the headlines....Not surprising that they too thus only advance claims which are repeatedly proven to be false.

James: If you think I am deluded about this ...

NJK: Indeed...and that, through shoddy interpreting, self-deludingly so....That is the only conclusion that a responsible testing of his claims can rationally lead to.

James: ...that is your problem.

NJK: Now wouldn’t it be ‘convenient’, as JSOT futilely wishfully hopes for, that his, once again, public, false prophetic claims were only ‘my problem’...Haven’t you read what God/Jesus will (naturally) do to, especially Full-Gospel despising/rejecting (Matt 25:41-46; Gal 1:6-11; DA 825.4) false teachers (e.g. 2 Pet 2:1; 1 Tim 4:1), who moreover pick and choose what they want to uphold from His prophecies (Rev 22:18-19)??!!....

James: I have had hundreds of SDA people respond very positively by this comment.

NJK: Of course you do...(though obviously not “publicly” on your currently 1 (pre-error) comments” blog post*...I wonder why not also “hundreds” “publicly”)...They are clearly on the same Spiritually immature and uneducated/incompetent level that you are on..which is a detrimental one for physically grown adults (Isa 28:5-13)**

** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

James: Savvy?
NJK: Indeed...: it is “the blind guides of/for the blind” (Matt 15:14) and naturally following after the ‘God-raised, “peace-peace”, white-washing, “prophets after their own heart idols”.’ (Ezek 13:1-17 & 14:1-9)...all to justly Shakingly Judge the SDA Church* (=Matt 15:13)September 4, 2013!!! Ponder and engage these facts if you dare...


James: You need to pray about your responses from now on, because you are using this wonderful tool as a weapon to do irrevocable damage to yourself in the ongoing judgment brother.

NJK: I have, as usual constantly been praying and the clear/Biblical answer is all that I have stated above, from: ‘God desperately try to “con” you into a responsible discussion here, to you having “Angel of Light” deception akin claims and interpretations....Good Luck as you refuse to let God, Jesus, the Bible and SOP guide and/or correct you....You’ll need it....

James: You are warned.

NJK: Oooohhhhhh....I cannot even begin to be scared.....(Deut 18:22; 13:1-5)...

August 31, 2013

I saw that you looked at my blog about the “Wall dream” yesterday. Good, then you have all the proof you need that I had not changed the post until just now, two minutes ago. I found all the information I needed to make it finished. This is the truth as an oath in the name of Jesus. What is on the blog right now is absolutely correct from my memory and all the evidence I could find. So look it over and see that it has only been changed to December of 2001 in the date of the news article I saw as according to my records, less than two months after my dream, but my dream was still ALMOST TWO MONTHS BEFORE the article! (Which I can’t find online anyways, so by all accounts that I can prove my dream was 8 months before the build) You wouldn’t have even known about the article unless I admitted it, so by all accounts my dream would have been 8 months before any proven build on the Apartheid wall/ Separation barrier.

You still haven’t apologized for lying about when the start of the wall began, thinking yourself so superior in knowledge, but you are WRONG sir. You keep trying to correct me like you have some kind of right to be my master in truth and you have been taking that stance online in front of the world to see. I WAS THERE, I REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED but you think you are to be my interpreter don’t you?

You can take the time to arrogantly rebuke me for what you perceive of me being wrong, but when you are proved to be wrong yourself you cannot admit it? I know you read the blog today. I can prove it, so fess up. Where is your public apology on your ‘testing’ blog
page? You prove that God does not speak to you or you would not have attacked me for telling the truth. Even if you do post an apology you will find some way of justifying your actions.

Isaiah 30:9 For they are a rebellious people, 
   lying children, 
children unwilling to hear 
   the instruction of the Lord; 
10 who say to the seers, “Do not see,” 
   and to the prophets, “Do not prophesy to us what is right; 
speak to us smooth things, 
   prophesy illusions, 
11 leave the way, turn aside from the path, 
   let us hear no more about the Holy One of Israel.”
12 Therefore thus says the Holy One of Israel, 
   “Because you despise this word 
      and trust in oppression and perverseness 
      and rely on them, 
13 therefore this iniquity shall be to you 
   like a breach in a high wall, bulging out, and about to collapse, 
   whose breaking comes suddenly, in an instant; 
14 and its breaking is like that of a potter's vessel 
   that is smashed so ruthlessly 
that among its fragments not a shard is found 
   with which to take fire from the hearth, 
   or to dip up water out of the cistern.”

September 5, 2013

James: I saw that you looked at my blog about the “Wall dream” yesterday.

NJK: Strange...how can you, moreover “definitely” see/know/ascertain this, since the HTML of your blog post does not have a script for a visitor’s logging...unless of course you are going by Google Analytic stats, which would actually require very low traffic to your purported “widely supported” blog post for you to be able to see this.....

James: Good, then you have all the proof you need that I had not changed the post until just now, two minutes ago.

NJK: Who ever considered that to be an issue...especially since I have long been monitoring every detail of any changes that you can make on your blog posts....

James: I found all the information I needed to make it finished.
NJK: I thought JSOT had that ‘concrete’ information since December 2001??!!

James: This is the truth as an oath in the name of Jesus.

NJK: On top of being completely unnecessary...evidently JSOT think that he can, as he does for the Full Gospel mandate, ignore Jesus Christ here in regards to his prohibition in Matt 5:33-37.....As egoistically usual for JSOT...whatever it takes to show him to be right...

James: What is on the blog right now is absolutely correct from my memory and all the evidence I could find.

NJK: As discussed above: it is still factually and Spiritually deficient....

James: So look it over and see that it has only been changed to December of 2001 in the date of the news article I saw as according to my records, less than two months after my dream, but my dream was still ALMOST TWO MONTHS BEFORE the article! (Which I can’t find online anyways, so by all accounts that I can prove my dream was 8 months before the build)

NJK: Already had a highlighting alert of that change, which also includes what you had priorly said instead....

James: You wouldn’t have even known about the article unless I admitted it,

NJK: Guess what...I still don’t “know” about that article since all the proof there is of it now is merely your, suddenly “remembering” word of/for it....Again strange how you did not remember or see it important to mention and cite it before....Such “phantom and convenient evidence” would certainly not be acceptable as valid in any court of law.

James: so by all accounts my dream would have been 8 months before any proven build on the Apartheid wall/ Separation barrier.

NJK: I am begin to surmise that JSOT cited article merely out of memory merely made forward looking mention of now publicized plans to later, vastly expandingly, continue building that separating concrete barrier wall...in June 2002, as all documented articles online point to. So at best, JSOT would be factually misremembering here/now and if he had read such an article back in December 2001, he then had fancifully assumed that it spoke of the start construction when it merely was publicizing the green-lighting of that escalated and continued separation plan. All reflective of how JSOT fancifully misconstrues and misinterpret facts..which is why his conclusion cannot be trusted “just because he says so” as he patently wants to.

In fact, psychologically speaking, people who insist that you just believe them, are those who actually just cannot prove their claims and so desperately resort to such “influential force”.
James: You still haven’t apologized for lying about when the start of the wall began,

NJK: Just no reason to apologize at all...indeed see my blog where I re-emphasize that you still have the comprehensively wrong understanding here despite all of these dichotomic “proving” attempts.

James: thinking yourself so superior in knowledge,

NJK: Such Spiritual thinking/deeming naturally comes with the exegetically-based territory which prevent and protect against the simplistic/simpleton understandings of JSOT.

James: but you are WRONG sir.

NJK: Not even close....There is much more to prophecy that what, you also JSOT, can natural-mindedly/moronically* “surfacing/shallowly” see, and/or care/need to see...


James: You keep trying to correct me...

NJK: Indeed...and there is ample to correct in what you claim which you avoid by just not engaging those facts. Clearly, as typical with those of you who use this desperate moronically selective, attempting-facade-duping, tactic (cf. e.g., here*), since you do engagingly respond whenever you think you have a refuting answer...it self-evidently is because you otherwise just do not have anything even remotely valid to say/answer...


James: ...like you have some kind of right to be my master in truth

NJK: That is most naturally indeed, and also, in my Ezek 9:1* mandate/commission for all of you in-fighting SDA half-prophets...and for your own good....Still God has always had alternative (non-“human”-dependent) plans** (=4BC 1161.4-5; 5MR 205.4) to accomplish his task here if you all should, as you indeed are, fail to carry out your prophetic commissions while I “alone” complete mine. (=Ezek 9:11|EW 279.1-2)

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html#Dan1056Angel

...So it behoovingly would be, indeed “learningly” wise for you you all, to ‘hang by my enlightening “Theological Views” blog “bronze* altar**” and follow my ‘prolonged-time, Full Gospel/Sabbatical Sealing (Rev 7:1-3) lead’*** (Ezek 9:2b)
James: and you have been taking that stance online in front of the world to see.

NJK: As indeed deliberately intended in order to duly expose you....and unlike you I do not hide from my expressed views since they are well-pondered...

James: I WAS THERE, I REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED

NJK: Sure...and “shouting” is your best proof of that.....

James: but you think you are to be my interpreter don’t you?

NJK: Yes...since your “interpretations” are shallowly, simplistically erroneous at best....and thus most dangerous for our prophetically advanced day and age.

James: You can take the time to arrogantly rebuke me for what you perceive of me being wrong,

NJK: That indeed logically/natural inclusively has always been, and will continue to is my duty in regards to arriving at concrete and exact Biblical Truth again you and any else who especially claims to speak and/or act in the name of God....minus your vexatious “arrogant” deeming of course...

James: but when you are proved to be wrong yourself you cannot admit it?

NJK: You just have not proven your limited understanding to be the correct one...

James: I know you read the blog today. I can prove it, so fess up.

NJK: What different would that make???...I read the email you had priorly sent me...and you “somehow”, even surely, noticing a visit of mine to your blog post does not actually mean that I read it all, or even anything at all...for all you know, I may just have checked the date you posted there or if you had any comments to it...

James: Where is your public apology on your ‘testing’ blog page?

NJK: You’ll now (and logically “now” that I am finished thoroughly examining your claims) see my referring comment there...but no “apology” as there is no need for this.... however, all pertinent and wider things considered, including your, Christ-undermining, flawing anti-Full Sabbatical Gospel foundational stance (which can indeed only lead to your “half” views (2 Cor 3:7-18): duly applicable “apologetics”....certainly, “duly” so....(=1 Pet 3:15-18))!!....
...But you needed to run away from such a discussion which is why you “conveniently” used this spurious, and substantively insignificant “wall beginning issue” as your “withdrawing excuse”

James: You prove that God does not speak to you

NJK: Whatever you need to “natural-mindedly” claim and believe...The Truths of my Views, Experiences and Revelations speak for themselves and much louder than you humanistic/natural unrighteous, self-serving, so-called “judging”.

James: or you would not have attacked me for telling the truth.

NJK: You are and not “interpretively” telling the Truth, and just like Peter was “naturally/humanistically/fleshly” suddenly prone to despite prior accurate revelations made through him. (E.g., Matt 16:17 versus Matt 16:23 & Acts 10:9-16; 11:1-18 versus Gal 2:11-14ff)…So likewise you are not beyond reproach when claiming what is actually still not the (factual/Full/Spiritual/Theological) Truth.

James: Even if you do post an apology you will find some way of justifying your actions.

NJK: It “factually/Fully/Spiritually/Theologically” was not that hard to sequiturly and duly do so...

James:
Isaiah 30:9 For they are a rebellious people,
they are lying children,
children unwilling to hear
the instruction of the Lord;
10 who say to the seers, “Do not see,”
and to the prophets, “Do not prophesy to us what is right;
speak to us smooth things,
prophesy illusions,
11 leave the way, turn aside from the path,
let us hear no more about the Holy One of Israel.”
12 Therefore thus says the Holy One of Israel,
“Because you despise this word
and trust in oppression and perverseness
and rely on them,
therefore this iniquity shall be to you
like a breach in a high wall, bulging out, and about to collapse,
whose breaking comes suddenly, in an instant;
14 and its breaking is like that of a potter's vessel
that is smashed so ruthlessly
that among its fragments not a shard is found
with which to take fire from the hearth,
or to dip up water out of the cistern.”

NJK: LOL...Yet again just another self-substantiating example of JSOT unexegetical and fanciful subjective reading/interpreting of Scripture......The Bible/Prophecies does not apply to you, JSOT, just because you need it to....

....Guidingly read and learn how an angel of God himself eschatologically understood and applied that prophetic statement in the SOP’s prophecy which was fulfilled in 9/11 Events (i.e., in 11MR 362.1)*...and from that exegetically understand how it is you and your Capitalism-supporting & endorsing stance which inclusively stands condemned by God here...Hence why you, and the Capitalistically-minded likes of you....are at best “half”-believers, -knowledgeable and/or -prophets...


**August 31, 2013**

James: Sorry Ron,

NJK: Be “sorry” for yourself and your overruling “son of thunder” egoistical temper...

James: since you are not man enough...

NJK: My “manly” stature is rather, through concrete Biblical/Spiritual/Theological Truth, determined by, and dependent upon. the “canon” of Christ (Eph 4:13)...

And speaking about “not being man enough” quite telling how you most silently refuse to respond to the points disproving your, thus defamatory, fanciful claims against Ernie Knoll that ‘he stole your visions/dreams’....clearly/logically that is because you indeed just have nothing to say...You are only duly fooling the guileful morons like yourself with this non-responding tactic...

James: ...to apologize for your error in asserting your knowledge on a subject that you obviously know nothing about,

NJK: Whatever you “know” is limited to humanistic/natural-minded/“half” comprehensions....and I certainly do not use nor limit myself to such futile parameters....

James: then I don’t want hear what you have to say in judgment of what my God showed me.

NJK: ...and you thus confused what God (may have) showed you with what you think he has (also) showed you...i.e., you misconstruing private interpretations of genuine Divine revelations
and impressions.

James: I am going to follow through with what God told me and withdraw myself again,

NJK: Knowing the pertinent various facts of the matter here, it is confirmly interesting to me to see that God has to first “con” you into having a responsible discussion with me and then, when He sees that you are variously still refusing to actually “responsibly” do so...He then allows you to, as typical from you, assume whatever you fancifully need to from what He may have said to you so that...you can leave me alone, and not, as you have done here again, take up much of my valuable time to, as natural with myself, duly/responsibly, properly engage and detailedly respond to all that you have said and claimed.....So I thank God that He “led” you to withdraw....And still, He would actually have now concretely seen that you are egoistically not interested at all in heeding the Full Gospel/Sabbatical Righteousness Message of Christ....Good Luck with that stance...It certainly does not end well for its, even half-prophet, adherent (e.g., Matt 22:1-14; Rev 3:1-6; 16:15-16; DA 825.4, etc)

James: because you think what you have to say is more important than what God told me.

NJK: Of course, isn’t that indeed your reason...You think that you are the only person which God had in recent times spoken to..and this because you can, at best, only view things from your “half”-truth/gospel understanding...The Full Gospel message of Christ is itself most important and is indeed overarchingly, and guidingly, underingly paramount to all that God’s non-contradicting Spirit reveals. So, at best, you and your other SDA half-prophet colleagues were “naturally” raised from amongst your like-minded SDA brethren (Ezek 14:1ff) to fulfill God’s candid testing and judging of them...and the fact is that you all are likewise also failing this testing..Yet you all cannot, and most likely will not, (well at least not before you all also hit that God-erected “adamant wall” (Amos 7:7-9) head on), see the included “prolonged-time” light that God has also revealed through your various revelations.

James: You had your chance and you threw it out the window.

NJK: I guess by me not bowing down and kissing your ring when and as you wanted me to mindlessly do....Just would have never worked with me...Do consider yourself ot have “thrown out” your chance to be facilitated into seeing God’s Full Truth Light....You thus are, and that by not seeking to obtain Christ’s True/Full Character, still in the effectively complete outer darkness of the 5 “moronic” virgins of Matt 25:1-13* (=RH, February 11, 1896 par. 6|COL 408.1-411.1ff)


James: All you had to do was apologize with the same zeal

NJK: ....As I saw from my initial cursory reading of your emailed claims of opposing “proof” they were not conclusive to what was actually fully involved here....
James: is you condemned the words God gave me

NJK: Again you confuse and conflated what “words” God may have given you with your shallowing assuming interpretations of them...That “mistake” is not limited to you...but the natural course for anyone who does not due their capable due dilligence to accurate interpret God’s (prophetic) “words”...Just ask e.g., Judas and Christ’s other Disciples, as well as William Miller....and just as with Miller when God allows that you suffer disappointments from your prior interpreting claims, as was the case with your initial Eighth King view, and as you have set yourself up again with you current, also exegetically erroneous one, then it is because he has a wider object-lesson to effectuate....and warningly enough, William Miller himself never learned that lesson, allowing pride in his prior experience to blind his reasoning while many other Adventist did and went on to discover much more Light from God for the post-1844 era which William Miller, based on his misinterpreting views, could not come to accept...Indeed like Miller you cannot see the Full Righteousness/Gospel/Sabbath implications in the Third Angel’s Message... (EW 257.1-258.2)

James: but you refuse and have to take your time in your response.

NJK: Indeed I have to “take time in my response” because unlike you I am neither an emotional hot head, nor do I believe in making claims without first carefully examining the facts....

James: Why did you not take your time in your initial response and do your homework months ago?

NJK: I did...and found that still binding evidence that this barrier wall was existence in some form, and most logically/realistically also at least in planning for it later expanded and escalated form before the 9/11 events. You need people to think that it is some sort of great proof if they believe that that wall project suddenly appeared, effectively out of the blue until sometime after your “dream” [which, tellingly enough, in itself is a type of revelation* (i.e., versus a (wide-awake) vision, which paramountly depends on past elements], when all of the pertinent facts and issues, including Spiritual ones, are as I had priorly observed....’it was all based on already started and planned developments...and God merely indicated these existing various things to you’!!

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#revelations

James: I’m sorry to have to do this,

NJK: I certainly am, for tangible reasons, not...

James: but please don’t comment about me ever again on your website.

NJK: Sure whatever you Pontifically say JSOT....that era of ‘Papal Bull and Decrees’ is long gone...deal with the (democratic) realities of making public statements and also the consequences
when they are variously false...

James: If you do, you are going against my will.

NJK: Indeed..and so what???

James: I will not respond to you

NJK: It is not like you actually really were....

James: because you refuse to use sound judgment

NJK: Ohhhh...I do use “sound”, indeed Biblical, judgement..it is just not the “judgement trumpet sound” (Isa 58:1) that you, and your naturally guilty self, want to hear...

James: in talking with a brother,

NJK: ....Matt 12:46-50....

James: acting like my judge.

NJK: Indeed, exactly according to the imperative (1 Cor 6:2-6)... You just cannot understand/accept that level of judging since you variously, moronically, shallowly “judge” according to mere surface “appearances” (John 7:24)... just as in the way you come to you come to your erroneous/false interpretations.

James: Goodbye.


August 31, 2013

James: I’m sorry to have to do this, but please don’t comment about me ever again on your website. If you do, you are going against my will.

NJK: It just does not work that way....It is civilly called freedom of speech and freedom of the “press”. If you don’t like it and/or you think I am slandering or defaming you, then you can try to sue me if you want...At least then you’ll have to engage and duly respond to the facts....And all you actually have to do to “make this stop” is stop making whimsical and unbiblical and false, especially public, prophetic interpretations, and that in God’s name...simple as that...PK 139.4-142.2...

You are very immature and an emotional basket case.....grow up in Christ already...
Ronald

August 31, 2013

To repeat, I WILL NOT BE READING YOUR TRASH AGAINST ME EVER AGAIN, IT IS GOING DIRECTLY INTO THE DELETED MESSAGES SO DON’T BOTHER WRITING ME BACK!

August 31, 2013

Really??! I had not even yet duly properly examined what you had just responded....But if you think that people must just take your word for everything then go right ahead....I still be responding to them and posting them only so that all can see of what base and unchristilike Papal spirit you are of...

Ronald

September 5, 2013

James: To repeat, I WILL NOT BE READING YOUR TRASH AGAINST ME EVER AGAIN, IT IS GOING DIRECTLY INTO THE DELETED MESSAGES SO DON’T BOTHER WRITING ME BACK!

NJK: Strange how JSOT then went on continue to respond to the emails I would ensuingly send him...unless of course he has found a way to read “deleted” emails without opening them....I think the NSA would be interested in that capability/technology.....LOL!!

August 31, 2013

So you’re not going to comment about your mistake on the beginning of the wall in Israel eh? Too embarrassed that you were wrong? I proved you were wrong and this is the only thing you did not respond to.

Calling me names? You have proven yourself Ronald. I came to you trying to help you and you shove it in my face and call yourself a minister for Christ? And you could not keep yourself from sending me any responses any more? I have it on record that I have asked you not to communicate with me any more, but you persist, so I guess I will have to take it to the next step. You have no respect for anyone but yourself.

I feel sorry for you. Goodbye

September 1, 2013

What part of: “I did not yet properly evaluate your statements” don’t you understand. You have
no idea the priority and work cost that responding to you has cost me.

I have had documented proof the this wall was in planning and started to be built since 1994. So you don’t have to only pertinent facts here.

Take whatever next step you need...It won’t make any difference to me or to the cause of concrete & transparent Truth. You think way too highly of yourself. ...And LegalJudicial warning....just don’t be naive or foolish enough to cause me any tangible damage....I can easily forward your already expressed threats to the Police...

....feel sorry for your helpless self....

Ronald

**September 5, 2013**

**James:** So you’re not going to comment about your mistake on the beginning of the wall in Israel eh?

**NJK:** ...had not gotten around to “studying it out carefully yet”

**James:** Too embarrassed that you were wrong?

**NJK:** N/A Actually mythed by another demonstration of your shallow, “natural-minded” thinking. Fact is I always knew that the escalated wall was an added dimension, but it substantively was not different than what had already been likewise started since 1994...just a now green-lighted expansion and escalation...

**James:** I proved you were wrong

**NJK:** Not at all...all pertinent/related/Spiritual/Theological/factual things considered....

**James:** and this is the only thing you did not respond to.

**NJK:** Because: (A) I didn’t want to lightly address it, and that with first looking into it carefully and (B) as seen my me here subsequent more detailed, and point-by-point (re-)responding to your emails...I clearly had not even really answered your other points either....i.e. like I said I detailedly also would...

**James:** Calling me names?

**NJK:** Right because the factual observations of “immature” and ‘an emotional basket case’ is “name-calling”...I’ve repeatedly encountered this vexatious rebuttal from people who are just indifferently oblivious to their uncoverted selves....Peter probably thought the same thing in Matt
16:23 as he just did not at all change from then....not until he hit the wall when he saw that he
had denied Christ....indeed (potentially) just as JSOT will likewise realize after hitting his wall
again (e.g., when a new Pope is elected...They probably will have to keep you in a self-safety
straight jacket then and force feed you...)

James: You have proven yourself Ronald.

NJK: I always knew myself and how I (actually) am....You are the one who thinks that I should
be otherwise...i.e., what you need me, and others to mindlessly be about you....

James: I came to you trying to help you

NJK: Right...as, as all pertinent evidence point to: ‘God conned you to “assume/believe”’....

James: and you shove it in my face

NJK: ...since you were effectively claiming by your selective silence tactic...that you just didn’t
see anything that you were saying....

....and “look”, as I had said I would...in its due, sequitur, time...I have responded to all of you
comments to me....but you have not...and indeed still have a mountain of prior points I made
against you to address which you can easily read in this transcripting/documenting PDF....

James: and call yourself a minister for Christ?

NJK: Well it variously is a dirtying work...(e.g., John 15:20 | 2 Cor 5:20-21)

James: And you could not keep yourself from sending me any responses any more?

NJK: Just for the form actually, so you would not have an excuse that you had not received or
known about these responses...And you could not keep from still answering them...and do notice
how I since have not sent you these further responses...Actually for my own security and peace of
mind since you mal-construe this as “stalking” and who knows what you will “hot-headedly” do
upon such a belief that you are being criminally violated....

James: I have it on record that I have asked you not to communicate with me any more,

NJK: Great....”Sue me”.....moreover as we are Spiritually not substantively “brothers” (Matt
12:46-50)...

James: but you persist, so I guess I will have to take it to the next step.

NJK: Can’t wait....whatever that “dramatically” is....Probably is you just “black/block-listing”
email...Then how is that a “next step” from you having decided to never hear from me
again....Clearly you just did not mindedly mean your claims or that would have been the first thing you would have done...but of course you need to go the reverse-psychology route and try to gas-light me for your moronic decisions...like I said, if, when under pressure you hot-headedly mindlessly goonishly act like this, then I feel sorry for your wife...who, like I said, has probably long learned your most evident “relationship” condition lesson which is ‘just silently take your word for everything you may claim and say...’

James: You have no respect for anyone but yourself.

NJK: And that is the best start towards having respect for others....when actually due/deserved....Fact is you are just variously irresponsible and I certain have, indeed never had, any “respect” for that self-important attitude.

September 1, 2013

One last point; if your wife left you because of your ministry, then it was either not as “good” of a marriage as you thought or you are not being led by God and she could see it.

Just a thought.

September 1, 2013

LOL....Right... Nice desperate try....Just more of your patent wishful, afactual and fanciful “thought”...I’ve never been married....and I myself chose, for various Spiritual reason, to not follow through on several opportunities that I had at eventually getting married....

Ronald

September 5, 2013

James: One last point; if your wife left you because of your ministry, then it was either not as “good” of a marriage as you thought or you are not being led by God and she could see it.

NJK: It ironically is doubly, pertinently telling how I have literally refused to pursue 7 relationships, including most likely also prospective marital ones for that pointed reason...i.e., I just did not want someone to, that pivotally (i.e., “stake their “life”): “just take my word for this, or anything”....I personally do see that God (ideally) consider that “love” should be based on mere belief...but rather on informed knowledge...Hence His “beyond beliefs” call for us to ‘get to know Him’ (Psa 27:8; 2 Chr 7:14; cf. 1 John 5:20)..I guess that, like God, I am a “hopeless romantic” in that way. Indeed like Him and his prospective bride Israel, I do not want an effectively “contractual” (=covenantal) marital relationship but a knowingly informed one. (=1 Cor 13:12-13)
James: Just a thought.

NJK: A wildly assuming, afactual “desperately wishful” one indeed....

September 1, 2013

Threats, are you kidding me? Who is stalking who here? I have asked you to stop writing me and you continue.

Some facts; I was born in 1963 so I am older by 11 years.

You said; “In fact I am seeing that your experience has not, like me, cost you things like a (happy) marriage, even marriage at all, paying work, a pulpit in the SDA Church, including you not being banned from SDA Forums such as MSDAOL when I was banned for doing much less than you” now you say “Nice desperate try....Just more of your patent wishful, afactual and fanciful “thought”...I’ve never been married....and I myself chose, for various Spiritual reason, to not follow through on several opportunities that I had at eventually getting married....” You just totally lied.

And just so you know I was not banned from Maritime SDA Online and I can prove it. I could go on there right now if I wanted to, but people like you are why I chose NOT to go there. The fact that you were kicked off says more than you can point at me about your personality. I was talking about Adventist Online so get your facts straight.

I have talked with authorities from Israel about the wall. They told me that the “separation Barrier” as it is called was not allocated until 2000 and begun until June of 2002. For you to say it was begun earlier makes you full of it. You should be careful of all your lying, God is watching. You have proof? Wow what a liar. You must be something special to come up with imaginary proof of something just so you don’t have to apologize for your attacks.

I should have listened to God after He told me to let it go after that first attempt. I’m done. I tried to help you and you just want to hurt someone, so go away.

September 1, 2013

NJK: Notice that I did not respond to this “haywiringly flaking out” email of JSOT....It was indeed better for me that I left that increasingly freak show...

James: Threats, are you kidding me?

NJK: Not at all...and they have been duly noted given the added fact that you “hear” and/or think to hear voices which you most obediently act upon....So you can easily think that God has commissioned you to personally ‘make my life hard-er’...
James: Who is stalking who here?

NJK: I never said “you” were stalking me, nor is responding to emails you sent to even remotely close to stalking...any police/prosecutor, since they do not go by your fiat/pontifical beleifes, would say you should just black/block list my email and not write or respond at all to me....and if/when you do, then you should expect get an answer...And speaking of “stalking” in our last three discussions, it is you who have initiated them...and after you had priorly ended/withdrawn yourself...So clearly, I did not care to actually have a discussion with you...including when I notified you of someone guilefully posting in your name on the TOPIX forum....

...And, FYI, if/when I want to say something I just straightly do...If/when I don’t then it is because I just don’t...

James: I have asked you to stop writing me and you continue.

NJK: Like I said...sue me...and that for responding to the emails which you had sent to me....Anyone with a legal wit will just laugh at you thinking that you can say whatever you want to people and then someone expect that they have not right to respond, and that, directly to you, which actually is the lawful thing to (first try to) do rather than straightly responding to you openly, online. So you can’t say that you did not have an opportunity to address my responses...fact is you just did not care...so you better not ever whine about this in the future.

James: Some facts; I was born in 1963 so I am older by 11 years.

NJK: Now that is doubly shocking....(1) given the fact that I had seen your Blogger profile say that your were e.g., 35, when I was then 37...So that may be due to you having entered the wrong Year of Birth when setting up your Blogger Profile, and (2) given your, justly humanly speaking, (vs. Spiritual), immaturish and hot tempered behavior I would have never guessed that you are now around 50 years old....But I have noticed something about all of the (main) “half-prophets” which God has called and been using...they are all variously childish and “immature” whether on one naive extent or on the other “behaviorally undisciplined” extent...all I see, especially Spiritually so, perfectly reflective of “refusing-to-grow/advance (Dan 12:4b)” SDA’s in general. (Ezek 14:1-9)*

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

So here...according to the “big brother” impression claim you made...God was not here calling you to “take the lead”, as I had deduced from the prior understanding that you we a couple of years younger than me, but rather to ‘psychologically, behaviorially and Spiritually’ act your age already.... (Isa 28:5-13)...Clearly you just can’t do that...*

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

James: You said; “In fact I am seeing that your experience has not, like me, cost you things
like a (happy) marriage, even marriage at all, paying work, a pulpit in the SDA Church, including you not being banned from SDA Forums such as MSDAOL when I was banned for doing much less than you” now you say “Nice desperate try....Just more of your patent wishful, afactual and fanciful “thought”...I’ve never been married....and I myself chose, for various Spiritual reason, to not follow through on several opportunities that I had at eventually getting married....” You just totally lied.

NJK: And cue the rational and quasi-physical blindness that you experience when you “turn green” I mean lose you “Thundering”* temper...you just can understand what is straightforwardly being said.... Me enjoiningly qualifyingly saying “a (happy) marriage, even marriage at all” was in my factual knowledge that I “easily” could have been married, and that, as distinctly possible, happily so, by now were it but for my various incurred privations and persecutive annoyances during my experience. So: ‘you just totally misunderstood’....

* And like I already said to you that “thundering” vs. “lightning” characterization by Jesus of James and John was tongue in cheek as “thunder: is quite tangibly inconsequential, and merely makes noise....it is “lighting” that is to be feared.

James: And just so you know I was not banned from Maritime SDA Online and I can prove it. I could go on there right now if I wanted to, but people like you are why I chose NOT to go there.

NJK: Did I ever say that????????????????????????????????

James: The fact that you were kicked off says more than you can point at me about your personality.

NJK: Nope...And you can easily read my still available post there, as well as my reposts, from my blog/website....Our difference rather is because, despite all of your expressed vitriolic statements there, explicitly sending everyone to hell there.....indeed I have not see anyone generate so many various Administrative interventions from (public statements, suspensions, suspended/closed thread, etc)... they had/have you all figured out, especially post your false prophesying...and just, especially now, do not at all “fear” you (Deut 18:22)...However for me, it was like what was claimed for Christ and then Stephen...they, and indeed just as you “bowing outly” repeated do, could not provide responses to my points and just no longer wanted to be shown to be wrong and so, manifestly led by “Mountain Man”’s vexatious whining, agreed to appeal to strong arming measures when all the had to do was to answer with a Biblical refutation.... Indeed the parting statement by Daryl echoed exactly John 11:50!!

Also telling how they, like you, were foundationally at odds with me given my Anti-Capitalism thread* which they then entirely deleted (reposted in here**), but since then with some of them, namely “Mountain Man” discovering DA 637.1, he started to have a change towards what I had been saying all along. Fact is you all just want self-showcasing discussonal verbiage more than Biblical Truth.
Indeed you all just much more love your thieving and murderous-adjacent “Barabbas” (COL 393.3-394.1)***

* http://www.njkproject.info/njk/forums/msdaol.html
** http://www.njkproject.info/njk/sitekey.html
*** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html#ChristorBarabbas

NJK: I was talking about Adventist Online so get your facts straight.

James: Great..thanks for the specification....but it still has no determination in anything that I had said in relation to that priorly unspecified mention by you....

James: I have talked with authorities from Israel about the wall. They told me that the “separation Barrier” as it is called was not allocated until 2000

NJK: Hence proof from you yourself that this barrier wall was in planning way before you had that dream....So clearly God would have been showing you a virtual representation of what had already been drawn out, gates, signs, security measures and all....And thus indeed my “comprehensive” view that this wall-barrier project did not appear out of the blue only after your dream..


NJK: That is really “beyond” the deeper/Spiritual point of your dream’...but you can only “natural-mindedly” focus on the surface “signs and wonders” aspects all so that you can validate yourself....As seen in the Angel of Light deception....it takes much more than signs and wonders to establish what is God Truth. (Rev 16:13-14|GC 588.2|612.1)

James: For you to say it was begun earlier makes you full of it.

NJK: It both physically and planning did...Physically in the prior 3-mile long/8-foot high precursing walls and Planningly: in those by 2000 escalation plans. Sure you may have dreamed of it before those escalating plans were implemented...but there God just merely showed you what was already planned on paper, perhaps even by the time of your dream, with prototypes of its wall segments and barriers being physically built in manufacturing warehouses and being tested.

James: You should be careful of all your lying, God is watching.

NJK: God is indeed “watching me”, and everyone/everything else which is why I have no fear for He knows the facts by which I have presented my views which you, albeit still unwittingly have just proceeded to substantiate....i.e., according to what the deeper implication in your dream was.
James: You have proof? Wow what a liar.

NJK: Right check what I referenced to on my blog and also read your own ‘since 2000 planning’ statement. You and I are just at two, manifestly irreconcilable levels, -all due to your indifference to Full implications, with you being at that, at best, “half” level...You are thus only doomed to continue to repeatedly hit the wall with your “half-baked” understandings. And yes, you can keep “halfly” claiming/believing that only the escalated wall was meant here, and only its physical building was meant...you thus will just be continuing to miss the Spiritual/deeper point which is way beyond proving to people that you are a prophet...Your shoddy interpretations are enough to self-discredit you.

James: You must be something special to come up with imaginary proof of something just so you don’t have to apologize for your attacks.

NJK: You must be “something” to not be capable of seeing, let alone perceiving into things, further than your nose and mere surfaces....All reminds me of how people who are ignorant of God’s Remnant Church Truth grossly misinterpret Bible prophecy or just outrightly ignore it, and which is now the recursive case for SDA’s who like you refuse to heed Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness Message. “Suit yourself/ves” (=Isa 29:9-16)

James: I should have listened to God after He told me to let it go after that first attempt.

NJK: Indeed, it would have save me much time and aggravation which I certainly don’t need.

James: I’m done.

NJK: Great for me.....

James: I tried to help you

NJK: ....to help you...

James: and you just want to hurt someone,

NJK: Right...necessarily “remedially” hurt your vexatious pride as that is causing you to disdain Christ’s Truths....This is all like you calling a surgeon a butcher....I vitally just can’t perform that remedying surgery while you continue to sleep your Laodicean* sleep....Indeed I just cannot get someone who thinks they know better than Jesus and/or that their revelations means that they can ignore Jesus....You have a lot to Spiritually learn in that regard...and heads up....(1) the prophetic gift does not itself change the “like nature” of a person and (2) if God managed to prophetically work through the “Capitalistic-ish” Balaam...He obviously can prophetically work through anyone...indeed ask the pagan Nebuchadnezzar...So the prophetic gift surely does not mean that whatever one will from then on say or do must also be “directly from God Himself”...

218
James: so go away.

NJK: Go back up to three discussions with you...”I have been staying away from discussing things with you”...You are the one who just keeps returning, and then insist to want to have a discussion through you Christ denying stances...It is just not going to be accepted by me...When “you are converted” (Luke 22:32) (most likely, as with indeed the egoistically self-assured Peter, only after your pending disappointment) ...then we will be able to have an intelligent and Biblical discussion....

September 4, 2013

I hope some day you realize that by the fact that you have not even attempted to apologize for all your lies and misconceptions about me which you have published publically proves that you are not led by the Spirit of God so you can repent.

I wrote you trying to reconcile and you in your stubbornness have hardened your heart and rejected the offer of reconciliation thinking you know more about what God has shown me and about me personally (my age etc) than I know of myself.

You are a fraud and you have proven it before the world in how you dealt with me. Praise God. You should see all of the responses I got from sharing those emails with others. They know for a fact that you are possessed now.

Now I can see why God had me address you. To expose who really leads you. You did this to yourself.

One more thing about Ernie Knolls. I used to question that maybe he was led by God in a different tone than I was hearing and God told me to contact him just like He told me to contact you. Ernie was so positive about my emails on the dreams God had given me. The way Ernie responded so positively then all of the sudden, while he was stealing the information God gave me and saying they were his dreams, he proved to my heart in the Spirit of Christ that he was a fraud. This was in perfect response to my prayers for God to show me if He had led Ernie. Then Ernie proved it even more by not responding to my follow up emails. From hot to cold all because he was guilty. Your responses are very similar and God has proven to me that you also are a fraud. You lied to me and about me saying I threatened you and saying you know I am younger than you just to prove I was not inspired that you are younger, and when shown your lie you discontinue responding. You do not post your errors, only how you judge me in public. I have not lied to you about anything and you will know very soon what you have done to a brother who you have treated with such contempt. You will be ashamed.

I won’t ever write you again Ronald. I tried to call you brother. You have rejected and
proven your heart. Goodbye.

September 5, 2013

James: I hope some day you realize that by the fact that you have not even attempted to apologize for all your lies and misconceptions about me which you have published publically....

NJK: Keep “hoping” because you have neither provided any proof or reason for me to change any of those priorly expressed view by me about you, but you have, in, even here, spurious attempts to try to defend yourself further substantiated those observations!

James: ...proves that you are not led by the Spirit of God so you can repent.

LOL... Contrary to what you egoistically, infantilely think, proof of being led by God or not does not at all revolve what I, or anyone personally thinks or says about you. As Jesus said...‘judge at least by the works being done’ (John 10:24-25, 36-38)...and as you have quite a ongoing track record of specious prophetic interpretations, along with a shoddy way of handling Scripture and the SOP, you yourself have long provided all the evidence that is needed to, at the very least, as I have actually been doing, divorce your tacked on private interpretations for what God has genuinely revealed to you. = 2 Thess 5:21's ‘holding on to what is good.’ What you want is me and other to mindlessly give you a “Carte Blanche” endorsement for whatever you may claim or say, when they just, and that most fundamentally, do not agree with the full testimony of the Scriptures or SOP.

You want to insist in this way to be a Pope or Cult leader...effectively using gifting of God for private uplifting and use, well that is entirely your problem. You surely will never get that mindless endorsement from me. You will however surely find many SDA’s who need someone to do all of their thinking for them and will gladly let you believe whatever you think about yourself. Like I said...it is just ‘the blind leading the blind.’

James: I wrote you trying to reconcile

NJK: There is no ‘reconciliation” possible with me outside of the Full Truth...You want to keep ignoring Jesus Christ as if your prophetic revelations made you “bigger than him” then you are just showing how Spiritually immature you are and still have much to learn...because your Spiritually irresponsible and immature course ends up, and that by God’s deliberate allowance, in self-destruction. (Isa 28:5-13; Amos 7:7-9)* The sooner you grow up “in Christ” the better it will be for you.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

James: and you in your stubbornness have hardened your heart...
NJK: To your Selfish, Capitalism-rooted, anti-Gospel and Righteousness of Christ views,...all akin to the “Angel of Light” deception (=EW 266-269)

James: ...and rejected the offer of reconciliation thinking you know more about what God has shown me

NJK: God has not “shown” you your views and interpretations which are being proven to be false by the Bible....It is you who has a completely incorrect understanding of Inspiration and think that every and any thing that crosses you mind, however subjective, must either be directly from God, or it must somehow surely be in God’s will....Prior revelation proven to be from God as seen with the Bible and in the writings of EGW easily expose your confused claims and views. And if such contra-Bible and SOP, false views of yours actually did come from an inspiration, then that just surely was not the Spirit of God. (1 John 4:1; 1 Cor 12:10)...Simple as that...no matter how that hurts your pompous ego....

James: and about me personally (my age etc) than I know of myself.

NJK: LOL....so that “age issue” is some proof of inspiration for you...(1) I never said that my interpretation of your “older brother” claim (whoever the source of that actually was) was from any sort of inspiration, and (2) as I have said above here, me thinking you were younger than me was based on your, clearly now, mistaken indication on your Blogger profile that you were 35 when I was 37. At least that is how I now remembered seeing it then. So if this most frivolous issue is “Spiritual proof” to you, then that just shows how you indeed have no aptitude in doing proper Spiritual evaluation and clearly do just whimsically side with anything which can somehow vindicate you...

And as I said above...at the very least here God would be “conning” you to try to act your age in this discussion instead of your usual behaviorially and Spiritually immature way...because God moreover knows that, on top of my natural intolerance for dealing with immature people, I also have a mandate from Him to reject “grown up children” 1SM 110.1...

James: You are a fraud

NJK: You are so Spiritual incompetent that you are not even able to discern between the Truth and falsehood...so your “fraud” judgement just sound completely hollow to me...especially given the vacuous proofs for it that you have given here and earlier.

James: and you have proven it before the world in how you dealt with me.

NJK: Just, indeed “dealing” you as you insist to be dealt with as a indifferently persisting False Gospel proponent (Gal 1:6-11); and also following my 1SM 108-111 mandate which I would have actually naturally done so anyway in regards to Spiritually Immature people who moreover, indeed as kids, mask their embarrassment with temper tantrum.
James: Praise God.

NJK: Indeed for Him letting you believe whatever you want so as to ‘con-vince’ you to stop wasting my time...Now if you would only actually stop emailing me.

James: You should see all of the responses I got from sharing those emails with others.

NJK: In fact I actually should see them...I had clearly warned you to not be “naive or foolish” enough to cause me any tangible damage...well I see that you were indeed helpless naive and foolish enough to engage in unlawful “False Light Defamation”. Pun intended: “Suit” yourself. You chose to defaulty delete all of my response explaining my view and also avoid the future responses that I said I was going to send you, so you are fully liable for your False Light Defamation. Welcome to the real world of consequences where you are expected to “act your age” ...can’t say that God did not also warn you...

James: They know for a fact that you are possessed now.

NJK: Right....for you stupidly think that convincing them of these False Light falsehoods is best for you....And do gather up as much like-minded Spiritual novices as you can....You all deserve each other....with you being their blind, sins-of-omission white-washing, false “peace and safety” prophet. (Ezek 13:1-13)

James: Now I can see why God had me address you. To expose who really leads you. You did this to yourself.

NJK: Ohhhhh...I am so touched....Why didn’t I think of that.....Maybe I should ignore Jesus Christ and instead “sheepishly” give over my brain to you and your false claims and interpretations....Like I said you will realize one day how God “conned” you here, all in the ‘help me help you’. You want to indifferently keep rejecting the clear statements of Christ about His Gospel mandate, well God is certainly not going to send Angels from Heaven to correct you..but instead allow you to suffer whatever consequence results from your chosen course, starting with all of these repeated false interpretations and claims that you are making. Hopefully for you, you won’t be going too fast when you hit that “Adamant wall” so as to not “paralyse” or finish you off...Perhaps that “straight-jacket” and force-feeding will physically save what’s left of you then....

James: One more thing about Ernie Knolls. I used to question that maybe he was led by God in a different tone than I was hearing and God told me to contact him just like He told me to contact you. Ernie was so positive about my emails on the dreams God had given me. The way Ernie responded so positively then all of the sudden, while he was stealing the information God gave me and saying they were his dreams, he proved to my heart in the Spirit of Christ that he was a fraud.
NJK: Of course here JSOT does not give the “straightforwardly/objective/documented/factual/candid (transcript) information so that others can see for themselves what caused this claimed “sudden” change in/with Ernie Knoll, but just by the simple qualifying context here, it is most manifest that it was because JSOT was accusing him of stealing his dreams. As see above in pp. 99-105ff which JSOT has not answered the points which show that his assumptions and charge of “dream stealing” again Knoll are very flimsy at best and mere fanciful assumption. So this here is all a circular claim by JSOT and as it likewise occurred with me, he comes to his oblivious and indifferent fanciful conclusion, as in my case, choosing to not even read/receive my responses and then listens to surely the approving and encouraging voice of the one who love such a-factual lies, Satan himself, (which in such times of deliberate/rebellious indifference is permitted by God to “tempt” those wayward ones), and comes to his circular conclusions and accusations as also here.

Clearly it is JSOT’s entrenched belief that for someone not to be a “fraud” they must accept without question or discussion whatever he may claim and whatever conclusion he may come to. So for Knoll, he should have just accepted JSOT’s accusation that he was stealing his dreams, and for me, on top having to accept that he knows better than Jesus Christ, I would have had to accept his belief that I lied about him...Fact is JSOT needs to grow up: behaviorally, psychologically and most importantly Spiritually and all that this necessarily entails in order to be complete.

James: This was in perfect response to my prayers for God to show me if He had led Ernie. Then Ernie proved it even more by not responding to my follow up emails. From hot to cold all because he was guilty.

NJK: Sure...you have still not responded to the points which show that your claims against Knoll were not just more of your fanciful egoistical beliefs...You refusing to engage such point proves that you cannot give a truthful answer to them...thue YOU are guilty here, of indeed your typical egoticism.

James: Your responses are very similar and God has proven to me that you also are a fraud.

NJK: Like I said, here also, “whatever you need to believe”...and all so that you can leave me alone....I don’t have time to babysit “grown up children”. (ISM 108-111)

James: You lied to me

NJK: No I did not...you just misconstrue things...manifestly indeed psychologically caused by your blinding temper issues.

James: and about me saying I threatened you

NJK: You did threaten me. And I do not at all have to believe that you meant it in any Spiritual
way since you obviously thought/think that whatever you say or do is God’s will. So I certainly do not doubt that you may one day convince yourself that God Himself is telling your to “make my life hard”...There are plenty of Criminal Case precedence of people who either claimed to hear voices or God’s voice telling them to cause harm or even kill someone else. So you better, for your own sobering good, believe that I certainly took your expression as a threat...and guess what, as I know from prior Police intervening precedence on my behalf, in such matter of expressed threats....it ultimately does not matter what the Police thinks, it matter how I feel in regards to your, on its face and in itself, Threat!!

James: and saying you know I am younger than you

NJK: Sure James...I never said “I knew” (and certainly not from any Divine revelation) I just stated what I recalled from your Blogger Profile...but do believe whatever you fancifully need to mal-construingly believe here...it certainly does not help your overall case here....

James: just to prove I was not inspired that you are younger,

NJK: I never made such a claim, nor conclusion either....I said God was, and now either way, i.e., since you are actually older than me, then to “do act older”....which you obviously just can’t...Indeed either behaviorally or Spiritually....

James: and when shown your lie you discontinue responding.

NJK: Are you insane..... I had clearly said...and indeed have followed through and had finished, long before even noticing this latest email from you, my “point-by-point” responding to your claims...When I was going to send you my responses you are the one who, claiming some fanciful stalking charge, told me not to send you anything...So get some basic common and rational sense and clearly see the objective fact that I not only had not stopped responding to all that you have said, but neither did I myself “discontinue” anything here.

James: You do not post your errors,

NJK: Well since you can read this posting, indeed like I said I would do, and that when I said I would do, which at the very least was when this discussion was ended, then you just show how you indeed obliviously fanciful claim whatever you want/need to believe....

And I have not seen any indication that you had priorly checked my blog post or PDF document to see if I had by then made these responses and my updating prior to you making such an accusation...So you clearly are not interested in knowing the facts, but just what you would like/need to be the case.

James: only how you judge me in public.

NJK: Pertinently also more widely considered here: I have posted both sides of everything that I
have ever said to and about you and received/read from you... So if any “judging” of you is merely seen in these full postings, then it is entirely because you deserve to be judged....You easily could have both defended yourself and also substantiated your claims of me writing contrary to the Bible and SOP, but instead you repeatedly have (“been told to”) avoid such responsibility, (which if actually from God was clearly all because He yet again saw that you were not going to engage things substantively or foundationally and thus just end up wasting my time), so you are responsible for not being able to uphold yourself as having spoken according to God’s/Christ Full Light (John 3:19-20)...And if this is all because you cannot Spiritually function with an impression or revelation from God...(which actually clearly is not the case given the many unbiblical things you have been saying which clearly are entirely from your own thinking)....then that would indeed just show how Spiritually immature you are (Isa 28:5-13)* and do indeed need to “grow up in Jesus Christ” (Eph 4:11-16).

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

**James: I have not lied to you about anything**

**NJK:** You would not need to...you nonetheless still make various false claims and interpretations...which shows how clueless you are...and also prefer to be when you actually have the chance to first knowledgeably wisen up. Your “head in the sand” approach to ascertaining Biblical Truth is just not God’s own way...

**James: and you will know very soon what you have done to a brother**

**NJK:** New Flash: (A) we cannot be brothers” (Matt 12:46-50)...indeed I am not “related” to Capitalism-Idolaters.... and (B) I long have no reason to have any ‘Divine “fear” of/from you...Deut 18:22; 13:1-5. Indeed I have long understood your self-testing, “half-prophet” status and mandate.

**James: who you have treated with such contempt.**

**NJK:** As|When|Where|How|What-ever you fully deserve... (cf. Deut 13:5)

**James: You will be ashamed.**

**NJK:** Not at all (Isa 28:16 cf. Phil 1:20-24; Isa 54:4): And you are the one who “Laodiceanly”* (Rev 3:18) thinks that some things that Christ said must be ignored (Mar 8:38; Matt 28:20)...Good Luck with that (Rev 3:1-6; 16:15-16)...You’ll need it (Isa 28:17-29)..So go try to “spook” someone who has no Exegetical aptitude or Spiritual discernment or wit....Your deeming means absolutely nothing to me... (Matt 10:28)


**James: I won’t ever write you again Ronald.**
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NJK: I have heard that several times from you...do obey your “voice” if (a) it is really God’s own voice and (b) you actually do believe in Him, and are not here also merely “hearing from”, and/or acting according to, your fanciful whim...

James: I tried to call you brother.

NJK: That would have changed anything substantively...I rather focus on what you actually say rather than your crocodile “calls”.

James: You have rejected

NJK: As I duly, substantively should....

James: and proven your heart.

NJK: ...is controlled by my brain....

James: Goodbye.

NJK: Let’s see how long that last....most likely, as before...until you egoistically think to have some (spurious) thing to gloat about....

September 7, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post335

Ron Mon AKA NJK Project.

You asked quote;

"James, as you are publicly maintaining this claim of plagiarizing and stealing accusation against Ernie Knoll, then I think that it behooves you in basic Christian responsibility ethics and ‘False Testimony’ concerns that you explain why you (manifestly) do not see the reasons which I emailed to you (cf. here*) as to why I do not see your claim against Knoll to be supported as valid."

I would like to point out to everyone here how you have publicly tried to exacerbate everything that God has shared with me since I discovered your "testing" of the spirits page with me on it.

In essence Ronald M. says he thinks I was inspired by God by dreams and visions but that God did not give me the ability to interpret the dreams God gave me. At the point of exhaustion after trying to correct his misconceptions I gave up. Over a year later I was inspired by God to try to reconcile with Mr M and he pursued persecuting me again recently.
I was inspired to tell Mr M that I should relate to him like an older brother, but Ronald scoffed and insisted that he was my elder, telling me he saw on my profile that I was younger than him and then I proved that I am 11 years older than him and he did not apologize or repent.

Every thing I tried to convey to this mad man was scoffed at and ridiculed. I had been given a dream about a wall built in Israel in 2001 and he claims the wall was begun way before my dream, even though I can prove that it wasn't. I have been told by officials in Jerusalem and all the online evidence shows the wall (Separation Barrier) wasn't officially started until June of 2002 but he swears I am lying on this and refuses to apologize.

Now I know why God wanted me to try to reconcile with Ronald. Just like Ernie Knoll when God told me to communicate with him and he proved he was not inspired by the Holy Spirit Ronald has done the same.

Ronald lied in his emails with me, at one point saying he lost a wife while testifying of Christ then denied ever being married, and when I proved it to him that he lied after trying to deny ever saying that he stopped responding, just like Ernie did when I asked why were the dreams I was sending him being claimed to be his own dreams.

These events were in answer to prayer, to find out if these men were led by God. This is one way that God answers my prayers. I'll ask something specific and he will set up the turn of events and inspire me to ask the right questions to lead men to prove themselves.

Ronald is not inspired by the Spirit of God and he sets himself up to be our judge.

He believes that he is more inspired by God than Mrs White was under the influence of the Holy Spirit in the testimonies. He claims he knows "greater light" on the issues of the Sabbath than Mrs White did in her heavenly inspired testimonies.

This to me is all the evidence I need to stay away from him.

Now you will see his true side because I am prayerfully taunting him for the evidence that you all need to see how wicked this man can be.

You're on Ronald, do your worst.

Beware of wolves in sheep's clothes. I probably wont be coming back to this site again to respond here because of how wicked that man has been to me and I want to be free of his oppressive spirit.

Ronald, never contact me again. Do you understand? You need some serious help sir.
September 7, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post335

All of James “Son of Thunder” (JSOT)’s false claims, accusations and sentimental whinings here have been fully answered/addressed in these documented emails (pp. 107-208), and, [when he said he no longer wanted to receive them, and would straightly delete them...(but still read and responded to the ones which had been sent)] emails drafts (pp. 209ff)


[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Ron Mon AKA NJK Project.[/QUOTE]

It would have behooved you to make your public defamations towards the NJK Project...but I see that you are indeed “naive and foolish enough” to also aim to make it personal....like I had said.... “Suit” yourself...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] You asked quote;[/QUOTE]

[QUOTE who="NJK Project"] "James, as you are publicly maintaining this claim of plagiarizing and stealing accusation against Ernie Knoll, then I think that it behooves you in basic Christian responsibility ethics and ‘False Testimony’ concerns that you explain why you (manifestly) do not see the reasons which I emailed to you (cf. here*) as to why I do not see your claim against Knoll to be supported as valid."[/QUOTE]

So JSOT, as you do not actually go on to answer those disproving points, but rather claim your “voices” again, which at best can easily Spiritually be your own misunderstanding of them...(because God does, according to Free Will, allow people to come to their own, subjective decisions and conclusions from what He reveals, especially if/when they are set in their personal base/wayward course), then I see that you have no better answer that these irrelevant, shallow and peripheral, self-justifying excuses...Like I have said, you have a lot to Spiritually learn as well as to mature* in, in Christ...

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] I would like to point out to everyone here how you have publicly tried to exacerbate everything that God has shared with me since I discovered your "testing" of the spirits page with me on it.[/QUOTE]

I will always be working to “salvage” what is “good” (2 Thess 5:21) from whatever is not actually of God, such as your outright or tacked on private, subjective, selective and eisegetical, false claims, interpretations and conclusions on what are otherwise are proving to be genuine revelations, and even impressions from God....Welcome to God’s “Biblical Shaking”* (Amos 9:9; Matt 15:13)
[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] In essence Ronald M. says he thinks I was inspired by God by dreams and visions but that God did not give me the ability to interpret the dreams God gave me. [/QUOTE]

I never said anything about a “God-given ability” I said, and as above, still am neededly saying, you factually do not have the Theological/Biblical/Exegetical knowledge and, also pun intended, “fully” matured Spiritual Experience (ISM 25.4), not to mention stable behavior, to be able to rightly interpret your revelations...and indeed you selective and subjectively render them directly according to those deficiencies as it has by now amply been documented by me....If you think that you should naturally be able to fully interpret all of your revelations without the due work that fully needs to be involved here, then you just prove that you are quite intellectually and experientially “Spiritually immature”....and that level of course does not end well. (Isa 28:5-13)

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] At the point of exhaustion after trying to correct his misconceptions I gave up. [/QUOTE]

All you had to simply do was to deal with my Biblical disproving points head on instead of trying to insist on your eisegetical and personally limited claims and belief, starting with Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness Mandate which you patently need to ignore as if you know more/better than Jesus, LOL!!

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Over a year later I was inspired by God to try to reconcile with Mr M [/QUOTE]

Like I show with all related things considered in that PDF response (pp. 166ff) , that “inspiration” was God desperately “conning” (as in “con-fidence” artistry) into trying to have a sound/factual/intelligent (versus your typical emotion high strung prior ones) discussion with me...but of course you subjectively and fancifully construed these things...and you nonetheless did not do your part for reconciliation as you continue to think that “reconciliation” means that I have to accept everything you say just because you said it. That is just your egoistical spirit still controlling your character and hindering the working of God....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and he pursued persecuting me again recently. [/QUOTE]

You would have to have been “living Godly in Christ Jesus” (2 Tim 3:12) to be any where in the ballpark of me persecuting you....You instead ignoring and rejecting the Full Gospel claims of Christ puts you squarely in the Mark of the Beast Camp and thus also deserving of “Stern Rebuke”. But like vexatious Ahab, you can’t see your faults here (PK 139.4-142.2)

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] I was inspired...[/QUOTE]

Again, naturally “conned” by God....
[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] to tell Mr M that I should relate to him like an older brother, [/QUOTE]

but you just could not.....unless of course you “naturally”, basely understood this to mean “shut up and listen to me”...instead of you actually endeavoring to be, especially issues engagingly, “responsible”....Funny how you did not even have to tell me that, but just do it as it ‘responsibly’ involves...but you clearly thought that this was meant to try to “bullyingly shut me up”...you indeed have much to learn Spiritually.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] but Ronald scoffed [/QUOTE]

did not at all.....I tried to make Spiritual sense of this, particularly as I then thought from having read, (as I then recalled) your erroneous Blogger Profile info which indicated that I was a couple of years older than you.....and so “responsibility” was the most Spiritual understanding/interpretation that I could make of that supposed “impression” then....but now, since you are 11 years older...then that was clearly God telling you to “act your age”....but you still could not....and instead whimpered and stomped away....just like a desperate juvenile kid brother....I guess you indeed just cannot act maturely.....and that involved understanding that people just don’t have to swoon at everything you may claim, particularly when you won’t squarely engage the issues.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and insisted that he was my elder, [/QUOTE]

The transcripting record easily shows that I didn’t “insist” on anything...just once said, from what I remembered from your Blogger Profile that you were 2 years younger than me....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] telling me he saw on my profile that I was younger than him [/QUOTE]

Indeed.....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and then I proved that I am 11 years older than him [/QUOTE]

You didn’t “prove” anything, you just stated your year of birth...you should have properly (or truthfully?) entered it when setting up your Blogger Profile....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and he did not apologize or repent. [/QUOTE]

No need at all to apologize....If your impression was genuine, and as you are 11 years older than me, then God was telling you to “act your age”...but you just could not...Imagine the site of a brother 11 years older than his “kid brother” stomping all upset if it was the case that his younger brother wouldn’t believe that he was 11 years older than him....clearly you just don’t like the interpretation here which either way involves you “behaviorally growing up” and acting your
age...but instead want the fanciful “shut up and listen kid” belief....You are both psychologically helpless and most desperate..To the point that even God has to “con” you to try to get you to act ‘more responsibly’.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Every thing I tried to convey to this mad man...
[/QUOTE]

Really ‘high strung “emotional basket case” who is needy for approval....I don’t get “mad”...I get factual....indeed all because I know the facts.....So I leave the desperate resorting to emotional tantrums to you.....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] was scoffed at and ridiculed.  [/QUOTE]

I never did that with valid claims and statements from you...You just could not accept that what you believe was not actually the full truth on the matter and just wanted me to accept whatever you may claim. Only in your fantasy world and mentality...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] I had been given a dream about a wall built in Israel in 2001 and he claims the wall was begun way before my dream, even though I can prove that it wasn't. I have been told by officials in Jerusalem and all the online evidence shows the wall (Separation Barrier) wasn't officially started until June of 2002 but he swears I am lying on this and refuses to apologize. [/QUOTE]

As amply discussed” in those emails drafts which you did not dare want to receive, there is no need to change anything that I had said about your vision and claim. A factually documented, the Israel Separation Concrete Barrier wall was started to be build from the Oslo Accords in 1994. What you saw was merely an expansion and escalation of that already started project, which moreover was most likely well planned and even prototyped in a warehouse in its major components (i.e., higher wall segments, gates, security measures, etc) long before your dream, and you do state that they had such plans from at least 2000....All you are basely concerned about here is mere “signs and wonders” fodder to prop yourself up as some kind of unique prophet...well prophecy* is always just a matter of plans....and when left entirely up to man’s decisions, prophecy is based on what they are, even if merely in their minds, seriously thinking to do...


These serious and deep Spiritual things are not limited to your base needs of approval, so I suggest you stop trying to use any gifting of God for trying to aggrandize yourself and begin to get the bigger picture, starting with getting to the bottom of the clear things that God has included in your revelations.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Now I know why God wanted me to try to reconcile with
Ronald.  

...and it would have done you much good if you had...but since you didn’t, then continue on with your deficient interpretations and claims....and watch out for that inevitable adamant wall (Amos 7:7-9)

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Just like Ernie Knoll when God told me to communicate with him and he proved he was not inspired by the Holy Spirit Ronald has done the same. [/QUOTE]

Sure....It is telling that, just as you did with Knoll, you thought that this all meant that he and I, were just to believe and accept whatever you claim. Clearly you had issues with Knoll when he did not want to “accept” your accusation that you said he was stealing your dreams...How fanciful circular is that....Likewise, I do not have to “accept” your deficient, Christ-opposing understandings...God has try to save you from your egoistical self...but you obviously can’t see that...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Ronald lied in his emails with me, [/QUOTE]

You are sure you want to publicly go with that charge...well too late anyway....you already have....and just for the time I have to sacrificially take to deal with it is damage enough to me...You are indeed “most naive and foolish.”...I suggest for your own good that you grow up since you are 50 years old and unless you have a clinical development issue, you are fully liable for all that you say and do...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] at one point saying he lost a wife while testifying of Christ [/QUOTE]

So that is what you had “read” there, instead of what I actually had said...Just check that record or reread your email for what I actually said and meant, as clarified in the response email that you did not dare/want to receive so as to not spoil your assuaging wishful thinking and fantasy here....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] then denied ever being married, [/QUOTE]

Indeed.... “good as (prospectively) married”...now that is another issue....and what I meant....But you thinking to “jump all over this” shows how desperate you are.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and when I proved it to him that he lied after trying to deny ever saying that he stopped responding, [/QUOTE]

Now you are insane and delusional....You ended that email by telling me to “go away”...So why should I answer you....Accept the results/consequences of what you ask for...And you can now instead read the unsent response I have posted to that claim of yours in that PDF documents at
[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] just like Ernie did when I asked why were the dreams I was sending him being claimed to be his own dreams. [/QUOTE]

The proof of my point exactly...you accuse him and because he won’t sheepishly accept your accusation, you claim that this is proof that he is inspired Satan..Read Zech 3. That is all just how Satan, the accuser acts, except with you, you do not actually get people to actually do what you say to/about them...but still then find fault with them... So you are actually a Satan wannabee...indeed wishing beyond hope that what you think of people is the factual truth...otherwise you would have to face the fact that you are, as the very least, just confusing your own thoughts as being God’s own....You indeed need to grow up Spiritually...Learn from e.g., the prophet Nathan that not all that you say and/or think is God’s own revelation...In fact you should have learn that lesson a long time ago following your Eighth King, John Paul II bodily resurrected, false prophecy...but instead you have doubled-down in your specious prophetic claims and interpretations....Good Luck with that....you’ll be doing more than just ‘crying all day’ when your claims here are again shown to be completely false.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] These events were in answer to prayer, to find out if these men were led by God. This is one way that God answers my prayers. [/QUOTE]

Given your resolute Christ Full Gospel antagonism....God may have actually “betray you over to Satan” (1 Cor 5:5; cf. EW 56.1)) in order to “destroy your flesh” (and He is now being fittingly “subtle”* about it given your indifferent rebellion) so that, like Peter (Luke 22:32) when you are converted from your egoistical, men caring self (Matt 16:23), He then would be able to (fully) use you.


[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] I'll ask something specific and he will set up the turn of events and inspire me to ask the right questions to lead men to prove themselves. [/QUOTE]

If God determines if someone is doing his will by your fanciful, infantile testings, then this universe is in trouble...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Ronald is not inspired by the Spirit of God [/QUOTE]

Rather: I am not subject to your erroneous and deficient understandings of the workings of God’s Spirit...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and he sets himself up to be our judge. [/QUOTE]
Not at all that hard when I use the Bible and SOP as the Rules of Procedure and Constitutional/Legislated Law. You all need to get up to speed with its requirement and mandate.

...And clearly JSOT is most “naturally” of the same spirit as of the common criminal who defaultly hates “Law Enforcement” (=Amos 5:10), and through his resorting to insignificant/“meaningless”, fanciful and/or outright false claims of to try to disprove me, he has shown that he is of the same unrighteous spirit as those spoken of in Isa 29:21!

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]He believes that he is more inspired by God than Mrs White [/QUOTE]

Nope..that is just what you “immaturely” think I said/meant...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]was under the influence of the Holy Spirit in the testimonies. [/QUOTE]

...and look, if you dare, at what she said in 6T 17.1... and that after having written her Great Controversy book and Final Event Scenario...‘there was still more to learn about the Mark of the Beast issue’...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]He claims he knows "greater light" on the issues of the Sabbath than Mrs White did in her heavenly inspired testimonies. [/QUOTE]

Your fundamental problem is that you won’t dare read or study what she has said on that Sabbatical issue and final events. E.g., in WM 23-63ff...Good luck with you resorting to sticking your head in the sand....Do stay in the “dark” here....You thereby also show that you think that you do not have to even read what EGW has said on such issues since you are lead by your “spirit” to believe that this view must be false.....All sounds like Satan at “special-SDA-ensnaring” work here (EW 266-269)...

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]This to me is all the evidence I need to stay away from him. [/QUOTE]

Please... “whatever” it takes from people who refuse to receive and heed God’s Spirit (1SM 108-111).

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]Now you will see his true side because I am prayerfully taunting [/QUOTE]

So you openly admit that you pray to your god of subjective baseness... not surprising at all that he is the one who is then permitted to answer your “prayers” (EW 56.1)...You should have prayed for God’s Truth instead.....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]him for the evidence that you all need to see how wicked
this man can be.

Yes “wicked” according to your warped Spirituality (Isa 5:20)...Try ingesting Christ’s Shaking surviving, Laodicean remedy* first and then you’ll be able to see the “light” and learn your lesson in these “terrible things in righteousness.” (CET 228.2a-6)**


[QUOTE who="James Tierney"]You're on Ronald, do your worst.[/QUOTE]

You can only (fancifully) wish that I ever, or would do that...Unlike your desperate, temper-haywire self, I never let others control me or my emotions...

...And here is a righteous taunt at you: Do read in my bio-post* of the several incidences where and how I have dealt with various bullies and people who dare to “cross” me...and what fully became of those situations....You then should have all the clues you need to know what is best for you to do....


[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Beware of wolves in sheep's clothes. [/QUOTE]

Unlike your desperate, I never pretend to be a sheep....Everybody who is concerned clearly has explicitly or understandibly known of my foundational Isa 6:8-13|Matt 13:10-17 mandate....Just following my orders indeed*

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] I probably wont be coming back to this site again to respond here [/QUOTE]

Sure...just, as you always do, and need to do, pre-emptively setting up your getaway to avoid facing the full facts of the matter...Carefully guard your bubble of fanciful lying beleifs.

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] because of how wicked that man has been to me [/QUOTE]

Yes...like the patent, clinical abusive person/personality...: putting the blame on others for your own created problems and character flaws...You need psychological/behavioral/temper/anger management treatment....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] and I want to be free of his oppressive spirit. [/QUOTE]
You should have thought of that before you addressed and especially falsely accused/blamed me...I have never backed down from any bully....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Ronald, never contact me again. Do you understand? [/QUOTE]

You don’t see me sending emails to you lately, do you???. And if you had not initiated contact with me 3 times since February, all to gloat about yet another specious interpretation by you, you would not have received any emails from me since my last one on August 3, 2012!!

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] You need some serious help sir. [/QUOTE]

You are actually beyond help...because your “voices” and/or what you construe from them, tell you so...There are only two options for you here on your Gospel Truth indifferent and Spiritually immature course/heading: and both end in serious physical and/or psychological injury to you Amos 7:7-9 & Isa 28:13.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post337

I am very glad to hear that Lay Worker.

I want so much to reach out to people like that and help them in the name of Jesus, but when they set themselves up as the judge of the Adventist church I lose my temper. This is my fault and God is working on me for this, so please forgive me.

I love God so much. I love how He has led the church through the Spirit of Prophecy and how He led me to this wonderful knowledge, but when I am persecuted I still allow myself to be lured into a fight. Please Father forgive me, amen.

Peace in the name of Jesus.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post499

LOL....Most typical of you JSOT... Just as in your Conversion Dream*...Desperately right into the arms of ‘the enemy of your enemy’...now there’s actually a wolf in sheep’s clothing that you should be leery of....but whatever approves of you and makes you feel better....


The SDA Church is indeed long being “measured/judged”, as God’s prophetic word has now called for*...you are just, now clearly in the ‘being also judged darkness’ here!!! (=Ezek 9)
You are suffering the same typical issue as relatively recent SDA converts who just cannot accept/believe that God would lead them into a Church which He is in the process of rejecting...If you had preached your message right/fully to SDA’s it could have helped, help themselves...but like them, you chose to fancifully construe God’s revelations according to your self-interests and had no qualm ignoring whatever did not fit your “prove me right” and “come soon to save me” white-washing interpretations and claims...Just as typical with false prophets (=Ezek 13:1-17; cf. EW 36.2).

Your temper flares up are always just one opposing comment away from manifesting themselves. You should really focus of being able to properly substantiating and proving your various claims and interpretation rather than throwing chairs across the room....but hey....you ‘helplessly have “thundering” temper issues’....

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post357

This is the truth in the name of Jesus, I have hundreds of stories like this but I only share them when I am inspired to, not when men like Ronald M. demands it. He wants me to tell him every particular of the dreams and visions like it is his duty to sit in judgment of what God shows me, and when I am warned to only share so much this enraged him against me.

September 8, 2013

Still pompously also ignore Christ’s words in Matt 5:33-37....

If you actually had a dream from God, then it is your duty to state all of its detail if you are going to use it against others....Otherwise it can easily be understood that you are just fancifully making up the details as you go along...I don’t have to go by your objectively nonsubstantiated claims of visions and dreams...particularly in regards to me....I see no basis of what you claim in any Biblical prophetic experience. This is just your “Pontifical Spirit“ overruling your thinking here. So but I just don’t “bow before you” and “kiss your ring”....Keep looking for others who “sheepishly” do....

As EGW states, the Prophetic fact is that it takes just as much Spiritual inspiration to recall a dream as to have it. (3SM 48.4) So if you are not doing your due diligence to properly record your claims of revelations then don’t be surprised if God later won’t help you to recall its details.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post421

Then I read his writing on tithe and offering and I started to see red flags go up in light of
the Spirit of Prophecy. That is when I contacted him directly. I prayed for God to reveal his motivation to me.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9LlRE4DBDHOIO/post509

Knoll’s tithe and storehouse revelation is in full harmony with the SOP, indeed as also practice by EGW: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uCNkUOh3k4U

September 8, 2013

An eminent return of Christ was IMPOSSIBLE during the apostolic church, if you do not see this and continue to make your boisterous claims you will be left to your own devices.

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9LlRE4DBDHOIO/post446
@ Shubee
"The “man of sin,” which is also styled “the mystery of iniquity,”“the son of perdition,” and “that wicked,” represents the papacy, which, as foretold in prophecy, was to maintain its supremacy for 1260 years. This period ended in 1798. THE COMING OF CHRIST COULD NOT TAKE PLACE BEFORE THAT TIME. Paul covers with his caution the whole of the Christian dispensation down to the year 1798. It is this side of that time that the message of Christ’s second coming is to be proclaimed."{GC 356.1}
Try to explain your lie in light of this fact.

September 8, 2013

Certainly not comradely siding with, or defending, Shubert here...just defending Full/Biblical Truth:

As discussed in the section here* from this GC 356.1 quoting/claim made at ASI 2013, those time prophecies did not have to be fulfilled according to a day-year principle. The post-Apostolic Church Age fulfilling of prophecy required a new charting of prophetic events as depicted in Rev 5 and thus concealed OT prophecies (e.g., the 2300 days) would be timely fulfilled....and it actually was the Roman Catholic Church during Church History which caused that Heavenly Sanctuary and Priesthood of Christ to be trampled under (Dan 8:10-14) Prior to that, as seen in the book of Hebrews the Church had the proper understanding of the Heavenly Sanctuary Ministry. So without that set time granted to the Historical Church, the Final Judgement could have been effectuated earlier, that is before the Post-First Century Historical charting of Prophecy.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/12/endtime-reality-check.html#sdapseudosop
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And EGW is not the first nor final interpreter of Scripture....She herself counseled that....Many times she “seamlessly” just said things straightly according to her understandings at that time and not for a direct revelation.*


The proof of any claim of prophetic inspiration, including James’ claims here (who has had false prophetic claims in the past), is in whether or not it agrees with, pointedly/ultimately, Scripture.

**September 8, 2013**
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post357

...*God worked through me to show a sign to someone else. That is what true prophecy is for. Not the kind of mumbo jumbo that Ernie espouses. Do you see the difference?...*

**September 8, 2013**
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post504

That is all just more of JSOT’s various Spiritual immaturity deficiencies talking and thinking this to be God’s Truth.....Prophecy is itself not “signs”. And signs are actually for ‘adulterous and evil’ unbelievers (Matt 16:1-4; 1 Cor 14:22 (e.g. Acts 2:7-8) ) Prophecy is for believers and, as seen with EGW, only in initial stages does God then provided attesting/open signs. After that, the content of the revelation themselves is what determines if it is a true prophecy or not.

So as Ernie Knoll was straightly sent to ‘His People’ i.e., SDA’s. No observable outward sign is to be expected for his revelations. SDA’s should rather be able to properly, substantively test his revelations.

**September 8, 2013**

If that is true then why did Ernie stop communicating with me when I asked if he had taken information from my dreams and claimed it for himself? He completely stopped communicating with me when I started asking questions, but before then he was open to several emails and sounded very supportive of my dreams. NOT ONCE did he ever say he had a dream like mine, he just stopped communicating with me and started printing that information as his own.

I have hundreds of witnesses about publishing my dream. The bridge collapsed on the exact day that I was led to open a smoothie shop called "Pulse" Juice and Smoothies.

Again I will state, the beginning of that dream that God gave me was about highway 35w here in Minneapolis closed and cars abandoned in the middle of the highway with the
doors open and the keys still in the ignition, the point of the highway in the dream was right where the bridge collapsed one month later. I felt impressed by God to send that dream to Ernie Knolls after praying for light on whether he was sent by God or not. He responded very positively then only a few weeks later I found his dreams were including parts of the exact language God gave me to describe the dream almost VERBATIM! And from that moment on he has not responded to any of my several emails.

How could this be a coincidence? Since you and Ron Mon seem to still have a connection with Mr Knoll why don't you ask him yourselves? I would stand in front of his face and say all of this to him in the name of Jesus because God has proven it to me.

Then the fact that Ernie was quoted admitting some of his dreams were not from God was a verification of what he did against God. Claiming a Counsel was verifying his dreams when he was sending the information himself, and the lie of Candice etc. all lies against God. So why do men keep supporting him?

The biggest thing that showed me afterwards when the curtain was pulled back is the fact that most of Ernie's later dreams have no sanctifying effect. They are all flights of fancy instead of showing the Spirit of understanding the word of God.

The visions and dreams God gives me all point to an event that comes to pass VERIFYABLY or leads to a deeper comprehension of the word of God. There are some times God gives me insight into a problem that I don't have the mental resources to accomplish on my own and it is PROVEN to be the best resolution to the problem.

Case in point; I was working on an air pressure regulator problem at the company I worked for (Tescom) and no one could come up with the solution. I prayed about it and God gave me a vision. I saw that they were producing the regulator backwards. They built everything off the valve stem causing major defects to occur in the process and by the time they had torqued the valve body parts together the diaphragm was permanently out of place and no amount of adjusting could work the issues out.

He showed it to me this way... I saw an un-tuned drum head (I'm a musician and God always tries to show me things in ways I could understand) and the drum head was permanently encased in a frame that could never be adjusted. God said "this is the same situation with the diaphragm" and as soon as he finished speaking I was shown two tools that would not only solve the problem but eliminate 12 time consuming steps in the manufacturing process.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post357

The next day I shared it with the owner of the company and the designers and engineers and I saw a light-bulb light up over their heads. The next week both tools were sitting on
the desk of the head engineer and they completely restructured that assembly line a month later based off of the plans that God showed me. The success rate of the assembly process went from 5% to 85% and it not only saved that company it made them millions of dollars.

Would you agree this was a valuable vision? It had substance. It had an effect of testimony because I was not afraid to say where I got the idea. I could not claim it for myself and immediately I was persecuted in that company for it, but I guarantee you someone saw the light in the process. God worked through me to show a sign to someone else. That is what true prophecy is for. Not the kind of mumbo jumbo that Ernie espouses. Do you see the difference?

I am not popular like Ernie was. I am hated by most of the church yet they have no reason other than the fact that Holy Spirit speaks to me and it causes the people inside of the church to see their sinful condition. God uses me to reprove sins within the church all the time. Their imagination and scheming is thwarted and they get very upset at me for telling it like God shows me. I praise God for the persecution, which leads to proof of who my true brothers are through it.

This is the truth in the name of Jesus, I have hundreds of stories like this but I only share them when I am inspired to, not when men like Ronald M. demands it. He wants me to tell him every particular of the dreams and visions like it is his duty to sit in judgment of what God shows me, and when I am warned to only share so much this enraged him against me.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post360

Some times I look for a sign from God to verify He showed me something. Here is the perfect example.

I was shown the designs for a smoothie shop that I would be allowed to use as a ministry for God. It was to teach my neighbors the health reform message and about the Sabbath. The company would be called "Pulse" Juice and Smoothies after Daniel 1: 7. It would be closed on the Sabbath and this would cause people to ask why I was not open on Saturdays giving me the opportunity to share the reason.

But while I was designing the shop, establishing the recipes and all other things to building it the economy started to wain and gas prices went up to $4 per gallon. This was very scary because I was going to put myself into debt in the start up.

So I prayed silently "Father, if it is your will that I start the smoothie shop ministry please let gas prices go down to under $3 per gallon and I will take it as a sign.

I kept studying and planning. Then one night I had a dream... I saw a baby being born and saw the nurses take the placenta away saying they were going to cryogenicly freeze it to
harvest the stem cells later in the child's life.

Then in the spring when I had planned to open the smoothie shop just before I had planned on giving up my job I saw a news report.

I saw that scientists had discovered that they could harvest stem cells from after birth. Exactly like my dream which had been given before they announced this discovery. Then minutes later the local news reported that gas prices had dropped to $2.95 per gallon.

This was a double sign that could not have been counterfeited by Satan because it was not only in answer to my prayer but it was in answer to the dream that God had given.

So that spring I opened Pulse Juice and Smoothies and spent three years testifying to my neighbors about the love of God. And when the economy tanked in 2008 one year after I had opened it I still had all the income I needed to keep it open.

Every time I was afraid of not making the bills and sustaining my life with my wife who was helping me in the venture, God always did a miracle and we had everything we needed. IT WAS AWESOME. Then three years later God told me it was time to sell the shop. And I sold it and was completely out of debt!

Can anyone else say they have ever had an experience like that? Three years later I have a house and two brand new cars that are paid for and no debts. In that process I shared the love of God through bible studies, teaching about the Sabbath and the health reform message with thousands of people and several people embraced the Lord and were baptized which makes it all worth it! I would have never done it if I had not been reassured through the dream and answer to prayer.

I cannot tell you what a blessing it all was. Three years later I still have people coming to me asking questions about the Lord and scripture and many have embraced the Adventist faith. Praise God. Amen.

September 8, 2013

No I don't believe he profited from it, in fact I believe just the opposite. By using the dream that God gave to me, he exposed himself and I began to pray for God to expose him to the world. It was just a couple of months later that the emails he claimed to be from "the council" were found to be from his own computer. Then came the exposure of his lies about Candice etc. So NO he did not profit from stealing the dreams God gave me, in fact it took away his profiteering from the lies he promulgated in the name of God. That is how God uses me.

I once had a pastor who would not accept ordination in the SDA church, he refused but the General Conference still gave him authority of one of the biggest congregations in
Minnesota because of his charisma. And when the subjects of my visions and dreams came up he started persecuting me behind my back while claiming to be my friend, then after he had done all he could against me I prayed for his errors to be exposed.

He had sold a beautiful SDA church from under 100 wonderful elderly brothers and took away the SDA title, putting the church over 2 million dollars into debt building the start of a 'mega' church. He secretly baptized homosexuals and started a rock band in the sanctuary and on and on. I prayed and his agenda was exposed and he was forced out of the church. Did it profit him to do what he did against me? No WAY. I believe he is a Jesuit spy and he was exposed in so many terrible things.

September 8, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post421

At first I received no warning of his writings and I could find nothing to contradict the Spirit of Prophecy, but something started to go astray.

After a while none of his writings had anything to really say. They seemed to be flights of fancy. Then I read his writing on tithe and offering and I started to see red flags go up in light of the Spirit of Prophecy. That is when I contacted him directly. I prayed for God to reveal his motivation to me.

That is when I shared my dreams with him to see what he would say. He was very positive and acted excited to read them, only having very little criticism. But then I didn't hear from him for a while after he promised to get to me. Then I read his writings that seemed to be including similar images as the dream God gave me and this continued through three of his next dreams which had a definite different tone than his other dreams. I emailed him reminding him of his promise and asked if my dreams had effected his new dreams because of the obvious similarities. NO response. I emailed again, no response. And that is when his next dream had almost the exact same wording as the dream God gave me about the collapse of the Highway 35W bridge. I emailed him demanding for a response and he never contacted me again.

Now if you were in my shoes, would you not see this as an answer to prayers for God to reveal his true motivations?

I have no question in my mind whether Ernie plagiarized the inspiration that God gave me, and I'm pretty sure that the emails I sent had everything to do with Ernie being exposed because the emails were sent to his wife also.

Now to my brother David seal...

Was Balaam at one time a prophet from God? Yes he was. In fact the wise men from the
east understood by the prophecies of Balaam that the Messiah would be born around the
time Jesus was born through those prophecies.

Did Balaam fall? Yes he did by seeking profit he fell hard. His name is synonymous with
profiteering prophets in scripture.

Could Ernie have been originally inspired by God and then fell from the pressure of
keeping fresh prophecies coming with the following he was developing and all of his
speaking engagements? MOST DEFINITELY!

That he fell is not even questioned here. He fell hard through lies and half truths. Is he
forgiven? If he truly wants forgiveness he would be a lot more forthcoming to those he
deceived, then the healing process could begin. But since he is not forthcoming even God
will find it hard to forgive someone who does not publicly repent of public sins. If we have
sinned we must repent and ask forgiveness of those we have done offense to, which in
Ernie's case was a lot of people. Thousands of people need to hear it from his own mouth
not some errant email or web posting. He needs to get on his knees and ask for forgiveness
before witnesses.

The fact that he has not done this and instead hides behind more lies to me is all the
evidence I need to be shown not to listen to anything he has to say, just like Balaam. Do you
see?

September 9, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post534

I was asked to come here by NJK project to see that someone else had quoted me from
another website on Ernie Knoll.

September 10, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TGKCONSUI9CDB3ESV/post644

(A) I did not “ask” you to do anything....I merely just ‘notified’ you that someone had
imposteringly posted in regards to you and that ‘you MAY want to look into it”. So don’t try to
blame your issues on me in any way.... (email transcript at p.105 in here:

(B) You yourself brought up your visions and dreams back in Post #190* (Aug 26)

*http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post190

(C) Then you returned to this forum at Post #335* (Sep 6) to desperately try to slander me,
wherein you yourself, trying now to justify yourself, again brought up your visions and dreams...
(D) Tellingly again, I have not see anyone oppose those revelations themselves, but rather the derived views you have, pointedly here, and not commenting on whether they are right or wrong, about your (latest) “impressed” impressions...which you also here think they validate you to not have to engage Scripture.

September 9, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post536

And men like Ronald, who started out saying he believed I was truly receiving visions and dreams, the effect it has on them is that they are tested and they try to fit the vision into what they think it should mean, and the next thing you know they are persecuting me.

September 9, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TGKCONSUJ9CDB3ESV/post645

Again you, with your Martyr Complex*, desperately need to imply that I have something against your vision, dreams or even impressions per se...I don’t...(when they prove, as thus far to themselves be genuinely Biblical...Your problem is that you carnally just cannot accept that I do not swoon to your pontifical claims and interpretations. Indeed I do have problems with your interpretations of them all because your are rebelliously refusing to involve Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness message and mandate in them. So that is why you, like OT Jews who had greatly light directly from God in their Bible, can’t but at best see partly/half the light that your revelations contain, for, as with them, your are rejecting what Jesus has fully taught. (=2 Cor 3:7-18). Perfect case in point, your Gethsemane dream contains such much more light than what you can see, because you are not including the self-sacrificing for others message that is involved in it, which is what God is actually looking for from His professed people to Finish the Work (e.g., 16MR 34.1), but can merely see here a quasi-Pharisaical, navel gazing look into oneself.....Jesus did not at all suffer in/from Gethsemene for His sins, but only because He there resolutely agreed to bear the sins of others in order to show them the way. So all, and any interpretation that you find for such revelations, including those that your are genuinely impressed to see, are all fatally flawed by you refusing to including the Full Gospel/Righteousness message of Christ in them. That is why your interpretations are false, even when half-valid...And this is all you basely/selfish want Jesus to come back and save you...i.e., before you die...As your first vision stated, there is much work to be done in God’s field...but I don’t even here you exhorting people to get involve in evangelism and missions...All you basely care about are what “signs and wonders” of the supposed soon Second Coming you can wrestle out of your revelations, to prove that you are ‘God’s gift in the (supposed) end times’, and smugly ignore anything else...starting with the counsel and “testimonies” of Christ....Good Luck with that...you deserve to be called out for the “Angel of Light” deception role you are increasingly, actually, naturally advancing. (Gal 1:6-11)...and I am certainly most willing and glad to do it. All that you at best are, as you indifferently insist on being, as a “half-prophet”...You need to learn that other half.....
Your smug refusal to heed “every word” that Jesus has said is what is “hopeless” in these matters and with you...and it all attributable to your Spiritual Immaturity (=Isa 28:5-13) wherein you inherently arrogantly think that God Himself has to give you a vision if He wants you to obey His Son and what by now you should be able to easily find and read for yourself....LOL...You still want to be spoon fed (=Heb 5:11-6:8)... * http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martyr_complex

September 10, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post536

Does the visions and dreams of Ernie Knoll have anything like this in it?

September 10, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TGKCONSJ9CDB3ESV/post646

Again more (indifferent) Spiritual Ignorance=Immaturity (also in regards to Ezek 14:1-8) from James Tierney..just like unconverted the pre-Cross disciples who were always arguing ’who was the greatest” (Matt 18:1-4)

2 Cor 10:12-13; cf. ~John 21:20-22

September 10, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post563

And this is who you speak against right now, you are speaking against Christ our savior by slamming the writings of Mrs White who was sent by HIM.

Shame on you and everyone else who says these things against God's messenger. SHAME AND CONTEMPT IS ON YOUR HEAD FROM THIS DAY FOREWARD!

September 10, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TGKCONSJ9CDB3ESV/post647

As shown on pp. 188-198 in here*, James Tierney has not problem at all, tacitly, (as if that then made it okay), ignoring the statements of EGW when it suits his prophetic interpretations and claims, such as claiming that the woman of Rev 17 was Mary, ‘who John ‘wondering afterly’ recognized), and represents Marion apparitions.

1- That is against hermeneutic rules of prophetic interpretations which clearly show that a woman in Bible prophecy represents a Church (=a (faithful or apostate) form of God’s Israel);

2- That is completely contrary to EGW’s (Biblical) understandings, who said that this represented the Roman Catholic Church, and then stated that Protestant (America) would take the
In all my years as a Seventh Day Adventist I have never heard any pastor, elder or evangelist in our church teach these things in recent times. These truths have been forgotten, and God used a lowly layman like me to bring them back to light.

For one thing, since I had heard this mentioned in passing by an SDA Evangelist long before you did, then you were not the first to “rediscover” it...It was just not being stressed by SDA’s and also as I made mention of this on my Revelation’s Babylon blog post (October 29, 2011)* before you published your Eighth King blog (December 12, 2011) then, I brought it forth/to light before you did...and also have done so much more fully, with the added SOP prophecying that Protestant America would later be represented by that Rev 17 imagery....I.e., its “fourth phase” so to speak. You are stuck in passed understanding, and of course, all because you refuse to heed Christ Full Sabbatical Gospel Righteousness message and thus think that the Anti-Christ power will only be used to oppose the religious Letter of God’s Law, instead of also its simultaneous socio-economic Spirit (e.g, Deut 5:15; Isa 58)

This blog will detail all of the information for you to make up your own mind...

NJK if you don't mind I would like to address this without your determined opposition so please keep your comments to yourself.
LOL... “please keep your comments to yourself.”...Your immature reasoning sound like an 8-year old’s at best..., particularly with thinking that saying “please” further justifies your irrational request....Again, “grow up” already and responsibly engage the full requirements and consequences of you thinking to speak for God...God’s Truth is much more expansive than your typical egoistical fishbowl of Spiritually immature understandings.

How can’t I ‘not mind’ and not be ‘determinedly opposed’ to your claims since you will be, indeed now most indifferently, obliviously so*, repeating your already disproven and debunked false & deficient claims and interpretations...However I don’t have time to waste with your truth-evasive tactics....and also know this...whatever I let go uncommented upon in the falsehood you claim are actual in perfect furtherance of you and your falsehoods being exposed. Indeed the easiest way to incriminate a guilty person is to ‘let them take the stand and speak’....

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney

...And, relatedly, I see below that you also subscribe, and cater, to that most infantile “out of sight, out of mind & “reality”” mental, but here psychological, deficiency where you think that you need to copy and paste your entire blog post here so that people can take them into consideration....How comical...Frankly your pervasively default/patent infantile/childish reasoning, pointedly when under some form of pressure, reminds me of those in EGW’s time who taught that Christ’s counsel to become like little children meant that they went about crawling on all fours and wailing like toddlers...try the ‘humility’ that Jesus had in mind here instead (SpTA07 13.1), as well as, Spiritually and mentally/psychologically, (1 Cor 13:11; cf. Heb 5:11-6:8)...if ever you actually can....

I, and my faithful correctings, am/are not your problem, ‘you and your erroneous/false views are your own problem’... (PK 139.4-142.2)

September 16, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TQRG6KLQ216E4PNKM/post1226

I am sharing this in perfect confidence that God told me this in the name of Jesus, so test the SPIRIT OK?

September 22, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TQRG6KLQ216E4PNKM/post1381

...James Tierney’s Eight King claims have long, already been “tested”, and transparently shown to be a house of cards of spurious and outrightly fallacious interpretations...so much so that James has to use all kinds of superficial excuses to not read these debunk-tions. See the detailed responding to JT e.g., in pp. 148-162 in this transcripting document:
September 16, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TQRG6KLQ216E4PNKM/post1233

@ Earburner

in the next couple of years when you see the Sunday law fulfilled, the blood will drain from your face and you will faint in a panic.

Then you will see the words written on the wall "weighed in the balance and found wanting" and at that point there will be NOTHING that you can do.

I feel for you in your ignorance. You have been warned.

September 22, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TQRG6KLQ216E4PNKM/post1382

So now JSOT has gone the pompously presumptuous route of several “pseudo-SOP” SDA’s of thinking to make quasi-timed predictions....Reminds me of David Gates* and his litany of false date, and date range, settings, both proscribed by the SOP, as well as the prediction of then NAD Stewardship director Ed Reid who at ASI (August) 2010** said that the US Economy would surely collapse in 2-3 years and thus launch final events and Sunday Laws...Well the passing of ASI (August) 2013 has just proved him to also have been a “Pseudo-SOP”*** False Prophet, as, Biblically, and SOP, knowing what Christ is instead actually looking for in order to bring about the end (Matt 24:14),**** it will be, yet again, the case with James Tierney and his ‘Gospel of Jesus Christ, indifferently snubbing’ messages...(Deut 18:20-22)

*** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/12/endtime-reality-check.html#sdapseudosop

September 14, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post682

The Catholic church themselves calls Mary the "MOTHER of THE CHURCH" so why would it be so hard to see that the vision of John seeing the woman and the angel describing what John had seen would be finally interpretative in light of the false visions of Mary which Mrs White never mentions? There is no harm in that statement.

And I said that while also telling you I never claimed that was divinely inspired, only
applying the new elements of what has come to pass to the proven prophetic interpretation.

Hermeneutics... since we are primarily speaking about the interpretation of written texts, you should probably use the word 'Exegesis' shouldn't you?

You constantly want to throw around big words and try to make others look simple. Jesus would NEVER DO THAT, neither would any of the Apostles.

You want to know why Jesus didn't study in the schools of the pharisees? Men like you always trying to talk over other peoples heads does nothing but give the false illusion of making yourselves look more enlightened. But I don't read anywhere in scripture Jesus quoting Aristotle's work On Interpretation, do you?

September 21, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1212

Wow JSOT is speaking to me again....Why am I not at all surprised since here you think you have something to gloat about instead of being completely stumped and trying to use your “uncontrollable temper” as an excuse for not having responded before...You arbitrary fickleness alone disproves your many claims of ‘God having told you something’...Fact is that you are so Spiritually Immature that you defaultly, wishfully, think that your every thought must somehow be from God. Even EGW knew that she had to become a “scholar”and did her knowing best to do so...And you know why Bible prophets did not say similarly things...because they e.g., already spoke Hebrew|Aramaic and Greek and were themselves Jewish, living in the days of their own writings....You are the one who needs to get up to speed on such interpretational contextualizing elements....

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] The Catholic church themselves calls Mary the "MOTHER of THE CHURCH" so why would it be so hard to see that the vision of John seeing the woman and the angel describing what John had seen would be finally interpretative in light of the false visions of Mary which Mrs White never mentions? There is no harm in that statement.][/QUOTE]

As stated in that post #647*, I had already addressed your fallacious prophetic interpretations and claims in that document** pp. 188-198...If you won’t read it because you had sworn that you won’t read it or any of my emails, or if you indeed have an “out-of-sight-out-of-reality” issues, then that is entirely your problem and deficiency..deal with them or just remain in your gross errors....

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TGKCONSUY9CDB3ESV/post647

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] And I said that while also telling you I never claimed that
was divinely inspired, only applying the new elements of what has come to pass to the proven prophetic interpretation.[/QUOTE]

What is it with that desperate patent straw man whitewashing attempt of yours....I never said you had said that this claim/interpretation of yours was directly inspired...I certainly to not think that everything you claim is surely from direct inspiration.....I have instead been squarely saying that your interpretations, of even subsequent supposedly genuine studying out impressions that you have, are patently spurious, if not outrightly erroneous...and all because you are refusing to heed Christ’s Full Gospel/Righteousness Message and Mandate*, as if that is not what a Truly revived and reformed Church of God (=the Church Triumphant) will be doing....You indeed have an whole other “half” (2 Cor 3:7-18) to learn and heed....

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#truerighteousness

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] Hermeneutics... since we are primarily speaking about the interpretation of written texts, you should probably use the word 'Exegesis' shouldn't you?[/QUOTE]

At least colloquially so, in especially Biblical Scholarly circles, “hermeneutics” refers to the “set of rules themselves for interpreting a text” such as:

- not wrestling a text out of its context
- first considering how an author uses a term
- allowing the Bible [and also nature (cf. SC 9.1a)*] to define its own symbols

And within these rules is variously found the requirement to be “exegetical” which is letting the text speak for itself, at whatever level necessary them, such as examining the original language’s vocabulary, etymology, grammar, syntax, etc

(cont’d)

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1213

(cont’d)

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] You constantly want to throw around big words and try to make others look simple. [/QUOTE]

The problem is that you lay men simpletons wishfully want Biblical interpretation to be onl your surface-simplicity....It just is not...So you all need to get up to speed and competent in proper Biblical interpretation, or for your own liabilities’ sake, including being a false teacher, just get out of this ministry/“business”....
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Jesus would NEVER DO THAT, neither would any of the Apostles.  

Jesus used whatever method necessary and most efficient to “confound and dumfound the wise-in-their-own-eyes, of His day...and for the learned of the day...that was as simple as teaching in parables (Matt 13:10-17) as saying things in, e.g., Greek or Hebrew|Aramaic and/or Jewish History/Customs to them, would just be right up their full understanding alley. Today you all have been to various degrees fooled, including by indolent and self-serving false shepherding SDA leaders (Ezek 34:1-21) to think that scholarship and exegesis is a luxury, including with the “sealing” belief that ‘if exegetical ignorance was good for EGW and SDA Pioneers, then it should also be good for a Church today which has over 100 Colleges and Universities’...God won’t do this necessary work for you all but let you reap the natural consequences of not caring enough for these pearls of Truth* to expend all the necessary costs to secure and obtain it. Your repeated flailing and false interpreting attempts are the perfect case in point of this, and indeed this is all God candidly using you to provide this tangible object lessons to likemindedly delusioned SDA’s (=Ezek 14:1-5ff)


You want to know why Jesus didn't study in the schools of the pharisees?  

I already to...They did not have the New Covenant Spiritual Insight that was missing then (=2 Cor 3:7-18)...and, as I said above, He already knew Hebrew|Aramaic, and probably also Greek...and of course Jewish Customs and History.

Men like you always trying to talk over other peoples heads  

Just faithfully making sure I nonetheless fulfill my explicit mandate* (=Isa 6:8-13)...but you can’t accept that since it was not told to you and you think that God owe you to tell you everything or else you won’t know what to think or do (=Isa 28:5-13**)...Try God’s ideal of righteousness instead...it never fails....Certainly don’t want to disobey God by ‘making it plain/simple’ for those He has entered into judgement against (Ezek 14:9)***

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmature
*** http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T9QK9UA0P12B52DQQ/post645

does nothing but give the false illusion of making yourselves look more enlightened.  

“False Illusion”... Sure:.... Spiritually - according to what you have not been able to refute in regards to Christ’s Full Gospel and Righteousness message and Mandate, and Technically - according to what you have patently demonstrated that you have no basic cognizance into...and instead have just vexatiously decided to tantrumly storm away from responsibly engaging....Keep fooling yourself with your indifferent and ignoramus fancies....Truth does not revolve around what you know or what you can understand....

(cont’d)

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1214

(cont’d)

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] But I don't read anywhere in scripture Jesus quoting Aristotle's work On Interpretation, do you? [/QUOTE]

No....I don’t see why He should have.....I.e., What contributions did/could Aristotle make to Interpretational Hermeneutics and Biblical Exegesis????????????!!! However, when applicable, Jesus did necessarily (as Jewish understandings would not allow for such imagery) borrow from Greek mythology in Luke 16:19-31* to express yet another veiled condemnation at the learned of his day....


September 21, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1034

ISM 55.5-56.3

September 21, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1223

...Indeed EGW’s writings, and only whenever they agree with the Full Biblical testimony, will continue to speak to the GC utter end...when/if, like halted/postponed OT Prophecies, no longer literalistically applicable, then likewise in a (substantively) Spiritually applicable way. The key to being able to perceive such re-applications is in remaining Full harmony with the Greater Light, the Bible, especially what Jesus said Himself, for then, as EGW herself said in regards to herself (3SM 30.4-5ff), no other prophet/prophhecy at all would actually be needed.

September 20, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1034

ISM 55.5-56.3

253
September 21, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1223

...Indeed EGW’s writings, and only whenever they agree with the Full Biblical testimony, will continue to speak to the GC utter end...when/if, like halted/postponed OT Prophecies, no longer literalistically applicable, then likewise in a (substantively) Spiritually applicable way. The key to being able to perceive such re-applications is in remaining Full harmony with the Greater Light, the Bible, especially what Jesus said Himself, for then, as EGW herself said in regards to herself (3SM 30.4-5ff), no other prophet/prophecy at all would actually be needed.

September 20, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1039

By the way that quote in the Great Controversy Pg 595 is dealing with REFORMS.

"But God will have a people upon the earth to maintain the Bible, and the Bible only, as the standard of all doctrines and the basis of all REFORMS. The opinions of learned men, the deductions of science, the creeds or decisions of ecclesiastical councils, as numerous and discordant as are the churches which they represent, the voice of the majority—not one nor all of these should be regarded as evidence for or against any point of religious faith. Before accepting any doctrine or precept, we should demand a plain “Thus saith the Lord” in its support. {GC 595.1}"

So if there is a reform that must be made in the Christian church it is supposed to be presented from scripture. We are supposed to be the REFORMED church. So it does apply to us within our own faith. Only to those who are outside who need to be REFORMED.

So you are misquoting Inspiration....

September 21, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1224

I don’t know how JSOT could miss and/or misconstrue this but GC 595.1 clearly, distinctly also says that the Bible is to be “the standard of all doctrines”. “Reforms” are just a distinct area in which the Bible is to have full authority in. E.g., one can have perfect Biblical doctrines, but then think that to make them known, they must use unbiblical Pentecostal methods/demonstrations.

September 21-22, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1126
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1232

[QUOTE who="James Tierney"] I do not support the errors of the church, in fact I have taken on many pastors trying to bring women elders, Homosexual baptisms and rock and roll into the sanctuary. To mention a few. This has not made me popular but I am
defending the truth inside the SDA church not outside. "Meet it head on" is what God says. [/QUOTE]

[QUOTE who="MoGreenMan"] Oh great, all the real deep salvational issues! There just aren't enough people keeping women from serving in God's work... and those gays, we just have to keep them away from the hospital for sinners! And by all means let's use the bar tunes from the 1800's because todays music is modern and therefore bad!

James, these are about the most shallow closed minded "errors" I can think of! If these are the real problems with the church today Jesus would have already come back![/QUOTE]

F-Y’all-I: Here* are the actual hampering problems in the SDA Church beyond mere liturgical ones...which are actually caused by all of these erroneous and unrighteous policies and practices:

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/seventh-day-adventists-believe.html

And, as discussed in another thread, around here,* anyone, male or female who is manifesting the special working of God’s Spirit in their life and work is already “ordained” by God, and must duly be recognized by the Church.

-No known practicising sinner should be bpatized, whether, in this pertinent issue, straight or homosexual....I.e., no “hospital” supply crack-cocaine to addicts while also trying to treat them

* http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T0AB631O2DQS9D8S2/post164

-The music style/type issue is a whole other can of Theological/Spiritual worms.* It is quite telling to me, as experienced myself, how various Contemporary Christian Music Band gradually moved over their careers from, e.g., hard/alternative rock to praise and worship type of styles as their spiritual experience changed over that time for an initial genuine angst and faith battles, particularly against the world and unbelievers, to a more settled and triumphant faith later on. My view is that, as seen with the range of emotional outburst and expression in the Psalms (cf. Psa 15 vs. 94)  God accepts any candid/genuine expression of song from His Children, all depending on whatever circumstance of faith that they are then in. And for another person that same expression would actually be sinful. (=Rom 14:13-23)**


September 23, 2013 6:00 P.M.
Ronald,

You attacked me on this subject, saying the inspiration God gave me was a “flight of fancy” so when God gave me this proof I was also told to send it to you.

I was shown by God that in Matthew 24:28 when it says “For wheresoever the carcass (CORPSE) is, there will the eagles be gathered together”, that the eagles represented Rome and the United States. And because of your blind anger against me you attacked this inspiration.

Here is part of the support to prove I was shown by God this exact truth… Here is a direct quote from Deuteronomy about the destruction of Jerusalem that Mrs White was inspired to relate, that is also directly applicable to this prophecy in Matthew 24. It’s found in Patriarchs and prophets.

‘By the Spirit of Inspiration, looking far down the ages, Moses pictured the terrible scenes of Israel’s final overthrow as a nation, and the destruction of Jerusalem by the armies of Rome: “The Lord shall bring a nation against thee from far, from the end of the earth, as swift as the eagle flieth; a nation whose tongue thou shalt not understand; a nation of fierce countenance, which shall not regard the person of the old, nor show favor to the young. {Deut 28:49}’ {PP 467.1}

And again she refers to Rome in the same way in the Great Controversy on page 41; *God*s workmen were slain, but His work went steadily forward. The gospel continued to spread and the number of its adherents to increase. It penetrated into regions that were inaccessible even to the eagles of Rome.*

And to prove that Matthew 24 is not JUST speaking about the destruction of Jerusalem in 70 AD, (in case you did any room to argue) Here is what Mrs White was inspired about the exact text…

February 20, 1901

Words of Warning: Christ foretold the destruction of Jerusalem, as well as of the temple. His words were spoken in the hearing of a large number of people; but when He was again alone, Peter, James, John, and Andrew came to Him, saying, “Tell us, when shall these things be? and what shall be the sign of Thy coming, and of the end of the world?”

Jesus did not answer His disciples by taking up separately the destruction of Jerusalem, and the last great day of His coming. The city of Jerusalem represented the world, and the utterances regarding its destruction are to be connected with the world’s more terrible destruction. In speaking of Jerusalem, His prophetic words reached beyond that event to the conflagration that will take place in that day when the Lord shall rise “out of His place to punish the inhabitants of the earth for their iniquity.” The description of the two events
is mingled, and the entire subject is a warning to those who shall live in the last scenes of earth’s history. {ST February 20, 1901, par. 2}

So when in Patriarchs and Prophets she is quoting Moses and saying that that prophecy was fulfilled in the destruction of Jerusalem, and then says in Signs of the Times that the prophecy of Matthew which deals with the destruction of Jerusalem is also talking about the end of the world, it should then be obvious that the term “Eagle” in Matthew 24 should have the same application. It is definitely talking about Rome. But the reason it should also be obvious that this text is talking about America is because our nation sets up the IMAGE to the beast.

And to be clear; the King James Version in “Matthew 24:28, reads, “For wheresoever the carcass is, there will the eagles be gathered together.” And here a word is used, which never has any other meaning but that of a CORPSE or lifeless body.” {VEGW 65.2}

In roughly half of the bible translations this word is translated “CORPSE” not carcass.

The Jesuits have the motto ‘Perinde ac Cadaver’ which literally means; “in the manner of a CORPSE” used in describing the submission of a Jesuit priest to the pope.

I will be proven inspired on these points and you should repent for how you continually try to tear the inspiration God gives me down, thinking yourself so inspired. I have much more proof that is flowing from God to my heart now, but you will have to read it on the blog because I am not going to deal with you any longer. Just so you know, you are not making God happy in your insubordination. You should repent, but I am not impressed that you will. I fear for you.

September 23, 2013

NJK: So JSOT contacts me again...why else...because he thinks he has something to gloat about

James: You attacked me on this subject,

NJK: I just duly “disagree” with you and your deficient, passé methodology of ascertaining Biblical Truth, especially for these eschatological times. You are of those who are stuck in long-shown-to-be insufficient, mere word association approaches to try to determine Biblical Truth....and guess what early SDA pioneers, including EGW, were great at doing that and had patently had to be rescued by much more advanced, exegetical and substantive scholarship...Your “passed-methods” ascertainments do not speak to what is actually the Eschatological Scenario of these times, and, as I said before, God is here, according to the 1 Cor 5:5 principle, just, directly and/or indirectly, leading you on, “according to your stunted and Spiritually Immature (Isa 28:5-13) faith” towards hitting that purging Amos 7:7-9 wall where then you will finally get this lesson through your Full Gospel/Righteousness of Christ rejecting head....The only question is what will be your emotional/psychological/Spiritual, in fact, given how you said you wept all day
after your prior Eighth King prediction fell grossely flat, also physical state after hitting that “wall”...I would say take the lesson from Peter who came out fine after his wall-hitting correction and only because he was genuinely sincere...you however have long ventured on the egoistical indifferent-towards-Christ’s-Gospel route of Judas who actually thought himself to be most sincere, so you may just share in his hopeless desperation fate....indeed in direct correspondence to all of the daming claims you have been making which will then all be suffocating egg of your face just by you concretely seeing that all along, you were in the wrong....But God indeed has His Ezek 14:1-9 judging and purging purposes in all of this...you were just most emblematic enough to be appointed as the one who would enact that pivotal GC object lesson to Laodicea...Which is: “God won’t do for them what they can actually do for themselves”...

**James: saying the inspiration God gave me was a “flight of fancy”**

**NJK:** Again, I never said nor meant that, but you just can’t make the difference between actual inspiration and your own spirit...just like a child things movies are reality....When you will mature enough to know how to make this distinction and also properly (i.e., exegetically) test out ANY Spiritual impression you get (1 John 4:1), then you will begin to see the light here. OTherwise, and particularly for your indifferent rebellious mindset in regards to what you actually know to do, and thus should be doing (John 9:41), Satan can easily be given permission to sift you according to his misleading ways (cf. EW 54.1-56.1). God does not honor any rebellion and it indeed is akin to being on enchanted grounds (1 Sam 15:23).

**James: so when God gave me this proof**

**NJK:** I have read your conjectures here and only have objectively seen further proof of you indeed being an Elementary level of Bible Student at best....a level that EGW and SDA Pioneers had to make sure to move away from when they had to means to do so....which became the case long ago, even during their own lifetime...You are stuck in the past....

**James: I was also told to send it to you.**

**NJK:** So then why the Freudian Email title: “I THOUGHT YOU SHOULD KNOW”.... Obviously what you think, you then circularly/self-inducingly hink that this is what God Himself thinks...

...By now for you, it most documentedly and substantively would be irrational to ignore the Bible’s counsel in Deut 18:22. So for me it is either that you thought of yourself that you were to send this to me, or, since God surely knew that I would not begin to be impressed by this vacuous/surface “exegesis, then He would merely have told you to send me this so I can do what He surely expected that I would do with it, (since I have no intention of going along with your whimsical communication charade), which is address it only for this documenting PDF document which I hope you yourself don’t read and so continue on head long on your necessary “wall-hitting” course.
James: I was shown by God

NJK: No Biblically valid proof of that at all (Isa 8:20)....hence I don’t see that claim as being substantively true...

James: that in Matthew 24:28 when it says “For wheresoever the carcass (CORPSE) is, there will the eagles be gathered together”, that the eagles represented Rome and the United States.

NJK: Proper exegesis shows that Matt 24:28 is just merely a saying ‘what will happen to those who are “left” at Christ’s Second Coming’ (Luke 17:37)...You can’t responsibly go by proper exegesis so God is using His last resort to get this spurious immature conjecturing out of your system literally....And, “heads up”, it will be a bitter pill to swallow (e.g. as was the Millerites Bitter Disappointment pill which then led to the much better studious approach by those who went on to become SDA’s.

James: And because of your blind anger against me you attacked this inspiration.

NJK: You can only fancifully wish that it was either “blind” or “rage”....I rationally certainly don’t begin to consider your claims as Biblical to ever begin to get to such a level...You seriously need to stop thinking so highly of yourself because simple proper/sound Biblical study clearly exposes you for the Elementary state you are (stuck) in.

James: Here is part of the support to prove I was shown by God this exact truth...

NJK: If “God” showed you that counter-exegetical conjecturing as concrete truth then we are not only in trouble to say the very least...God is just working to ‘strain this unbiblical approach out of you’....Then you’ll be compelled to engage his Church Triumphant truths.

James: Here is a direct quote from Deuteronomy about the destruction of Jerusalem that Mrs White was inspired to relate, that is also directly applicable to this prophecy in Matthew 24. It’s found in Patriarchs and prophets.

NJK: Great spurious Bible SOP “conjecturing gymnastics below” but it does not begin to substantively prove that Matt 24:28 is a reference to “the United States will be gathered around Pope Francis to persecute”. Your tacked on claim flies in the face of the most rational and straightforward reading of the text as contextualized by Luke in Luke 17:37. Telling how you only stick to Matthew’s cryptic rendition when Luke has gone on to clearly explain why Jesus had said it. (Indeed, having thought to have found a superior understanding from the SOP, I see in the monitoring log of your blog that you have gone on to completely delete the explanatory/fuller/contextualizing statements from Luke’s account on this). Frankly I have only seen such shoddy and selective approach to Bible study from Futurist-Dispensationalists and their litany of whimsical interpretations and false prophecies.
James:
‘By the Spirit of Inspiration, looking far down the ages, Moses pictured the terrible scenes
of Israel’s final overthrow as a nation, and the destruction of Jerusalem by the armies of
Rome: “The Lord shall bring a nation against thee from far, from the end of the earth, as
swift as the eagle flieth; a nation whose tongue thou shalt not understand; a nation of fierce
countenance, which shall not regard the person of the old, nor show favor to the young.
{Deut 28:49}’” {PP 467.1}

And again she refers to Rome in the same way in the Great Controversy on page 41; ‘God’s
workmen were slain, but His work went steadily forward. The gospel continued to spread
and the number of its adherents to increase. It penetrated into regions that were
inaccessible even to the eagles of Rome.’

And to prove that Matthew 24 is not JUST speaking about the destruction of Jerusalem in
70 AD, (in case you fid any room to argue) Here is what Mrs White was inspired about the
exact text…

February 20, 1901

Words of Warning: Christ foretold the destruction of Jerusalem, as well as of the temple.
His words were spoken in the hearing of a large number of people; but when He was again
alone, Peter, James, John, and Andrew came to Him, saying, “Tell us, when shall these
things be? and what shall be the sign of Thy coming, and of the end of the world?”

Jesus did not answer His disciples by taking up separately the destruction of Jerusalem,
and the last great day of His coming. The city of Jerusalem represented the world, and the
utterances regarding its destruction are to be connected with the world’s more terrible
destruction. In speaking of Jerusalem, His prophetic words reached beyond that event to
the conflagration that will take place in that day when the Lord shall rise “out of His place
to punish the inhabitants of the earth for their iniquity.” The description of the two events
is mingled, and the entire subject is a warning to those who shall live in the last scenes of
earth’s history. {ST February 20, 1901, par. 2}

NJK: Proper/Advanced Biblical Theology proves EGW to have been mistaken in her
assumptions here...and this would not be an impossibility for her*, Jesus here actually was
speaking of what should, as it could, have all taken place within the First Century A.D. around
the time of the destruction of Jerusalem in 70 AD. (cf. Matt 24:29)


James: So when in Patriarchs and Prophets she is quoting Moses and saying that that
prophecy was fulfilled in the destruction of Jerusalem,
NJK: Again, Theologically* to me, this is another slightly erroneous assuming claim by EGW...And Historical Babylon which was manifestly deliberately identified as an “eagle”, was actually the first manifestation of this swooping destructive eagle theme. (Hab 1:6a, 8ff; Hos 8:1; Jer 4:13)


The destructions of God’s Jerusalem by various manifestations of “Babylon”, i.e., Historical, Rome, Protestantism (cf. Rev 12:6), United Stated, always involved the theme of an eagle for actually distinct emblematic reasons of the speed and power of relatively seemingly less powerful (i.e., versus God’s professed people), “eagles”. ANd that is pointedly the emblematic significance of this “eagles” theme and not actually anything substantively indicative....but you are too Spiritually immature to grasp this and so must be led to see for yourself that your elementary surface approach to try to claim concrete truth is really vacuous.

James: and then says in Signs of the Times that the prophecy of Matthew which deals with the destruction of Jerusalem is also talking about the end of the world,

NJK: Again, according to EGW’s deficient theology...which was not synonymous with “Inspiration”. Case in point her theological understanding led her to refuse to heed the Biblically exegetically supported revelation in her EW 149-153 vision that the plan of salvation was only conceived AFTER Adam and Eve had fallen...There are several such quasi-indifferent theological errors of hers in her writings....Simple fact is that she was neither infallible nor inerrant nor verbally inspired....Only proper Biblical Study can verify if what she had said was actually a statement of commission...and no matter how forcefully she honestly expressed or assumingly “couched” it.

James: it should then be obvious that the term “Eagle” in Matthew 24 should have the same application.

NJK: It just does not...This is just what you would like it to be...Learn proper exegesis and grow up Spiritually, which can easily be done by just properly assimilated knowledge and education into Biblical matters....Then will you be able to discern the actual voice/impressions of God and/or perceive His purposeful reflective testings/provings. (Ezek 14:1-9)

James: It is definitely talking about Rome.

NJK: Nope....

James: But the reason it should also be obvious that this text is talking about America is because our nation sets up the IMAGE to the beast.

NJK: America has indeed set up that image...and you and your True/Full Gospel & Righteousness of Christ rejecting ways have thus been tacitly bowing to that Capitalism (Mark of
the Beast) Image of America.

James: And to be clear; the King James Version in “Matthew 24:28, reads, “For wheresoever the carcass is, there will the eagles be gathered together.” And here a word is used, which never has any other meaning but that of a CORPSE or lifeless body.” {VEGW 65.2}

NJK: You would have been best guided by quoting the rest of Uriah Smith’s exegetically sound/correct observation than your surface wishful conjectures:

“But what may be fitly represented by the dead body? Answer, The wicked, who, as unworthy of life, are given over to destruction. And what by the eagles? Answer, The judgments of God, that come down upon them, to slay and devour them. Job, speaking of the eagle, says, "Where the slain are, there is she." Job 49:30. So wherever the wicked are, the plagues of God will find them out, and come down upon them like eagles upon their prey. Describing the scenes of this time, the Psalmist says: "A thousand shall fall at thy side, and ten thousand at thy right hand, but it shall not come nigh thee. There shall no evil befall thee, neither shall any plague come nigh thy dwelling." Ps.91:7,10. Thus the righteous escape, while the wicked by their side are seized and perish.” {1868 UrS, VEGW 66.1}

James: In roughly half of the bible translations this word is translated “CORPSE” not carcass.

NJK: That “proves” nothing either translational, or interpretative, -wise

James: The Jesuits have the motto ‘Perinde ac Cadaver’ which literally means; “in the manner of a CORPSE” used in describing the submission of a Jesuit priest to the pope.

NJK: Great, and that “proof” of yours is just vacuous conjecture...again akin to the substantively empty claims of Left Behind believers.

James: I will be proven inspired on these points

NJK: Not since God is a God of concrete truth and is merely here setting you up to once and for all learn your long put off lesson of being much more Scholastically responsible...especially now in this advanced resources and capabilities age which SDA’s also have ready access to.

James: and you should repent for how you continually try to tear the inspiration God gives me down,

NJK: You foundationally confuse your whimsical thinking and conclusions with actual inspiration....
James: thinking yourself so inspired.

NJK: It would not even take any direct inspiration at all to refute this added interpretive garbage from you....just proper exegetical knowledge and methodology...

James: I have much more proof that is flowing from God to my heart now,

NJK: That also would have to be Biblically tested to be believed....

James: but you will have to read it on the blog because I am not going to deal with you any longer.

NJK: Sure...We’ll see about that...Wait till the next time you are again shown to be wrong and then think to have been “inspired” of yet another interpretation.

James: Just so you know, you are not making God happy in your insubordination.

NJK: (A) I don’t at all begin to believe that you know anything of the sort from God

(B) Only in your Christ-Gospel-opposing stance can you fancy my understandings to be insubordination. You should start dealing with your insubordination to even merely read, let alone heed, “Every word” which has proceeded from the mouth of God in the Bible and SOP’ rather than just considering only what fuels your selfish and egoistical fancy to pinpoint Final Events.

And you have long documentedly discredited yourself by your repeated, self-confessed, “disobedience of God’s instructions to you”. In Psycho-Interrogation 101, when a person, moreover cheerfully volunteers such self-damning evidence, it is because they are actually trying to slyly hide an even more damning fact/reality, and that most manifestly is that at some level, you actually have not been ‘hearing from God’ as you claim, but are just being bounced about by your own carnal and fanciful spirit.

James: You should repent, but I am not impressed that you will.

NJK: Hmmm...I wonder why...Ohhhh I know...because God Himself clearly does not begin to think that ‘I should repent and heed your anti-Gospel claims....Indeed He instead wants me to keep, most naturally, opposing you and your spurious views....Indeed as I knowingly only should...

James: I fear for you.

NJK:

(A) Fear for yourself....
(B) Try your crocodile tear approach with someone else who is actually the emotionally unanchored subject that you need....

(C) Like I long said before, if God is on the side of you selfish, egoistical half-bakes, then I definitely prefer to burn up in hell then live in the God-murdering Eternal Hell that you reprobates will surely cause. You indeed have much to Spiritually, Biblically and Theologically grow up to begin to learn....

See James futilely try to defend his Eagles/Corpse/Jesuit/Pope Francis claims in this discussion forum* through his persisted in whimsical and shallow/surface, unexegetical word associations game.


September 25, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1336

James Tierney had mentioned as SOP support earlier in this thread [I can’t relocate the exact post] that: ‘even the Library of Congress recognizes the specialness of the Desire of Age’...

Well, FYI...that LOC “endorsement” is an SDA myth:

October 3, 2013
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TKDC7RIC9UU1I9K1T/post3
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TKDC7RIC9UU1I9K1T/post4

As I say in my “testing” section on that Red Heifer vision*, there is another “half” (2 Cor 3:7-18) to Tierney’s Revelation. Succinctly said, it is the part which complete his Eastern Gate revelation, as prophesied in Ezek 43:1-12. It is what is to take place following the Shaking Judgement** of the Remnant Church (due to a despised Reformation attempt, as indeed shown in the vision of Ezek 1ff*** ) in order to produce the Church Triumphant****, and only those who are fully following after Christ here will survive that experience. (EW 270-273). (More starting on page 10 here*****).

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney
Tierney refuses to heed that Full Righteousness of Christ* “second half” requirement, and that is most naturally why he, like the foolish virgins, has no insight into these Divine prolonged time issues and greater works/plans. (15MR 292.2-4; RH, February 11, 1896 par. 6)...


February 26, 2014
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1670

By the way: What’s up with ‘prophet’ James Tierney* here..Where’s the counsel for that (presumably) “witness” or manifestly “hiatus from witnessing” in the Bible/SOP???!!! In fact, is he, non-Spiritually speaking, even sober there:

* cf. e.g.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TR8O9L1RE4DBDHOIO/post1214

Saturday, March 2, 2013:
https://plus.google.com/105336100538645819591/posts/BrzDS5gcSYZ
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DDsO7f6rU
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fxdv3OyieLk
https://plus.google.com/105336100538645819591/posts/NNDULKDTtHu
https://plus.google.com/105336100538645819591/posts/hitfZQsQBPt

March 21, 2014

I am so glad you printed all of this garbage against me.

You see, I have had about 50 people email me and tell me what a psychotic man you are in your interpretation of scripture and in how you have persecuted me saying they were led to my blog site because of your insanity!

Keep up the good work, you have led several people to the SDA church by your ranting leading them to read my blogs, Thank you Jesus for this mans insanity, it may be that lots of people are saved by him without his knowledge until now.

Not one person out of the fifty has commented that they supported you in this, yet you continue to be motivated to try and hurt me. They think you are crazy! That is what they tell me.

Thank you Jesus!
March 21, 2014

Emailing me again I see...Let’s see..you must, yet again deceivedly/deludedly, think to have something to gloat about....LOL And as seen here* you always produce your own garbage!!!


About your 50 converts...Great!!! Please do have them,.... have them all, if they are that moronic, LOL...They evidently just cannot properly exercise the 1 Thess 5:21 principle....But they indeed (1) do deserve a Ezek 14:1-9 half-prophet like you and, relatedly, (2) that’s all just the flip-side of my Full Sabbath/Third Angel Message* sealing work (Rev 7:1-3 = Ezek 9)** Indeed just duly “gathering and bundling up” you all “self-concerned”/selfish,** Capitalistic (EW 266-269), “signs and wonders” shallow believers (=tares), indeed the typical kind of converts to the SDA halved-faith (2 Cor 3:7-18)**** to be burned (EW 118.1; cf. 88.3; Matt 13:40)..The True/Real followers of Christ actually are more concerned with fully/truly doing His Full Gospel work (e.g, Matt 25:31-46)*****

***
**** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/furhtering-fundamentals.html
***** E.g., http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/the-ministry-of-francis-chan-david.html

Like you, these “converts” have left God no other option to awaken them out of their unbiblical ways of handling the Bible, than to hit His “adamant wall”*, as you all again will on, I gather, October 2014), (=Amos 7:7-9)...and the sooner, faster, and also more mass-I’ve, LOL,....the better...best!!!


March 21, 2014

In case you are interested in reading more of what I have been inspired about lately you should check out the new stuff at the end of each of my blogs.

See what the devil whispers in your ear about these. Your response could save more lives!

http://eleventhhourlatterterrain.blogspot.com/2014/03/the-five-generations-since-beginning-of.html
Pay special attention to the first and last link.

March 21, 2014

Don’t worry, as I long pointed out to you [but you are way too infatuated with yourself to believe that this is indeed the unimpressed case], through the free web service changedetection.com I track, and get alert to, ever and any even minute change or addition that you make to your blog posts. (Even a comma change). (And I do keep up on your, and others, public postings on the Maritime Forum..which by the way is another example of selfish SDA’s who prefer to hear self-vindicating lies such as your prophetic interpretation claims (=Isa 30:9-11) which is why I was “banned” and you are indifferently still welcomed there, LOL). So I long had noticed your latest confused, and likewise (as your latest Eighth King view), SOP contradicting, claims. I have long already made notes to expose your latest lies in your David Gates desperate waywardness* emulating: “Joel “set-timed” prophecy” heresy...but I have much more important things to do.


....You better believe I’ll keep up my “fruitful”, as in wild grapes gathering, (Isa 63:1-6; Rev 14:17-20),* God given “woeful”** judgement*** work!!!(Isa 6:8-13) Your novice, unprincipled and undisciplined way of whimsically interpreting the Bible does not begin to impress me!!! (Isa 8:20)

* http://pointzeroseries.blogspot.com/

...You are indeed quite welcome!!

March 21, 2014

I am not infatuated with myself, I am enamored with the love of the Lord and how He proves things to me over and over, and now those who have persecuted me in the name of the Lord will be ashamed very, very soon.

You obviously didn’t take time to read the blogs. Those people were right about you. YOU are infatuated with your own comprehension, thinking that you are the only one who knows the truth.

But you see, yours is a private interpretation, mine was written before. You have shamed your high calling.
Goodbye. You could have been a great follower of Christ, instead you chose your own path.

March 21, 2014

Whatever JSOT....

JOST: I am not infatuated with myself, I am enamored with the love of the Lord

-You, similar as with the other blindly, indeed self infatuated, Judas (John 13:21-30), refuse to heed the Full Gospel/Righteousness message of Christ (i.e., Matt 25:45), then you do not actually love Him (John 15:12-14)...It objectively is Biblically as straightforwardly simple as that....

JOST: and how He proves things to me over and over, and now those who have persecuted me in the name of the Lord will be ashamed very, very soon.

-Of course your false claims are nonetheless finding fulfillments since God is also using you in the Ezek 14:1-9 way towards SDA’s!!! It has always been part of His accelerated, “Adamant Wall” (Amos 7:7-9) modus operandi (Deut 13:1-5). Just don’t do like Judas and “off” yourself when you are again shown to be wrong....

JOST: You obviously didn’t take time to read the blogs.

-I just had to skimly notice and read where you whimsically claim that the “first month” in Joel 2 is a post-1844 timed prophecy indicator to see that you and your private views are again not speaking according already given light. No need then to waste my time with what you posted, as I indeed have much more important things to take care of now.

JOST: Those people were right about you. YOU are infatuated with your own comprehension, thinking that you are the only one who knows the truth.

-LOL, the issue with you blissful amateurs is that you just cannot sustain a defence of your claims in the light of facts and exegesis...So who here merely “thinks” that they are right versus actually concretely substantiating and proving it Biblically!!! The documented history of discussion with you, and others like you is indeed copious full of you all just variously cowarding out of a discussion when you no longer have anything remotely plausible to claim in your defence....People who fall for these juvenile “grown up children” (1SM 110.1) tactics of yours deserve to be swept up by your false teachings and false prophesyings!!

JOST: But you see, yours is a private interpretation, mine was written before.

–LOL....I do have until around October 2014 to post my debunking of your fallacious claims right!?!?...so why disturb my current agenda until close to then...Merely claiming something “before” does not prove that it is right or wrong, let alone that ‘it surely will be right’...Only when that set time has come and gone can such determination begin to be, then most
transparently, made. (Deut 18:22). You and your claims are indeed calling people to reject proper and hermeneutical/exegetical Biblical interpretation.... (=Deut 13:1-5)

**JOST: You have shamed your high calling.**

-My, indeed: high calling* does not at all depend, or revolve around whatever you may fancifully think. In fact the only relation it has to you is to duly expose you as a false teacher and false prophet wherever applicable....Which peripherally reminds me..you surely can benefit from Stephen Bohr’s March 17-20, 2014 24 online session “Anchor School of Theology” courses.* His (basic) methods of Prophetic interpretations should recalibrate you.

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision
** https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCcopRbQkzivS5Kx6Y1hve-g

**JOST: Goodbye. You could have been a great follower of Christ, instead you chose your own path.**

Ohhh... I’ll keep following Jesus Christ, right in His own footsteps and not your idolatrous, “drawing back” ones. (Heb 10:39-EW 54-56)

March 21, 2014

Oh by the way…

Mark 13:35 Watch ye therefore: for ye know not when the master of the house cometh, at even, or at midnight, or at the cockcrowing, or in the morning: (this is in reguard to our judgment which is held in heaven so we don’t know the hour unless He has shown us.

I am not claiming to know the time yet, I just know my God led me here and you are against what God showed me, which is why you will be very ashamed. It might not be this month, it could be thirty years from now, but I doubt it.

I didn’t hear this from David Gates and I am not trying to set a time. I just know what God has shown me, and that your futile attempts to discredit me have actually led many people to see the truth.

March 21, 2014

JSOT: Mark 13:35 Watch ye therefore: for ye know not when the master of the house cometh, at even, or at midnight, or at the cockcrowing, or in the morning: (this is in reguard to our judgment which is held in heaven so we don’t know the hour unless He has shown us.

-Right and He indeed still has not showed, especially (unrighteous*, “half” (=2 Cor 3:7-18)-
followers) people like you who are skirting the mandates of His Everlasting covenant. (LDE 272.1-273.1)*

* http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#falsereighteousness
** http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#starmaran
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#bob

JSOT: I am not claiming to know the time yet, I just know my God led me here and you are against what God showed me, which is why you will be very ashamed. It might not be this month, it could be thirty years from now, but I doubt it.

-God does not confuse nor contradict Himself, as fully documented in that David Gates post*, there is no time element in prophecies that are to be fulfilled post 1844. You can variously keep “teflonly” date-setting and waiting...I’ll continue to occupy until He comes (Luke 19:13|Matt 25:14-30)**...which will be when His Full Gospel/Kingdom work will be finished. (Matt 24:14; 6:10’=Luke 19:17-19)


JSOT: I didn’t hear this from David Gates

(Talk about first not reading blog posts)....Well, as transparently documented on my blog post, I (and several others did), -most just glibly chose to glossingly ignore this....But his whole claims were torpedoed by that foundational contra-SOP stance. (LDE 34.1)

JSOT: and I am not trying to set a time.

Sure...Like David Gates tried to “teflonly” do....go try to hoodwink someone who actually as indifferently self-deceived as you are:

Right from your blog post:

“(Why would Joel mention the first month if there is no TIME involved? The prophecy then ends in Joel 2 where everything that was destroyed or abused during the YEARS the church abuses the gospel will then be restored by the 144,000.)”

“So according to this prophecy from Joel, the “Latter Rain” will fall in the first month (Nisan) of the fifth generation .”

etc...

Try here also instead what God has “veritefully” shown EGW instead: 7BC 971.7; EW 75.1; LDE 34, 35
JSOT: I just know what God has shown me,
...and right according to the rebelliously cherished heart idols of your, and other SDA’s, heart. (Ezek 14:1-9)...indeed all provingly according to Deut 13:1-5 for you SDA’s who, like the First Century Jews, basely strongly believe that, even (actual) prophetic set times can trump righteousness. (EW 36.2; Jer 18:1-10)

JSOT: and that your futile attempts to discredit me have actually led many people to see the truth.

....The more the “fiery-er” for that “bundling”.....As intended (=EW 88.3)*, great redirecting (=1 SM 109-111) riddance indeed for you all ‘not born from above’ (1 Cor 2:6-16) ‘half-bakes’ (2 Cor 3:7-18)!!


**Post Script:** Obviously James Tierney is stupid enough to think that I will be psychologically swayed by his guileful goadings here, and worse, he thinks that they will actually influence me to stop exposing his falsehoods...nice try!!

May 10, 2014
http://oursword.blogspot.com/2010/03/false-prophet-ernie-knoll-admits-he-was.html?showComment=1399764605113#c3145408403102803269

I love the fact that you keep spewing delusions in public to prove how deluded your mind is. So many people see it that I have no worries about your website that openly ridicules the messages God has given the SDA church through me for the last days. The way you used to support the dreams used to cause me concern because of your hatred for the SDA church, now you have been exposed and I am grateful, thank you Father in the name of Jesus amen.

May 11, 2014
http://oursword.blogspot.com/2010/03/false-prophet-ernie-knoll-admits-he-was.html?showComment=1399808081952#c1065174864079642945

...I just don’t care to have a discussion on this with you, which is pointedly “off-topic” here, and particularly as all you’ll end up doing is your patent vexatious chickening out when faced with facts you either can’t answer or dare not engage...but just for duly clearing up your slanders, here goes:

-The <a href=http://www.njkproject.info/njk/hc_organization/hcsynopsis.html>NJK Project</a>, -which was entirely born/borne out of <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html>*true/full
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righteousness’ (="right-doing” towards all those in, pointedly vital, need) is nothing remotely close to a ‘delusion’. Further Biblical studying have been consistently and increasingly substantiating that it is firmly derived and rooted in the Bible (and necessarily, concealedly corroborated in many parts of the SOP), as God’s “Plan A” End Time plan. Like the OT Covenant, the SDA Church mandate was an accelerated, emergency “Plan B” which SDA’s have not held up their side of it for it to be fulfilled by God, hence now this Plan A. You, and many like you just have preferred to be ignorant and/or indifferent to these clear substantiations and that all is due that you much more prefer your various <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/seventh-day-adventists-believe.html>selfish/self-serving and unrighteous ways</a>. (=EW 266-269)

-News Flash: Having rightly and faithfully followed the counsel in 1 Thess 5:19-22, I have, and still “support” the visions/dreams/revelations of your which pass the Biblical test of a Divine origin. However I am not Spiritually nor Humanistically blinded to think or believe that everything you may think and claim must surely also come from God. You, pointedly in your prior erroneous Eight King claims, which are <a href=http://web.archive.org/web/20131229213630/http://eighthking.blogspot.ca/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html>well/archivedly documented</a>, have long done a great job of proving that you are quite easily prone to passing off your own ideas as supposedly direct revelations from God. And that indeed is your problem. You are to wrapped up in your egoistical belief to let the Bible or SOP correct or calibrate your claims.

There is a well establish hierarchy of Divine Revelation before yours to determine if whatever you may claim is from God, and, as I have been telling you, in many cases, your actual revelations, even impressions, in themselves have proven to be genuine, it is your follow up claims and interpretations about them which are skewed and flawed by your lack of Biblical scholasticism. (And Ernie Knoll, among other present <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>Ezek 14:1-9 SDA half-prophets</a> are likewise guilty of the same deficiency. As the Bible copiously attests, having a prophetic dream and interpreting it are typically two distinct giftings. (cf. 1SM 25.4)

-I also recommend that you stop invoking the name of God/Jesus for your own backlashing and erroneous claims. It is quite blasphemous as it indeed is easily demonstrable that much of what you say and think has just not originated from the “Heavenly Intelligence”....When you realize all of this, particularly with your latest uneexegetical and spurious <a href=http://eleventhhourlatterrain.blogspot.com/2014/04/we-are-fifth-generation-since-loud-cry.html>’set, 11th hour, Latter Rain time and event claim’</a>, (however you now do know how to preemptingly include disingulating weasel caveats...-as if God Himself would also be “unsure” LOL...), you then will not spend an entire day crying your eyes out as with <a href=http://web.archive.org/web/20131229213630/http://eighthking.blogspot.ca/2011/12/whos-is-anti-christ-eighth-king-of-rev.html>your Pope Benedict/JP II claims</a>, but at least a whole week!!!...and likewise, it will all be out of more wounded pride...

May 10, 2014
The fact that you try to condemn the Seventh Day Adventist Movement in itself is sufficient to prove who truly motivates you. 
The SDA church is the church with the true Spirit of Prophecy. God led me directly to that church through reading the bible and prayer then God told me the Sabbath would lead me home to Him, and He gave me dreams that were exactly like the writings of Mrs White before I ever read them so I can rest assured that they are not of any private interpretation.

NJK; AKA Ronald Millstone has a completely private interpretation yet he publicly supports a known false prophet while attacking me. Thank you Jesus for the proof. Go build your Shangrila on the ocean Ronald, you need some serious help.

May 11, 2014

Ohhh...unlike you, I am not “blissfully” and indifferently ignoring the many SOP statements (LDE 59-61) and revelations (e.g. <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/01/gods-whirlwind-judgement-on-sda-church.html>this one</a>) that such would be the fate of the SDA Church if it did not hold up its part in this mandate/covenant from God. Indeed this is all like OT Israel’s failures...and just as in their case, you prefer to think that God cannot enter into any due judgement with once chosen Remnant Church. Which is why you make the perfect ‘candidly testing <a href=http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T9QK9UA0P12B52DQQ/post633>Ezek 14:1-9 half-prophet</a>’ for them in this regard.

It is quite indicative and telling to me that even with SDA half-prophets, when they of themselves begin to duly understand, like their model Elijah (PK 119-120ff), that the waywardness being done by the SDA Church should not, and indeed cannot, be left unpunished by God, then he no longer burdens them with messages which overlook these wrongs. And for me in particular, that long <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#firstvision>confirmedly</a> occurred from my days at Andrews. A fig tree with leaves but no fruit should indeed be curse forever!!! (Matt 21:18-22; DA 580-588). But you are clearly still basely, self-servingly stuck in the variously quite prevalent SDA sin of thinking that a set time/event should/will trump righteousness. Hence you do make the perfect “candid” candidate to exposingly and debunkingly test the many SDA’s who share in some degree a similar spurious belief.

-[You do not have permission to (even try to) post my personal name. So don’t do it, and don’t moronically think it will change anything. In fact it could make you much more legally and judicially liable than you evidently can comprehend.]

-I certainly don’t need your endorsement or encouragement about the NJK Project. So don’t
flatter yourself. God has from its plannings start been an ample help to see it through. Fact is that, as amply explained and substantiated/documented on my blog, professed believers like you who in this life cannot live by the various Biblical Righteousness standards and mandate of the <a href=http://www.njkproject.info/njk/hc_organization/hcsynopsis.html>NJK Project</a> just will prove themselves to not be acceptable for the relatively even more demanding standards and mandate of Christ’s New Jerusalem!! (e.g., Matt 25:31-45; LDE 218.3-219.3)...but of course you are too selfishly enthralled and deceived to ever begin to (especially timely) grasp this pivotal, Eternal Truth. (=<a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/testimony-to-church-3-judgement.html>4T 384-387</a>)

And FYI, it is neither appropriate, nor fair to be discussing your pointed issues on this other blog and this post on Ernie Knoll, so do submit any further statement that you wish to post to <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#tierney>my blog post</a> which also discusses you, your (claimed) revelations and your ministry....particularly behoovedly, as anyone doing a specific Google search on <a href=https://www.google.ca/search?client=opera&q=james+tierney+sda&sourceid=opera&ie=utf-8&oie=utf-8&channel=suggest>“james tierney sda”</a> will be referred to my blog postings about you as its top three hits. Spiritual blog post vs. spiritual blog post, it is quite telling when even Google (even if it may be merely its determining algorithms) has better Spiritual/Truthful discernment than you (cf. Luke 16:8)....indeed I am sure that they have verified the content of your own (Google-owned) Blogger posts (which are linked to from my post) and seen that my critic is quite valid and thus much more reliable!!! You most importantly indeed just do not (always) pass the Biblical prophetic testing (2 Thess 5:19-22)!!

**Post Script:** Quite telling that for someone who is so (blindly/indifferently) supportive of the SDA Church and (‘confidently’) claims/believes that he is God’s end time prophet to the Church, I don’t recall seeing or hearing JSOT ever stating that he duly “presented” himself to the leaders of the Church, i.e., at any Conference, including General Conference level*....Instead he has struck out to raise a grassroots cult of/for himself....

* (05-03-17 00:55): His “certified mail” communication attempts to Stephen Bohr and Doug Batchelor, then in a CC’d online-posted open letter to: “all Pastors of the beloved Seventh Day Adventist Church”<sup>†</sup>, does not procedurally qualify as per the Church’s Prophetic claims testing policy<sup>##</sup>.

† [https://plus.google.com/+JamesTierney/posts/cG3atWZk7QJ](https://plus.google.com/+JamesTierney/posts/cG3atWZk7QJ)
†† [http://lateranheads.blogspot.com/2017/01/to-all-pastors-of-beloved-seventh-day.html](http://lateranheads.blogspot.com/2017/01/to-all-pastors-of-beloved-seventh-day.html)

## (GC link to be relocated)

August 31, 2016
http://21804.activeboard.com/t62435847/prophets-false-true-in-the-seventh-day-adventist-church
Two of the people on your list have made it their personal duty to try to discredit what God has shown me (Ron Beaulieu from Omega77 and Ronald Millestrom of NJK project) but they don't say the visions God gave me were wrong, only how I interpreted them. Which seems very strange to me. Why would God trust me to receive visions and dreams from Him yet not give me the capacity to understand them? Both of those men claim God wants us to leave the SDA church and to me this is direct evidence that they are not led by the Holy Spirit. When this came up after they initiated conversations with me, that is when they set out to attack me, establishing websites to do so.

September 16. 2016 02:02b
http://21804.activeboard.com/t62435847/prophets-false-true-in-the-seventh-day-adventist-church/?page=1#comment-62655400

About James Tierney’s claims:

-Having a prophetic revelation/vision/dream is a distinct gift and capability than properly interpreting and understanding it....Just ask e.g. Pharoah, King Nebuchadnezzar. In fact, the average SDA today understands the prophecies of Daniel and Revelation, (and potentially Ezekiel), than these Bible prophets themselves did. God however can allow for a prophetic dream or vision to be “locally/personally” applicable and understood by the receiver, but there can however be subsequent and deeper understandings which the receiver may be clueless of. The problem with the many SDA “half-prophets” is that, as typical/patent SDAs, they do not have the Full Gospel Experience involved in TM 116.1 to see and understand more in the visions that God has given to and through them. That’s just the Biblically/Spiritually demonstrated fact of the matter.

* See: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney

So, as I have said about James Tierney, -and those others, I have seen/found that their claims of prophetic revelation checks out, but their interpretation and application of them is either incomplete or outrightly incorrect. E.g. Tierney vision of having seen that the winds were held back on 9/11 is Biblically/Prophetically valid, however his ensuing private-interpretation and application claims have indeed not checked out, as is the case with his other such tacked on “prophetic/Biblical” exposition.

* See: http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html#jtierney

March 6, 2017 02:23
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1488828215195#c1763704431774391894
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Since you are so intent on condemning me, and try so hard to make it sound like I am not capable of comprehending the intent of the dreams, visions and unctions that God has given me, most of which you admit was from God, let's see what you make of this so it will also be on record what you have judged against what God told me.

When I say "unction" it is not just a perceptual view. It is not JUST a redirection of thought. God literally speaks to me sometimes. Like when I received my first vision after fasting and praying for seven days in 1995 and reading Matthew 12:8 and seeing the light from heaven surrounding the words "the son of man is Lord of the Sabbath", I literally heard the words of God say "this will lead you home to me". I had never heard of Christians keeping the Sabbath, and I had never heard of the Seventh Day Adventist Church. So when God spoke to me and told me to join the SDA church when I didn't even know it existed, when I found them in the Yellow Pages it was like the most perfect revelation. The "unction" led me to discover the facts. Is this wrong in your eyes?

March 6, 2017 02:24
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1488828242811#c3160542978021964115

God showed me that the Lateran Treaty began the healing of the head wound. Most people do not realize that the pope was taken hostage from the Lateran Basilica in 1798. The Lateran Basilica i what they call the "MOTHER HEAD" church. It is where the throne (a recommissioned toilet seat) of the popes is held, which is why it is called the Arch Basilica and Cathedra (place of the chair).

When they lost that throne to Napoleon they lost their "seat" in Rome and were in self imposed exile OUT OF Rome. The prophecy of Revelation 17 says the Harlot SITS on the city that rules the kings of the earth.

The prophecy also states that the Harlot is the MOTHER of Harlots in the time of the "one (who) is" in the time of the vision. John Paul II was the sixth pope after the seat was reestablished in Rome and he was the pope that began signing ecumenical agreements with the protestant churches. A mother cannot be a mother until she has children. So when the Harlot is SEALED on her forehead with the mark "mother of harlots" this means that in the time frame of the vision she has to have joined forces with the Protestants. This began in 1999 in New York City under the reign of JPII. He was also the pope who brought the Jesuits back to Rome. He was also the pope who was in power when the protestant churches began to receive political commissions from George Bush. So without a doubt JPII was the sixth king.

Then the seventh king Benedict XVI claimed to have a vision from God telling him to retire and he announced his retirement on the anniversary of the signing of the Lateran Treaty
and lightning struck St Peter's twice. So he fulfills the prophecy "he must continue a little while" AFTER his reign.

Now the eighth king is here. Francis took his name from St Francis of Assisi who wrote the words "be as disciplined as a CORPSE" which was applied to the Jesuit constitution. When asked what the sign of the end would be in Matthew 24 Jesus replied in verse 28 "where the CORPSE is there the eagle will gather".

March 6, 2017 02:24
http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1488828248073#c868676472275281515

So Pope Francis is that corpse.

Then Pope Francis became the first pope to be asked to SPEAK from congress, when America "speaks" as a nation in establishing laws, so now America has "spoke as the dragon".

Now many other protestant churches have begun to sign ecumenical agreements with the pope and Mrs White said "In the seventeenth of Revelation is foretold the destruction of all the churches who corrupt themselves by idolatrous devotion to the service of the papacy, those who have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication. [Revelation 17:1-4 quoted.] {7BC 983.3} Thus is represented the papal power, which with all deceivableness of unrighteousness, by outside attraction and gorgeous display, deceives all nations; promising them, as did Satan our first parents, all good to those who receive its mark, and all harm to those who oppose its fallacies. The power which has the deepest inward corruption will make the greatest display, and will clothe itself with the most elaborate signs of power. The Bible plainly declares that this covers a corrupt and deceiving wickedness. “Upon her forehead was a name written, Mystery, Babylon the Great, The MOTHER OF HARLOTS and Abominations of the Earth.” {7BC 983.4} What is it that gives its kingdom to this power? Protestantism, a power which, while professing to have the temper and spirit of a lamb and to be allied to Heaven, speaks with the voice of a dragon. It is moved by a power from beneath (Letter 232, 1899). {7BC 983.5}

You have no idea how you have grieved the Father in your attack on what He shared with me.

Like I have said from the start, God gives me visions and dreams and speaks to me. He led me directly to the SDA church and told me anyone who argues against these things will never be prepared for the Latter Rain. I have also said I myself do not perfectly understand what God shows me until He sends me the information that completes the understanding, but He is NEVER wrong.
If you would had a more teachable spirit you and I could grow together in knowledge, but you think that you are perfect in knowledge and have no need to learn. I hope that changes, because you have proven you despise these things and hold me in contempt. You judge me for singing music, when what was offered in those videos was not presented as worship. Where in the bible does it say we cannot sing secular music? There is a difference between common and Holy.

I hope God wrestles with you a little longer so you can be broken. Peace.

April 28, 2017 00:50

April 30, 2017 at 4:33 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-i-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493541200266#c6568056769912152420

(I was working on my blog post on <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2017/03/the-historical-two-witnesses.html">The Historical Two Witnesses</a> (Rev 11) and did not notice your submitted comments until today (April 28).)

<b>Tierney: Since you are so intent on condemning me, and try so hard to make it sound like I am not capable of comprehending the intent of the dreams, visions and unctions that God has given me, most of which you admit was from God, let's see what you make of this so it will also be on record what you have judged against what God told me.</b>

Given the (Spiritually and Exegetically) Full Testimony of Scripture and the SOP (as copiously presented throughout <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/blog-posting-note.html">this Theological Views blog</a>), it is really not “hard” at all to test what you are claiming as prophetic revelations and interpretations/applications and see where the Truth vs. Error lie (and, as per 1 Thess 5:21-22, hold on only to Biblically-validated Truth, and reject the False).

...So let’s do make a public record of your claims, [especially since, as repeatedly experienced with you, after you have been shown to be false, (including with your original 7-Heads interpretation/claim, among others) you, when you yourself, can, delete the discussion record [just as it has likewise been vexatiously done from others to all of my ca. 1800 posts the TOPIX SDA Forum, therefore all just a waste of my time]...but then resume your dogmatic/“pontifical” condemnation of people who Biblically pointed out mistakes in your interpreting claims.]. As Jesus said: ‘by the same judgement you condemn so will be the standard of measure used against you.’ (Matt 7:1-2ff)

April 30, 2017 at 4:33 AM
Tierney: When I say "unction" it is not just a perceptual view. It is not JUST a redirection of thought. God literally speaks to me sometimes.

Like I have said before, I also, -(and as well as several other SDAs/Christians) have experienced the audible voice of God. See some of them in the following experiences:

- [An Early and Later Experience](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2008/07/ youre-voice.html)
- [My ( Formal) Calling at a Christian Music Festival](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#John1016)
- [3 Related Striking (Auditive) Signs](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#ThirdSign)
- [For Anchoring Guidance during my researching](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-first-vision.html#fromthemowtsa)
- [Daniel’s 70 Weeks](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2008/07/biblical-interpretation-of-daniels-70.html)
- [Confirmation during a Forum discussion on MSDAOL](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/character-of-god-controversy.html#plaguejudgment)
- [About Uphold EGW’s SOP](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/proof-is-in-bible.html#boomedvoice)

And as with any of such claimed experiences the confirming proof that it was God’s Voice is whether its message and fulfillment is in full agreement with the Bible.

April 30, 2017 at 4:36 AM

Tierney: Like when I received my first vision after fasting and praying for seven days in 1995 and reading Matthew 12:8 and seeing the light from heaven surrounding the words "the son of man is Lord of the Sabbath", I literally heard the words of God say "this will lead you home to me". I had never heard of Christians keeping the Sabbath, and I had never heard of the Seventh Day Adventist Church. So when God spoke to me and told me to join the SDA church when I didn't even know it existed, when I found them in the Yellow Pages it was like the most perfect revelation. The "unction" led me to discover the facts. Is this wrong in your eyes? 

I have long already, and amply, discussed that 1995 first vision and subsequent experience of yours. (See in the publicly-posted (PDF) record [here](http://www.njkproject.info/wbsc/documents/TierneyDiscussions.pdf)) Like I said
there, I do see that this experience was from God....However only to this extent: In 1995, the
SDA Church was indeed/still God’s Remnant Church Organization, but just like when Jesus told
the Samaritan woman that “Salvation was of the Jews” (John 4:22b), He also knew that there
very likely was going to have to be a subsequent, new (i.e. NT), more Godly movement that
would entirely replace the Jewish/OT religion as the way to God’s (<a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/gods-new-true-remnant-church.html>Full and
True</a>) Salvation. (=John 4:21, 23-26)

This is the same fundamental issue of our difference. Like the OT Jews, you, as well as other
SDAs, are stuck with the quasi-idolatrous belief that God cannot, and will not, do better than the
present SDA Church Organization. Well I just need to read the SOP testimony to know that this
is not the case (e.g. <a href=https://m.egwwritings.org/en/book/39.238#344>LDE 59-62</a>),
and this is amply corroborated in the <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/blog-posting-note.html#eschatological>Eschatologi
cal Prophetic Plans</a> that God has “sealedly” (=Dan 12:4, 10) revealed throughout the Bible.
God indeed has had plans to establish His culminating <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/church-triumphant.html>Church Triumphant</a>. But as the SOP reveals, only the worthy members of the Church Militant will join that more
Godly Organization. (e.g. LDE 62.1).

And the Biblical/Prophetic fact of the matter is that you, as well as the other “SDA half-
prophets” (=Ezek 14:1-9 -<a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html>discussed
above</a>) discussed in this present blog post, have the Spiritually “thankless” commission of
being candidly used for the judging/testing of the leaders and members of the SDA Church to see
if their various professions, especially of expecting that <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/12/endtime-reality-check.html>‘Jesus will return very
soon’</a>, is actually genuine, and not merely lip-service (=Isa 29:9-16) in that they do not
actually care to get out and do the work required to finish the work but instead just sit back and
wait to see. But thus far they have demonstrated that these have indeed merely been vacuous
professions as they actually have, nor have provided, no/any valid/Biblical reason to reject the
various revelations and testimonies given by you “half-prophets”. So to me, they instead have
just fulfilled the similar spirit of the SOP’s prophetic statement in LDE 209.3 that: ‘they are
rejecting the prophetic means with which God has chosen to (remonstratingly) speak to them
merely out of vexatious pride.’

(cont’d)
And for such Biblical reasons, I no longer engage in trying to debunk, point-by-point, every claim that you, or other SDA half-prophets, may make & post online because you are just (naturally/candidly) fulfillment your Ezek 14:1-9 commission, and it is actually the targeted audience of your commission, namely SDA Pastors/Leaders & Lay Members, who have the duty to Biblically state why they do not accept your messages to them. And as I have not seen that they have been able to do so against any of you guys, then they themselves are showing that they have no valid/Biblical reason to reject your prophetic ministry to them.

So, all this to (prefacingly) say that, -and as I have already stated to you, what you are presenting, pointedly in your interpretation of your own direct revelations, as well as your ensuing prophetical interpretations, are all according to what could, and would have been possible if the SDA Church had not failed <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/testimony-to-church-part-1a.html">this hour</a> of <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/testimony-to-church-3-judgement.html">judgement/testing of theirs</a>. EGW also <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/01/gods-whirlwind-judgement-on-sda-church.html">had been shown</a> this failure, and likely, thus planned/expected <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/11/shaking-in-it-biblical-context.html#newdenomination">denominational replacement</a> of the SDA Church Organization.

If you would study out the <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/sealing-of-gods-people-part-2-ezekiel-9.html">Full Sabbath/Spirit of the Law Message</a> of the Bible and SOP, you would avoid doing the same mistake of the Jews by limiting their “way to God” to only “Letter of the Law” comprehensions and applications, and thus not being able to (fully) comprehend the New Covenant’s, now even deeper: “Spirit of the Law” perfect theology. (+2 Cor 3:4-18)...

And to me, the only reason why you are refusing to learn and apply that Message is because you have made an idol of your (own perception/comprehension of your) visions and “unctions”, as well as your added interpretations, where you think they supercede the mandate and testimony of Jesus Christ/Word of God. Just understanding the Ezek 14:1-9 judgement would spare you this miscomprehension.

(cont’d)

April 30, 2017 at 4:40 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493541622763#c397759085931096346
(cont’d)

EGW herself never had such a view of her Prophetic experience because she knew she was just a “lesser light” and the Bible was the Greater Light, Fullest Revelation and Final & Infallible Arbitrator. And she also knew that the fulfillment of her vision, i.e in her day, was all
conditional on, like with Ancient Israel, whether the SDA Church pass their test. See also with Jesus on Matt 10:23 <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/pleading-proverbs-264-5.html#matt1023">discussed here</a>.

But as it will be shown below, you still cannot pass a basic Biblical testing of, pointedly the claims, applications, understandings and prophetic interpretations that you are making about your revelations. And when they are not outrightly Biblically false/erroneous, they are just not the “fullest” understanding in the light of what the Bible and SOP have to fully teach on these matters. Your revelations are, as for the other SDA half-prophets, deliberately limited to what is within the scope of reach of understanding of the present state of the SDA Church according to their preferred sub-par “Letter of the Law” understandings.

April 30, 2017 at 4:41 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493541677720#c5076972899332282634
<b>Tierney: </b>God showed me...

Here is another fundamental issue of objection that I have with you. Every time you state that “God showed me”....I would like to know the transparent details of what actually happened to lead you to that conclusion, especially as you rarely, if ever, go on to say so. At best what you go on to do is expound on a Biblical interpretation and understanding, and most of the time, that does not pass an exegetical, Spiritual and/or Full Gospel message Biblical test. So I myself cannot agree with your understanding that ‘God showed you such things’ as they do not even Biblically check out.

...I will however give you that God could have shown you such things, but only according to: ‘the limiting cherish regards of your heart’ (Ezek 14:1-5), and pointedly because that is the similar ‘limiting regards’ of other SDAs. If/When you, and them, begin to fully pursue the Full Gospel Message and Mandate of Jesus Christ, then will these idols no longer prevent God from revealing more of His (actual) Present Truth and Advanced Plans to you all.

April 30, 2017 at 4:42 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493541779310#c610957283056150190
<b>Tierney: </b>that the Lateran Treaty began the healing of the head wound. Most people do not realize that the pope was taken hostage from the Lateran Basilica in 1798. The Lateran Basilica i what they call the "MOTHER HEAD" church. It is where the throne (a recommissioned toilet seat) of the popes is held, which is why it is called the Arch Basilica and Cathedra (place of the chair).

This Lateran Treaty claim of your is a very interesting issue that you are claiming that God has
shown you, as it perfectly exemplifies what I am spelling out here in regards to the “special” way in which God is using you to test the SDA Church. Like I have been saying, there are actually two paths of interpretation and application of Bible Prophecies:

The **First Path** is the “Letter of the Law” one which you SDAs are limiting yourselves to. Ironically enough, God would have honoured that understanding by allowing Final Events to develop as you all expect them to, but you all have failed to even pass the prior basic test of genuine, and especially completely unselfish, Christian Character (and as “Selfishness is the Chief of all other sins” 4T 384.3 discussed [here](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/testimony-to-church-3-judgement.html)).

In fact, your GC-prophesied ‘Time of Trouble anguish’ would have been in the light of how the other non-SDA Christians seemed to be more faithful to Christ’s Full Gospel Mandate by them actually doing what you all could have done in regards to helping people in need as per Matt 25:31-46, and they would have (rightly) been Biblically showing that you only care about the Letter of the Law, while ignoring its Spirit (=Isa 58)

The **Second Path** actually incorporates all of the Letter of the Law aspects, just as Jesus did for the New Covenant (Matt 5:17-20), but it also executes the Spirit of the Law requirements. So its prophetic understandings and applications are more broad than just merely a Letter of the Law view.

So here, what you are claiming about the Lateran Treaty is really only applicable within your Letter of the Law perspective. However, as I have shown [in this post](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2015/06/the-battle-of-har-ar-magedon-rev-1312.html) at/on **Rev 13:3** an exegetically-accurate interpretation of that prophetic “deadly wound” prediction is best fulfilled in: the loss of the Fourth’s Head’s (=Pagan Rome’s) Militarism. Only when the Papacy (=the Fifth Head of Rev 13:1) revived and “christianized” this approach and thus made use of it to make war, and also to persecute God’s saints (Rev 13:4, 7), was actually when that prior deadly wound had healed.

So while I do see that your typical SDA understanding of a deadly wound in 1798 is possible/valid, I only see it as being so for merely “Letter of the Law” fulfillments.

(cont’d)
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(cont’d)

I, related to this topic, had an [extensive discussion online](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Ee1Fsj8Cfc&lc=z12sfbjbnzr0z3dv1232dh0orladzsicm) (versus a former Christian, now Atheist) where I show how
that patent SDA understanding can be applicable, pointedly in the 1929 Lateran Treaty healing the 1798 wound, but that is not the view that I see which is now/still applicable. As presented in

So again, I won’t generally object to the understandings that your are “being shown” to present, however the Bible and SOP testimony against that view is that, just like (literalistically) unfulfilled prophecies in the Old Testament, (due to the failure of Ancient Israel to, themselves usher in the New Covenant), God now has grander plans and more wide reaching fulfillments for those same prophecies (=15MR 292.3-4)...And only those who (naturally) qualify (as per TM 116.1) for the Church Triumphant will be able to perceive and grasp that New and Furthering Light.

April 30, 2017 at 4:46 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493541979382#c2117308861713089034
<b>Tierney: When they lost that throne to Napoleon they lost their "seat" in Rome and were in self imposed exile OUT OF Rome.</b>

In passing, I would make you aware that I have done a more indepth and accurate study of Napoleon’s 1798 capture of Pope Pius VI in my post on <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2017/03/the-historical-two-witnesses.html">The Historical Two Witnesses</a>, also showing/proving that that event historically, timely, fulfills the prophetic statement in Rev 11:13 to punctuate that prophecy of Rev 11.

April 30, 2017 at 4:48 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542088910#c8055170129121784298
<b>Tierney: The prophecy of Revelation 17 says the Harlot SITS on the city that rules the kings of the earth.</b>

Generally stated, but most pivotally so, again to highlight however our two different views (i.e. Letter vs. Spirit of the Law) fundamentally diverge: You basically go by the view that ‘the woman of Rev 17 can only be the <i>Roman Catholic Church</i>’, but, as I have presented in <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2015/06/the-battle-of-har-ar-magedon-rev-1312.html">this post</a> (see at Rev 13:14), and also in <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/biblical-prophetic-babylon-loud-cry.html">this post</a> (see at Rev 17:3), the exegetically accurate understanding is that: this woman was actually the same woman which had fled into the wilderness to be sheltered from the persecutions of dragon-inspired beast power (Rev 13:1-2ff), namely the State entity of the
Roman Catholic Church having taken the place of Pagan Rome (Rev 12:6, 14). So the Woman shown in Rev 17 is actually, at the present time’s Fullest understanding/fulfilment of this prophecy: the Protestant Church movement, =the woman who had been in the wilderness.

EGW also came to such an understanding by having seen that the Protestant Church would replace its mother, the Catholic Church (see <a href=https://m.egwwritings.org/en/book/516.2#7>SpM 1.4</a>). Indeed, as chronicled <a href=http://www.atjats.org/publication/view/351>here</a> SDA pioneers repeatedly had to adjust and calibrate their understanding of who/what “Babylon” actually was, and EGW herself knew that there was more to understand on such Mark of the Beast issues even after she had written the 1888 Great Controversy. (See <a href=https://m.egwwritings.org/en/book/118.62#77>6T 17.1</a> (1900), =GCDB, March 2, 1899 par. 3; -fully discussed <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/12/unrolling-of-scroll.html>here</a>)

April 30, 2017 at 4:49 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542158328#c5588389918607319130
<b>Tierney: </b>The prophecy also states that the Harlot is the MOTHER of Harlots in the time of the "one (who) is" in the time of the vision. John Paul II was the sixth pope after the seat was reestablished in Rome and he was the pope that began signing ecumenical agreements with the protestant churches. A mother cannot be a mother until she has children. So when the Harlot is SEALED on her forehead with the mark "mother of harlots" this means that in the time frame of the vision she has to have joined forces with the Protestants. This began in 1999 in New York City under the reign of JPII. He was also the pope who brought the Jesuits back to Rome. He was also the pope who was in power when the protestant churches began to receive political commissions from George Bush. So without a doubt JPII was the sixth king.</b>

I am not seeing that this is being specifically stated in the prophetic text. So to me, that is again just one of your private interpretations/applications. That Woman was always/fundamentally the “mother of harlots”, and not just ‘starting in 1999’.

And as I have already stated above in this post, and in <a href=http://www.njkproject.info/wbse/documents/TierneyDiscussions.pdf>our email discussions</a> I have stated my other exegetical reasons why I am not seeing your seven heads = 7 Popes claim as Biblically-substantiated....But like I am saying, that is all only plausible according to your “Letter of the Law” limitations and ‘SDA-special prophetic mandate’.

Furthermore, with, -(according to my more accurate/exegetical/advanced Biblical understanding, where that “harlot mother” is actually the Protestant Church), the “harlot daughters” are actually the Liberal Christianity sects which have been spawn from the mainline Protestant Church. And just as the Protestant Churches were in some ways more Biblical than their mother, the Catholic
Church, yet were thus more deceptively erroneous, these Liberal Churches tend to be closer to fulfilling Christ’s Full Gospel mandate than mainline Protestant Churches in the social work they tend to do towards those in need, but they are likewise ‘more deceptively erroneous’ in that they tend to fully embrace Biblically-abominable practices such as homosexuality and abortion and hold up the Bible in little light at best. (E.g. U.S. Christian Democrats)

April 30, 2017 at 4:50 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542224014#c1063078782650977858
<b>Tierney: Then the seventh king Benedict XVI claimed to have a vision from God telling him to retire and he announced his retirement on the anniversary of the signing of the Lateran Treaty and lightning struck St Peter's twice. So he fulfills the prophecy "he must continue a little while" AFTER his reign.</b>

I do not believe that Benedict’s (claimed) vision was actually from God. I am rather seeing it as being from Satan, who also wants to fasten you all <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/1888-message-for-today.html#falserighteousness">half|spurious-righteousness</a> SDAs who have these limiting “Letter of the Law” understandings, into his all-encompassing ‘Gathering of militants for the <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2015/06/the-battle-of-har-ar-magedon-rev-1312.html">Battle of Har-mageddon</a>” (=Rev 16:13-16) in order to war against God’s actual True Remnant.

April 30, 2017 at 4:51 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542308216#c6677254399930263591
<b>Tierney: Now the eighth king is here. Francis took his name from St Francis of Assisi who wrote the words "be as disciplined as a CORPSE" which was applied to the Jesuit constitution. When asked what the sign of the end would be in Matthew 24 Jesus replied in verse 28 "where the CORPSE is there the eagle will gather".</b>

Again, mere and declarative claims/assumptions of, or even actual manifestations of “signs” does not prove that something is of God (Matt 24:24; 2 Thess 2:9; Rev 16:13-14). It would only be if the entire message, supposedly confirmed by a sign, is true/Biblical (Deut 13:1-5)....and your Bible interpreting claim here is most grossly easily seen to merely be wishful eisegesis at best: Matt 24:23-28 is more expandedly recounted, and thus better explained, by Luke in Luke 17:20-37. And there it is seen that Jesus was actually answering the question of: “where will the ones who are taken (i.e. the unrighteous) be taken to?” (Luke 17:37). Jesus’s (albeit cryptic) answer was that the unrighteous here would be left dead (cf. 2 Thess 2:8), even right where they stood, and that is then where vultures will gather to eat the flesh on their corpses. (cf. Rev 19:17-18) =Rev 14:14-16 vs. Rev 14:17-20. So I am at all not seeing any Biblical support for your claim and further extrapolation, including from the more protracted explanations that you have made in your pertinent blog posts. So this whole constructed claim is merely a “house of cards” where the
removal of simply your Matt 24:28 card through the proper, i.e. Biblical interpreting, causes the whole construction to collapse.

April 30, 2017 at 4:52 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542369821#c541405485995427181
<b>Tierney: So Pope Francis is that corpse.</b>

Again, I have not seen any Biblical support for that interpretation/application/claim of yours. You arrived at this view through eisegesis and it should be sobering to you if you are experiencing signs which you are supposing are from God, but which are merely substantiating such non-Biblical view, as that then is clearly not the working of God...except only to remonstratingly test you patently, shoddily and indifferently/smugly so, non-exegetical SDAs.

April 30, 2017 at 4:54 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542446981#c6957222207637152712
<b>Tierney: Then Pope Francis became the first pope to be asked to SPEAK from congress, when America "speaks" as a nation in establishing laws, so now America has "spoke as the dragon".</b>

This here also is the typical, and limited, SDA interpretation/understanding of what: the ‘<a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2015/06/the-battle-of-har-ar-magedon-rev-1312.html#SecondBeast>Second Beast</a>’ of Rev 13 “speaking like a dragon” would mean. However this too can be better understood through deeper Bible study. I’ll summarily restate <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2015/06/the-battle-of-har-ar-magedon-rev-1312.html#SecondBeast>my commenting</a> on Rev 13:10b here:

Biblical exegesis shows that the <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/advanced-prophetic-symbology.html#dragon>“dragon”</a> symbol is foundationally referring to the OT Leviathan. That was an actual colossal, “fire-breathing”, beast power that God foresaw that Satan would try to overmaster, -as he had done with the serpent in Eden, to, this time, inflict severe tangible damage upon the earth and on people. So that is why God went on to destroy the Leviathan (<b>Isa 27:1</b>) especially as it no longer could, -after the Flood’s nature debalancings, carry out the function that it was evidently created for: which was to keep the sea/ocean bodies of waters desalinated.

So the usage of this symbol for Satan’s power in Revelation, is to reflect Satan’s plan of establishing a kingdom power on Earth through which he could inflict such deeply affecting damage on societies and peoples. That was done through <b>Ancient/Pagan Rome</b> (Rev 12:); then <b>Papal/Medieval Rome</b> (Rev 13:1-2ff) and then, ultimately now, <b>United States</b> (Rev 13:10ff). And just like the Leviathan was known to originally
have had a God-appointed mission, these powers would have operated under a seeming God-
ordained purpose (cf. Paul speaking about ruling civil powers such as Rome in Rom 13:3-4), but
these “dragon” powers instead allowed themselves to be taken over and controlled by Satan. And
thus they only predominantly served to deceive and Spiritually corrupt the World into accepting
Satan’s worldview, thus become evil and no longer possibly used/approved by God.

The U.S. founded itself to allow for “Freedom”, e.g. of conscious and worship. That in itself
could be approved by God. But when the U.S. began to allow that “Freedom” to condone and also, even “cateringly”, facilitate sinfulness (contra. Gal 5:13-14; 1 Pet 2:16) that is when it no
longer was like “the little lamb” as it “spoke like a dragon”. (Rev 13:10). So that indeed had
ergotten to happen a long time ago in the unfolding of the U.S. History. And Satan has been
making use of this deceptive false witnessing of this self-proclaimed “Christian Nation” to also
pervert the rest of the world, even with the U.S. endeavoring to export this unBiblical Freedom
way of life by military force.

Telling how the U.S. deems Religious Muslim countries/people as “evil”, ironically enough,
because they are living according to the principles of OT Laws. So they therefore: e.g. do not
allow their women to expose themselves. The U.S. also condemns as evil these Muslims
foroing capital punishment for moral sins, yet the U.S. itself murders over 4000 innocent infant
every day in <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/aborting-abortion.html>abortions</a>. So really
the comparatively, even outrightly, “evil” i.e. unGodly country/society between the two is
actually the U.S./West....AnD that is why, as Rev 9:1-12 prophecies, God has raised up the
Muslim forces to <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/gods-purposes-through-islam.html>serve to
tangibly ruin and punish</a> the more evil Apostate Israel in “Babylon” (i.e. the Historical
Catholic Church and now Apostate Protestantism =America/the West)

April 30, 2017 at 4:55 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=149354
2500742#c3084841187247472593
<b>Tierney: Now many other protestant churches have begun to sign ecumenical
agreements with the pope and Mrs White said <a href=https://m.egwwritings.org/en/book/96.1082>{7BC 983.3-983.5 quoted}</a></b></b>

Again this understanding and application is all according to the limits of the “Letter of the Law”
application. EGW also was left to limit her own understanding to this view, however she was
revealed many other teachings which would substantiate the added Spirit of Law understanding.
It however was not given her to herself perceive this in prophetic interpretation/understandings,
so that she would not reveal that further view before the time of the establishment of the Church
Triumphant had come. If that time had been reached, through the faithfulness of SDAs, in her
time, then I am sure God would have given her those added and furthering prophetic insights.
Nonetheless God gave several such insights in her dreams and vision which she herself did not
(fully) grasp, but which would be perceived, understood and rightly applied by those members of the Church Triumphant.

April 30, 2017 at 4:56 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542575402#c4913057069337650967
<b>Tierney: You have no idea how you have grieved the Father in your attack on what He shared with me. </b>

Actually it is you who has no, (or more accurately: <i>does not care to have any</i>), idea that you have no Biblical standing from/upon which you can make such dogmatic, even most blasphemous, condemnations. You would first need to make sure that your claims actually Biblically/Exegetically/Spiritually check out...You are obstinately rebelliously refusing the Greater Light: Jesus Christ, so you are left to stumbled about in your “dim-light” darkness.

Save such condemnations for SDAs, as they are the ones who are at the same level limited Biblical and Prophetic understandings that you are on, and you (and other half-prophets) are, at best, being used to expose that pivotal deficiency fact about them, and also you all. I have long moved beyond you all’s <a href="http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#spiritualmaturity">Spiritual Immature</a> mindset and sub-par comprehensions, and am working towards fulfilling God’s Full Prophetic Mandate which is built upon the Full Gospel Message of Christ...and that is the only ‘edifice of interpretations and understandings’ which will pass intact through the coming highly deceptive events (=Luke 6:46-49); which your deficient 7 Heads interpretation will again also fail.

April 30, 2017 at 4:57 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542630420#c6152196634432105770
<b>Tierney: Like I have said from the start, God gives me visions and dreams and speaks to me. He led me directly to the SDA church and told me anyone who argues against these things will never be prepared for the Latter Rain.</b>

Yet again, it is not possible, without having all of the original and full underlying information, to differentiate where a potentially actual revelation from God to you begins and where it ends, and then where your own subjective conflating understanding/interpreting kicks in. It actually is quite suspicious that you are refusing to reveal in detail exactly what you experienced when you claim that ‘God showed/told you something’. A prophet has the duty to make such information transparently known in order to be fully tested/vetted. So until you begin to provide such details, I have no obligation to simply accept as true whatever you declaratively say or claim...as if you were a “Pope”...So until such divulging of all pertinent details of experiences, given that your prophetic exposition does not even pass the Biblical test, I can, -and quite generously, merely see
here that this too would at best also only applies to the people who you were sent to, namely the SDA Church.

The True Latter Rain message also will not actually be found in/from your limited takes, but in what the Bible fully reveals about what this Blessing will be like/for, which is also according to Christ’s Full Gospel Message. At best, your genuine/Biblical (i.e. not your erroneous interpretations/application) prophetic ministry would help put likewise Biblically limited SDA’s on the path, and actually at/to Early Rain level at best, but they eventually can ‘seek to further advance’ (Dan 12:4, 10) to where they would obtain the distinct True/Full/Biblical Latter Rain Outpouring of God’s Holy Spirit.

April 30, 2017 at 4:58 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542698267#c233873666033228904
<b>Tierney: I have also said I myself do not perfectly understand what God shows me until He sends me the information that completes the understanding, but He is NEVER wrong.</b>

God indeed is never wrong, but you repeatedly indeed are...So you are doing God a great disservice by refusing to clearly distinguish what God may be actually telling and revealing to you, versus what your own takes are. So use all of that SCREAMING and condemning energy instead towards transparently and fully detailing what you are claiming God has been showing you. Stop trying to turn whatever prophetic anointing you may have into a cult of yourself. Focus instead of fully presenting the revelation of God...-if you are really serious about fulfilling that calling of yours...

And at the very worst, God is allowing the approach of 1 Kgs 22:19-23 to be effectuated through you to SDA all according to His Ezek 14:1-9 judgement....Whatever the transpiring case, your message still mostly falls short of the Full Testimony of Scripture, and so it is mostly not an Actual Truth, let alone the Present Truth.

April 30, 2017 at 4:59 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542746236#c837838984288001181
<b>Tierney: If you would had a more teachable spirit you and I could grow together in knowledge, </b>

In all of our discussions, whenever Biblical evidence is presented which impeach your claims and/or interpretations, e.g., most chiefly, Biblical evidence of Christ’s Full Gospel Message and Mandate, you just stop engaging and responding to them, and start to pompously condemn...Succinctly said, that is not the Spirit of Christ. As with other SDAs, all you
*selfishly* care for is for Jesus to return in your lifetime, and so you most shortsightedly think that if you would do what Jesus is mandating for you to fully do in regards to people in need then that would foil your living translation plans...Keep so ‘primarily thinking about your self-interests’ and see how God does not honour that...In fact that pointedly is what your prophetic ministering is all about: to reveal that fatal Spiritual/Character flaw in both yourself and like-minded SDAs.

Even [http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/ministry-of-david-gates.html](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/11/ministry-of-david-gates.html) David Gates has by now more than less seen that flaw in himself and learned the lesson by now focusing more on accomplishing missions works rather than preaching that Jesus is Coming back very soon, because as Jesus actually said in Matt 24:14 finish that Kingdom Work is what will bring about the end.

April 30, 2017 at 5:01 AM
[https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html](https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542866372#c2323877136229149879)
[b>Tierney: but you think that you are perfect in knowledge and have no need to learn.</b>

LOL...I *am* learning every day.... I just do not care to learn about what is not Biblical and deficient as, -as copiously shown, are most of your claims. You want to convince of your message, then do as 1 Pet 3:15 requires, and ‘be ready and capable/competent to give an answer’ for the many Biblical objections that I have giving against your views and interpretations.... You ignore these debunkings and merely pompously insisting that: “God showed” you, does not prove anything, pointedly as your claims are still shown to be contrary to the properly/exegetically interpreted and divided Word of God. So what that only shows is that it may not actually be God who is giving you those false and unbiblical revelations and signs. (Isa 8:20)....

And at best, it could be God telling the SDA Church, through you, to ‘do what you have to do quickly’ (John 13:27): I.e. For you all to embrace your spurious, shoddy, eisegetical and deficient ways of interpreting and applying the Bible and Prophecies, -which would indeed lead you all to such claim about Pope Francis, so that you all can finally hit that *[http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/11/shaking-in-it-biblical-context.html#adamantwall](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2010/11/shaking-in-it-biblical-context.html#adamantwall)* “adamant wall” of stunning disappointment’, after which you would/should finally instead endeavor to learn all of the neglected Full Gospel Lessons that you are now sanctimoniously refusing to learn. That is indeed how God ultimately has to deal with His rebellious people!! (Amos 7:1-9)....

...As Jesus said, if/as your Righteousness (i.e. Right Doing) is not better than a “Letter of the Law” level/standard, then it is not God’s Perfect/Full Way (Matt 5:20)...and as I myself have been *[http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/primer-on-plagues-rev-8-15-part-2.html#Rev312sealed](http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2011/10/primer-on-plagues-rev-8-15-part-2.html#Rev312sealed)* sealed in the Full Gospel’s Message, your deficient and sub-par message certainly
can/will-not begin to sway nor impress me. (=LDE 218.3-219.4) I would have to become most unBiblical and Biblically illiterate to do so, and clearly, at least to me, that does not begin to be either a rational or Spiritually-valid option.

Ironically, but tellingly, enough, it is actually my Full Gospel view which can salvage your bound-to-also-fail take/expectation about Pope Francis, in that, and as I have already stated to you in our email discussion, it is most manifest that he has forever changed the persona of the Catholic Church, i.e. into becoming a more wholly practical works Church, which prominently works to help, or seem more willing to help, even more people in need. The prior haughtiness and pompousness of the Papacy before that, which offended and alienated many unbelieving people, seems to me that it will never be able to return. And indeed I have witnessed many people, even atheists, (-see in http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/10/the-worldview-of-unbelievers.html>this post</a>) who are enthusiastically attracted to Pope Francis just for such reasons. But my Prophetic understanding shows (see in http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/04/gog-magog-vs-god.html>here</a> at Ezek 39:24) that this (Chameleon approach as the SOP says GC 571.2ff), all is serving to attract people to the Catholic Church so that they can band together in that group of “Papists, Protestants and Worldings” (GC 588.3a; Mar 190.2) which will ‘try to effectuate a Temporal Millennium which will be trying to counterfeit (=Rev 16:13-16) <a>God’s True Jubilee Millennium</a>.

April 30, 2017 at 5:02 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493542966908#c498917543152681485

<b>Tierney: I hope that changes, because you have proven you despise these things and hold me in contempt.</b>

Most factually stated: both of your observations here are indeed true...and for ample and warranting Biblical reasons....You are your own worst enemy, i.e. to your prophetic ministry...Frankly, your, either incapability, and/or indifference, to properly present the Bible is most eerie...Pointedly as: giving instead more weight to (private) signs and experiences, and even when they are not in harmony with the Bible, is Satan’s most successful delusion....He even (also) tried it on Jesus (Matt 4:1-11|Luke 4:1-13 reconciliated&discussed http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2009/10/pleading-proverbs-264-5.html#4temptations>here</a>)

April 30, 2017 at 5:03 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493543019870#c581064913950947104

<b>Tierney: You judge me for singing music, when what was offered in those videos was

292
not presented as worship. Where in the bible does it say we cannot sing secular music? There is a difference between common and Holy.</b>

I actually had more of an issue with you seeming/singing like you were drunk, and/or ‘like Steven Tyler tripping out on acid’. That certainly does not reflect the image of Christ, prominently connoting an “appearance of evil”, and thus is not Biblical, as many SOP principles sustain. Indeed it’s a terrible public witness, including to those non-believers in that club. I does not even seem that you were then caring to give a Christian testimony, because if that was the case, at the very least, you would/could have more dignifiedly/“soberly” performed that song. So that is all why to me, you were seen to be more worshipful of Aerosmith/Tyler and their worldly ways, than of try to represent and uplift Christ and His dignifying Spirit.

(cont’d)

April 30, 2017 at 5:05 AM
https://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/05/testing-spirits-1-cor-1210.html?showComment=1493543128663#c8758718220446989486
(cont’d)

As for myself, in my various fiery denunciations (=Jer 1:9; 5:14; cf. Rev 11:5) against both the Secular World and the similarly worldly/deficient SDA Church, I have decided to remonstratingly use the words and music of (their) various secular song <i><b>against</b></i> them, and all according to a Matt 23 denunciating theme/template, -“hell-dooming” lyrics and all. as per: Matt 5:22 vs. Matt 23:17) : “Full Disclosure”, these remonstrating usages are:


-for a depiction on the cost of my now 20 years of ministering to my personal life: <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2008/07/trivia-paradigm-shifts.html#mylife>here</a>;

-a modernized rendering of Christ’ woe-denunciations in Matt 23 <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.com/2012/03/woe-to-you-seventh-day-adventists-matt.html#speech>here</a>...

--which stepped up a prior “actual (human) sentiments”: “Is that all you got?!!!...” challenging (cf. Luke 11:45-52) <a href=http://njkproject.blogspot.ca/2010/01/theological-views-commentary.html#SDAcurse>here</a> [this song is actually from the Contemporary Christian Music Band P.O.D. (Payable On Death)]
some “strong agitation” (cf. 2 Thess 2:11-12) contributing to the sought for Shaking
and this Final Warning salvo in regards God’s Looming, all-encompassing, Judgement against those who have scoffed against His {actual} message (Luke 18:7-8; Ezek 9):

Contrarily, I did not see, nor disclaimingly hear from you, any redemptive measures/purposes in/for your song choice or singing performances...but indeed merely, purely, and even emulating, =‘adulating’, tributes to these secular song and their performers....Btw, I am sure it would lead most SDAs to likewise strongly question your claim of prophetic calling....

Which reminds me: you (effectively, if not explicitly) claim to be a (ultimate) prophet for the (Global) SDA Church...I.e. ‘you have the prophetic message which they all need to heed in order to be ready for soon unravelling Final Events’....So then, rather than trying to merely contact individual/popular pastors like Stephen Bohr and Doug Batchelor, have you ever tried to <i>directly</i> (i.e. likewise in writing/registered mail or by phone) contact the General Conference?? As related in my bio-post, I sought to do so during the beginnings of my ministry, 1997-2000, and as they kept on refusing my bettering suggestions, I moved on on my own.

That is the hope that you should actually be praying about yourself, because I cannot do anything for you if your final arbitrator is not the Bible, but your own experiences. If you are receiving messages which cannot harmonize with the Bible, then they are not from God....At best, i.e. your best chance for any Divine Validity is, indeed as I am seeing it, in God soverignly using you for His Ezek 14:1-9 judgement of SDAs.....